



## Green's Condition and Green-Kehayopulu Relations on $le$ -Ternary Semigroups

Aiyared Iampan

**Abstract.** We introduce the concept of the Green-Kehayopulu relations in  $le$ -ternary semigroups mimics the definition of the Green-Kehayopulu relations in  $le$ -semigroups that was introduced in 2002 by Petro and Pasku [5] and investigate the Green-Kehayopulu relations in  $le$ -ternary semigroups.

### 1. Introduction

The literature of ternary algebraic system was introduced by Lehmer [4] in 1932. He investigated certain ternary algebraic systems called triplexes which turn out to be ternary groups. The notion of ternary semigroups was known to S. Banach. He showed by an example that a ternary semigroup does not necessarily reduce to an ordinary semigroup. We can see that any semigroup can be reduced to a ternary semigroup. In 2002, Petraq Petro and Elton Pasku [5] introduced the concept of the Green-Kehayopulu relations in  $le$ -semigroups and showed that a nonsingleton  $\mathcal{H}$ -class cannot be a subgroup and an  $\mathcal{H}$ -class satisfying “Green’s condition” need not constitute a subsemigroup.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of the Green-Kehayopulu relations in  $le$ -ternary semigroups and give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $le$ -ternary semigroup  $T$  is a subgroup or a subsemigroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$ .

### 2. Basic Definitions

We first recall the definition of a ternary semigroup which is important here.

A nonempty set  $T$  is called a *ternary semigroup* [4] if there exists a ternary operation  $[ ]: T \times T \times T \rightarrow T$ , written as  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto [x_1x_2x_3]$ , satisfying the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20N10, 03G10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $le$ -semigroup;  $le$ -ternary semigroup; Green’s condition; Green-Kehayopulu relation.

following identity for any  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in T$ ,

$$[[x_1 x_2 x_3] x_4 x_5] = [x_1 [x_2 x_3 x_4] x_5] = [x_1 x_2 [x_3 x_4 x_5]].$$

A nonempty subset  $S$  of a ternary semigroup  $T$  is called a *ternary subsemigroup* [1] of  $T$  if  $[SSS] \subseteq S$ .

For any positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $m \leq n$  and any elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+1}$  of a ternary semigroup  $T$  [6], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1 x_2 \dots x_{2n+1}] &= [x_1 \dots x_m x_{m+1} x_{m+2} \dots x_{2n+1}] \\ &= [x_1 \dots [[x_m x_{m+1} x_{m+2}] x_{m+3} x_{m+4}] \dots x_{2n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1** ([1]). Let  $T = \{-i, 0, i\}$ . Then  $T$  is a ternary semigroup under the multiplication over complex number while  $T$  is not a semigroup under complex number multiplication.

**Example 2** ([1]). Let  $O = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $T = \{O, I, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$  is a ternary semigroup under matrix multiplication.

For any  $t \in T$ , an element  $x$  of a ternary semigroup  $T$  is said to be a *t-idempotent* if  $[xtx] = x$ . For a ternary semigroup  $T$  and any  $t \in T$ , if we define  $a \circ b = [atb]$  for all  $a, b \in T$ , then  $T$  becomes a semigroup. We denote this semigroup by  $T_t$ .

A ternary semigroup  $T$  is called an *le-ternary semigroup* if  $\langle T; \vee, \wedge \rangle$  is a lattice with a greatest element (the element is always denoted by  $e$  below) [3] and for any  $a, b, x, y \in T$ ,

$$[xy(a \vee b)] = [xya] \vee [xyb] \quad \text{and} \quad [(a \vee b)xy] = [axy] \vee [bxy].$$

Throughout this paper  $T$  will stand for an *le-ternary semigroup*. We shall consider the usual order relation  $\leq$  on  $T$  defined by for any  $a, b \in T$ ,  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a \vee b = b$ . Then we can show that for any  $a, b, x, y \in T$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $[axy] \leq [bxy]$ ,  $[xay] \leq [xby]$  and  $[xya] \leq [xyb]$ . Hence we have known that ordered ternary semigroups are a generalization of *le-ternary semigroups*. For any  $t \in T$ , let the mappings  $l_t, r_t: T \rightarrow T$  be defined by for any  $x \in T$ ,

$$l_t(x) = [etx] \vee x \quad \text{and} \quad r_t(x) = [xte] \vee x.$$

Then we define equivalence relations on  $T$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_t &:= \{(x, y) \mid l_t(x) = l_t(y)\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_t &:= \{(x, y) \mid r_t(x) = r_t(y)\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_t &:= \mathcal{L}_t \cap \mathcal{R}_t. \end{aligned}$$

We shall call the equivalences  $\mathcal{L}_t, \mathcal{R}_t$  and  $\mathcal{H}_t$  the *Green-Kehayopulu relations* of  $T$ . An element  $x$  of  $T$  is said to be a *t-left ideal (t-right ideal) element* if  $l_t(x) = x$  ( $r_t(x) = x$ ) and a *t-ideal element* if it is both a *t-left ideal element* and a *t-right ideal element*; it is called a *t-quasi-ideal element* if  $[etx] \wedge [xte] \leq x$ . An element  $x$

of  $T$  is said to be a  $t$ -regular element if  $x \leq [x[tet]x]$  and a  $t$ -intra-regular element if  $x \leq [[etx]t[xte]]$ . An  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition if there exist elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $T$  such that  $[atb] \in H$ .

### 3. Lemmas

Before the characterizations of the  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  for the main results, we give auxiliary results which are necessary in what follows.

**Lemma 3.1.** For each  $x, t \in T$ ,

$$l_t(l_t(x)) = l_t(x) \quad \text{and} \quad r_t(r_t(x)) = r_t(x).$$

**Proof.** From the definition of the mapping  $l_t$  it follows that  $l_t(l_t(x)) = l_t([etx] \vee x) = [et([etx] \vee x)] \vee [etx] \vee x = [et[etx]] \vee [etx] \vee [etx] \vee x = [et[etx]] \vee [etx] \vee x$ . Since  $e$  is the greatest element in  $T$ , we also have  $[ete] \leq e$ . Thus  $[et[etx]] = [[ete]tx] \leq [etx]$ , so  $[et[etx]] \vee [etx] = [etx]$ . Hence  $l_t(l_t(x)) = [etx] \vee x = l_t(x)$ . By symmetry,  $r_t(r_t(x)) = r_t(x)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** If an element  $a$  of  $T$  is a  $t$ -left ideal element and an element  $b$  of  $T$  is a  $t$ -right ideal element, then  $a \wedge b$  is a  $t$ -quasi-ideal element.

**Proof.** Assume that  $a$  is a  $t$ -left ideal element and  $b$  is a  $t$ -right ideal element of  $T$ . Then  $[eta] \vee a = l_t(a) = a$  and  $[bte] \vee b = r_t(b) = b$ , so  $[eta] \leq a$  and  $[bte] \leq b$ . Hence  $[et(a \wedge b)] \wedge [(a \wedge b)te] \leq [eta] \wedge [bte] \leq a \wedge b$ . Therefore  $a \wedge b$  is a  $t$ -quasi-ideal element.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** For each  $x, t_1, t_2 \in T$ ,

$$l_{t_2}(l_{t_2}(x) \wedge r_{t_1}(x)) = l_{t_2}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad r_{t_1}(l_{t_2}(x) \wedge r_{t_1}(x)) = r_{t_1}(x).$$

**Proof.** Since  $x = x \wedge x \leq l_{t_2}(x) \wedge r_{t_1}(x) \leq l_{t_2}(x)$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $l_{t_2}(x) \leq l_{t_2}(l_{t_2}(x) \wedge r_{t_1}(x)) \leq l_{t_2}(l_{t_2}(x)) = l_{t_2}(x)$ . Hence  $l_{t_2}(l_{t_2}(x) \wedge r_{t_1}(x)) = l_{t_2}(x)$ . By symmetry,  $r_{t_1}(l_{t_2}(x) \wedge r_{t_1}(x)) = r_{t_1}(x)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Each  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of  $T$  has a greatest element which is equal to  $l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a)$  where  $a$  is an arbitrary element in  $H$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a$  be an element of the  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of  $T$ . By Lemma 3.3, we have  $(l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a), a) \in \mathcal{L}_t$  and  $(l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a), a) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Thus  $(l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a), a) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , so  $l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a) \in H$ . Now let any  $x \in H$ . Then  $(x, a) \in \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{L}_t \cap \mathcal{R}_t$ , this implies that  $x \leq l_t(x) = l_t(a)$  and  $x \leq r_t(x) = r_t(a)$ . Hence  $x \leq l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a)$ , so  $l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a)$  is a greatest element of  $H$ .  $\square$

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply that for each element  $a$  of  $T$ , the meet  $l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a)$  is a  $t$ -quasi-ideal element. Lemma 3.4 implies that for each element  $a$  of the  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$ ,  $l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a)$  is a greatest element of  $H$ . We call the element  $l_t(a) \wedge r_t(a)$

the representative  $t$ -quasi-ideal element of the  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $a$ ; the representative  $t$ -quasi-ideal element of an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  will be denoted by  $q_H$ . From Lemma 3.4, the following properties of  $q_H$  hold.

- (1)  $q_H \in H$ .
- (2) For each  $x \in H$ ,  $l_t(x) \wedge r_t(x) = q_H$ ; in particular,  $l_t(q_H) \wedge r_t(q_H) = q_H$ .
- (3) For each  $x \in H$ ,  $x \leq q_H$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *If elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $T$  are  $\mathcal{R}_t$ -related (resp.  $\mathcal{L}_t$ -related), then  $[xte] = [yte]$  (resp.  $[etx] = [ety]$ ).*

**Proof.** Assume that  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Then  $r_t(x) = r_t(y)$ , so  $[xte] \vee x = [yte] \vee y$ . This implies that  $[[xte]te] \vee [xte] = [[[xte] \vee x]te] = [[[yte] \vee y]te] = [[yte]te] \vee [yte]$ . Since  $[ete] \leq e$ ,  $[[xte]te] = [xt[ete]] \leq [xte]$  and  $[[yte]te] = [yt[ete]] \leq [yte]$ . Hence  $[xte] = [[xte]te] \vee [xte] = [[yte]te] \vee [yte] = [yte]$ . Similarly,  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}_t$  implies  $[etx] = [ety]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  and  $x \in H$ , then  $[etx] \wedge [xte] = [etq_H] \wedge [q_Hte]$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  and  $x \in H$ . Then  $(x, q_H) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $[etx] = [etq_H]$  and  $[xte] = [q_Hte]$ . Hence  $[etx] \wedge [xte] = [etq_H] \wedge [q_Hte]$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Main Results

In this section, we characterize the relationship between the  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -classes of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition and the semigroup  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$  and give some conditions which ensure that an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  forms a subgroup or a subsemigroup of the semigroup  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$ .

The following theorems collect several properties that hold in every  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $H$  be an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition and  $q = q_H$ . Then we have the following statements:*

- (a)  $[qtq] \in H$  and  $q = [etq] \wedge [qte]$ .
- (b) The element  $q$  is the only  $t$ -quasi-ideal element in  $H$ .
- (c) If  $x, y \in H$ , then  $y \leq [etx]$  and  $y \leq [xte]$ .
- (d) For each integer  $n \geq 2$ , let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1} \in \{t\}$ . Then  $[qtq] = [[qte]tq] = [[[[[qt_1q]t_2q] \dots q]t_{n-1}q]]$ ; in particular,  $[qtq]$  is a  $t$ -idempotent.
- (e) Every element of  $H$  is a  $t$ -intra-regular element.
- (f) The element  $q$  is a  $t$ -idempotent if and only if  $q$  is a  $t$ -regular element in which case every element of  $H$  is a  $t$ -regular element.

**Proof.** (a) Since  $H$  satisfies Green's condition, there exist  $b, c \in H$  such that  $[btc] \in H$ . Since  $b, c \in H$ , we have  $b \leq q$  and  $c \leq q$ . Thus  $[btc] \leq [qtq] \leq$

$[qte]$ , this implies that  $r_t([btc]) \leq r_t([qtq]) \leq r_t([qte])$ . Since  $([btc], q) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ ,  $([btc], q) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Thus  $r_t([btc]) = r_t(q)$ . On the other hand, since  $[ete] \leq e$ , we have  $r_t([qte]) = [[qte]te] \vee [qte] = [qt[ete]] \vee [qte] = [qte] \leq [qte] \vee q = r_t(q)$ . Hence  $r_t(q) = r_t([btc]) \leq r_t([qtq]) \leq r_t([qte]) = [qte] \leq r_t(q)$ , so  $r_t(q) = r_t([qtq]) = [qte]$ . By symmetry,  $l_t(q) = l_t([qtq]) = [etq]$ . Therefore  $(q, [qtq]) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , so  $[qtq] \in H$ . It follows that  $q = l_t(q) \wedge r_t(q) = [etq] \wedge [qte]$ .

(b) By (a),  $q$  is a  $t$ -quasi-ideal element in  $H$ . Now let  $t$  be any  $t$ -quasi-ideal element in  $H$ . By (a) and Lemma 3.6, we have  $t \leq q = [etq] \wedge [qte] = [ett] \wedge [tte] \leq t$ . Hence  $t = q$ , so we conclude that  $q$  is the only  $t$ -quasi-ideal element in  $H$ .

(c) Let any  $x, y \in H$ . By (a) and Lemma 3.6, we have  $y \leq q = [etq] \wedge [qte] = [etx] \wedge [xte]$ . Hence  $y \leq [etx]$  and  $y \leq [xte]$ .

(d) By (a),  $q = [etq] \wedge [qte] \leq [qte]$ . Thus  $[qtq] \leq [[qte]tq]$ . Since  $[etq] \leq e$ ,  $[[qte]tq] = [qt[etq]] \leq [qte]$ . Similarly, since  $[qte] \leq e$ ,  $[[qte]tq] \leq [etq]$ . Thus  $[[qte]tq] \leq [etq] \wedge [qte] = q$ . Hence  $[[[qtq]te]tq] = [[qt[qte]]tq] \leq [qtq]$ . By (a), we get  $([qtq], q) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $[qte] = [[qtq]te]$  and it follows that  $[[qte]tq] = [[[qtq]te]tq]$ . Hence  $[qtq] \leq [[qte]tq]$  and  $[[qte]tq] \leq [qtq]$ , so  $[qtq] = [[qte]tq]$ . Now let any integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1} \in \{t\}$  be such that  $[[[[[qt_1q]t_2q] \dots q]t_{k-1}q] = [qtq]$ . Then  $[[[[[[[qt_1q]t_2q] \dots q]t_{k-1}q]tq] = [[qtq]tq] = [qt[qtq]] = [qt[[qte]tq]] = [[[[[qtq]te]tq] = [[qte]tq] = [qtq]$ . In particular,  $[[[qtq]t[qtq]] = [qtq]$ . Hence  $[qtq]$  is a  $t$ -idempotent.

(e) Let any  $x \in H$ . Then  $x \leq q$ . By (a), we get  $q \leq [etq]$  and  $q \leq [qte]$ . Thus  $x \leq [etq] \leq [et[qte]] = [[etq]te]$ . By (a), we get  $([qtq], q) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $[qte] = [[qtq]te]$ . This implies that  $x \leq [[etq]te] = [et[qte]] = [et[[qtq]te]] = [[etq]t[qte]]$ . Since  $(x, q) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , it follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $[etq] = [etx]$  and  $[qte] = [xte]$ . Hence  $x \leq [[etx]t[xte]]$ , so we conclude that  $x$  is a  $t$ -intra-regular element.

(f) Assume that  $q = [qtq]$ . By (d),  $[qtq] = [[qte]tq]$ . Thus  $q = [[qte]tq] = [q[tet]q]$ , so  $q$  is a  $t$ -regular element. If  $x \in H$ , then  $x \leq q$ . Since  $(x, q) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , it follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $[etq] = [etx]$  and  $[qte] = [xte]$ . Hence  $x \leq q = [[qte]tq] = [[xte]tq] = [xt[etq]] = [x[tet]x]$ . Therefore  $x$  is a  $t$ -regular element.

Conversely, assume that  $q \leq [q[tet]q]$ . By (d),  $[qtq] = [q[tet]q]$ . Thus  $q \leq [qtq]$ . By (a),  $[qtq] \in H$ . Thus  $[qtq] \leq q$ . Hence  $q = [qtq]$ , so we conclude that  $q$  is a  $t$ -idempotent.

Therefore we complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Using the Theorem 4.1(a) and (d), we have Corollary 4.2.

**Corollary 4.2.** *An  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of  $T$  satisfies Green's condition if and only if it contains a  $t$ -idempotent.*

**Theorem 4.3.** *An  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of  $T$  is a subgroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$  if and only if it consists of a single idempotent.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $T_t$  and let  $q = q_H$ . Then  $[qtq] = q \circ q \in H$ , so  $[qtq] \leq q$ . Denote by  $i$  the identity element of  $H$ . Then  $i \leq q$ , so  $q \circ q = [qtq] \leq q = q \circ i = [qti] \leq [qtq] = q \circ q$ . Hence  $q \circ q = q$ , so we conclude that  $q = i$ . Now let  $t$  be an arbitrary element of  $H$ . We denote by  $t^{-1}$  the inverse element of  $t$  in  $H$ . Then  $t^{-1} \leq q$ , so  $q = i = t \circ t^{-1} = [ttt^{-1}] \leq [ttq] = t \circ q = t \circ i = t$ . On the other hand,  $t \leq q$ . Therefore  $t = q$ , so we conclude that  $H$  consists of a single idempotent.

The converse is obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $H$  be an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $M$  and  $q = q_H$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *An  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$ .*
- (b) *If  $x \in H$ , then  $[xtx] \in H$ .*
- (c) *An  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  satisfies Green's condition and  $[xtq] = [qtq] = [qtx]$  for every  $x \in H$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $T_t$ , we immediately have  $[xtx] = x \circ x \in H$  for all  $x \in H$ . Therefore (a) implies (b). Let any  $x \in H$ . Then  $[xtx] \in H$ , so  $H$  satisfies Green's condition and  $(x, [xtx]) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $[etx] = [et[xtx]]$  and  $[xte] = [[xtx]te]$ . Similarly, since  $(x, q) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , we get  $[etx] = [etq]$  and  $[xte] = [qte]$ . By Theorem 4.1(d),  $[qtq] = [[qte]tq]$ . Hence  $[xt[qtq]] = [xt[[qte]tq]] = [xt[[xte]tq]] = [[[xtx]te]tq] = [[xte]tq] = [[qte]tq] = [qtq]$ . Similarly,  $[[qtq]tx] = [qtq]$ . Since  $x, [qtq] \in H$ , we have  $x \leq q$  and  $[qtq] \leq q$ . Hence  $[qtq] = [xt[qtq]] \leq [xtq] \leq [qtq]$ , so we conclude that  $[xtq] = [qtq]$ . Similarly,  $[qtx] = [qtq]$ . Thus (b) implies (c). Let any  $x, y \in H$ . Then  $(y, q) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , so  $(y, q) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Thus  $r_t(y) = r_t(q)$ , so  $[yte] \vee y = [qte] \vee q$ . Hence  $r_t([xty]) = [[xty]te] \vee [xty] = [xt[yte]] \vee [xty] = [xt([yte] \vee y)] = [xt([qte] \vee q)] = [xt[qte]] \vee [xtq] = [[xtq]te] \vee [xtq] = r_t([xtq])$ . Since  $x \in H$ ,  $[xtq] = [qtq]$ . This implies that  $r_t([xty]) = r_t([qtq])$ . By Theorem 4.1(a),  $[qtq] \in H$ . It follows that  $r_t([qtq]) = r_t(q)$ . Hence  $r_t([xty]) = r_t(q)$ , so  $([xty], q) \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Similarly, since  $(y, q) \in \mathcal{L}_t$ , we have  $([xty], q) \in \mathcal{L}_t$ . We conclude that  $([xty], q) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , so  $x \circ y = [xty] \in H$ . Therefore  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $T_t$ , so we have that (c) implies (a).

Hence the theorem is now completed.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 4.4, we immediately have Corollary 4.5.

**Corollary 4.5.** *If  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  and  $[q_H tx] = q_H = [xtq_H]$  for all  $x \in H$ , then  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$ .*

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition and  $q = q_H$  is a  $t$ -ideal element, then  $[qtx] = q = [xtq]$  for all  $x \in H$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition and  $q = q_H$  is a  $t$ -ideal element. Then  $l_t(q) = q$  and  $r_t(q) = q$ , so  $[etq] \leq q$  and  $[qte] \leq q$ . By Theorem 4.1(c), we have  $q \leq [etq]$  and  $q \leq [qte]$ . This implies that  $[etq] = q = [qte]$ . By Theorem 4.1(a),  $[qtq] \in H$ . Thus  $(q, [qtq]) \in \mathcal{L}_t$ , it follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $[etq] = [et[qtq]]$ . Therefore  $[[qte]tq] = [[etq]tq] = [et[qtq]] = [etq] = q$ . Now let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $H$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have  $[etx] = [etq]$  and  $[xte] = [qte]$ . Hence  $[xtq] = [xt[etq]] = [[xte]tq] = [[qte]tq] = q$  and  $[qtx] = [[qte]tx] = [qt[etx]] = [qt[etq]] = q$ . Therefore  $[qtx] = q = [xtq]$  for all  $x \in H$ .

Hence the proof of the lemma is completed.  $\square$

Immediately from Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we have Corollary 4.7.

**Corollary 4.7.** *If  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  satisfying Green's condition and  $q_H$  is a  $t$ -ideal element, then  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$ .*

**Corollary 4.8.** *An  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of the greatest element  $e$  of  $T$  is a subsemigroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$  if and only if  $e$  is a  $t$ -idempotent.*

**Proof.** Assume that an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$  of the greatest element  $e$  of  $T$  is a subsemigroup of  $T_t$ . Then  $[ete] = e \circ e \in H$ , so  $H$  satisfies Green's condition. Since  $e \in H$ ,  $e \leq q_H$ . Thus  $q_H = e$ . Since  $e \leq [ete] \vee e = l_t(e) = r_t(e) \leq e$ , we have  $l_t(e) = e = r_t(e)$ . Hence  $e$  is a  $t$ -ideal element. By Lemma 4.6,  $[etx] = e = [xte]$  for all  $x \in H$ . Hence  $e = [ete]$ , so  $e$  is a  $t$ -idempotent.

Conversely, assume that  $e$  is a  $t$ -idempotent in an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class  $H$ . Then  $[ete] = e \in H$ , so  $H$  satisfies Green's condition. By the above proof,  $q_H = e$  and  $e$  is a  $t$ -ideal element. It follows from Corollary 4.7 that  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $T_t$ .

Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $H$  be an  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -class of  $T$  such that its representative  $t$ -quasi-ideal element  $q = q_H$  is minimal in the set of all  $t$ -quasi-ideal elements of  $T$ . Then  $H = \{x \in T \mid x \leq q\}$  is a subsemigroup of  $\langle T_t, \circ \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** If  $x \in H$ , then  $x \leq q$ . Now assume that  $x$  is an element of  $T$  such that  $x \leq q$ . Then  $l_t(x) \wedge r_t(x) \leq l_t(q) \wedge r_t(q) = q$ . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,  $l_t(x) \wedge r_t(x)$  is a  $t$ -quasi-ideal element. Since  $q$  is a minimal  $t$ -quasi-ideal element,  $l_t(x) \wedge r_t(x) = q$ . Thus  $q \leq l_t(x)$  and  $q \leq r_t(x)$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have  $l_t(q) \leq l_t(l_t(x)) = l_t(x)$  and  $r_t(q) \leq r_t(r_t(x)) = r_t(x)$ . Since  $x \leq q$ , we have  $l_t(x) \leq l_t(q)$  and  $r_t(x) \leq r_t(q)$ . Hence  $l_t(x) = l_t(q)$  and  $r_t(x) = r_t(q)$ , so  $(x, q) \in \mathcal{L}_t \cap \mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{H}_t$ . Therefore  $x \in H$ , so we conclude that  $H = \{x \in T \mid x \leq q\}$ . Now let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $H$ . Then  $x \leq q$ . Since  $x \leq e$ , we have  $[xtx] \leq [etq] \wedge [qte] \leq l_t(q) \wedge r_t(q) = q$ . This implies that  $[xtx] \in H$ . It follows from Theorem 4.4 that  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $T_t$ .

Therefore the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for the valuable suggestions which lead to an improvement of this paper.

### References

- [1] V.N. Dixit and S. Dewan, A note on quasi and bi-ideals in ternary semigroups, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **18**(1995), 501–508.
- [2] S. Kar and B. K. Maity, Congruences on ternary semigroups, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **20**(2007), 191–201.
- [3] V.K. Khanna, Lattices and Boolean Algebras, in: *Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.*, New Delhi, 1994.
- [4] D. H. Lehmer, A ternary analogue of abelian groups, *Am. J. Math.* **54**(1932), 329–338.
- [5] P. Petro and E. Pasku, The Green-Kehayopulu relation  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $le$ -semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **65**(2002), 33–42.
- [6] F.M. Sioson, Ideal theory in ternary semigroups, *Math. Jap.* **10**(1965), 63–84.

Aiyared Iampan, *Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand.*

*E-mail:* aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

*Received* June 6, 2011

*Accepted* December 4, 2012