



On Generalized Suzuki Contraction Principles in C^* -Algebra Valued S_b -Metric Spaces with Applications

Prathigadapa Anuradha^{*1,2} and Varanasi Srinivas Chary³

¹Department of Mathematics, Mallareddy University, Hyderabad, Telangana 500100, India

²Department of Mathematics, S. R. Govt. Arts and Science College, Kothagudem, Telangana 507101, India

³Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Mallareddy University, Hyderabad, Telangana 500100, India

*Corresponding author: anuprathigadapa@gmail.com

Received: November 25, 2025

Revised: February 28, 2026

Accepted: March 18, 2026

Abstract. The aim of this study is to develop and establish novel *unique fixed point* (UFP) theorems within the framework of $\mathbb{C}_\alpha^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -Suzuki contractions by employing two auxiliary functions φ and ψ in the context of admissible mappings on C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces (C^* -AV- S_b MS). Furthermore, the work intends to identify sufficient conditions ensuring the existence and uniqueness of fixed points and to illustrate the applicability of the obtained results to integral equations and homotopy through representative examples.

Keywords. $\mathbb{C}_\alpha^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -suzuki contractive type mapping, FP and C^* -AV- S_b MS

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 54H25, 47H10, 54E50

Copyright © 2026 Prathigadapa Anuradha and Varanasi Srinivas Chary. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Over recent decades, continuous efforts by scientists and engineers have aimed at addressing complex analytical and computational problems. Within this context, the concepts of fixed points and fixed point theorems occupy a central position in nonlinear analysis.

These theorems establish essential results ensuring the existence and stability of solutions across diverse mathematical frameworks, underpinning a wide range of scientific and engineering applications. The Banach contraction principle [1] stands as one of the cornerstone results in this domain. Throughout the twentieth century, significant research has concentrated

on expanding Banach's classical theorem, either by generalizing the underlying metric structures (Czerwik [3]) or by introducing broader classes of contraction mappings (see, for instance, Beniwal *et al.* [2], Mani *et al.* [8], and Pingale *et al.* [13]).

In 2008, Suzuki [22] introduced a weakened C -contractive condition that defines a contraction mapping, now known as the Suzuki contraction, and established related FPT in MS.

Subsequent studies have thoroughly examined the existence and uniqueness of FP for these mappings (Mani [7], Somal *et al.* [19], Suzuki [21], Zhang *et al.* [25]). Samet *et al.* [17] introduced α -admissible mappings, enabling generalizations through α - φ -contractions; building on this, Prakasam *et al.* [14] proved FPT for O - α - ψ -Geraghty-type mappings, while Monfared *et al.* [9] developed α -admissible $F(\psi, \phi)$ -contractions in M -metric spaces. These developments have spurred extensive research on FPs of diverse contractions involving α -admissible mappings by numerous authors.

In 2014, Ma *et al.* [6] first proposed C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, later generalizing them to C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces in 2015 with associated FPT (Ma and Jiang [5]). Razavi and Masiha [16] further explored these b -metric variants to derive common FPT. Sedghi *et al.* [18] merged S -metric and b -metric concepts to define S_b -metric spaces, proving common FPT therein. Building on Souayah and Mlaiki's framework [20], Razavi and Masiha [15, 16] introduced C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces in 2023, securing initial common FP outcomes, which spurred additional investigations (see, Haritha *et al.* [4], Narsimha *et al.* [11, 12], and Ushabhavani *et al.* [23]).

In this work, we first define a generalized Suzuki type contraction using auxiliary functions, called the Suzuki $C_\alpha^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -contraction and then utilized it to prove FPT on complete C^* -AV- S_b MS. At last, some consequences of applications to integral equations, homotopy and examples are presented to demonstrate the validity of the proved result. The results presented herein generalize, extend, and enhance various existing findings in the literature.

First we recall some basic results.

2. Preliminaries

This section briefly introduces some fundamental aspects of the theory of C^* -algebras (Murphy [10]).

Consider a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with unit element $1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Define the set of self-adjoint elements as $\mathcal{A}_h = \{s \in \mathcal{A} : s = s^*\}$. An element $s \in \mathcal{A}$ is called positive, denoted by $s \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, if it is self-adjoint and its spectrum satisfies $\sigma(s) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. Here, $0_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the zero element of \mathcal{A} and $\sigma(s)$ is the spectrum of s . A natural partial order on \mathcal{A}_h is given by $\ell \leq \wp$ if and only if $\wp - \ell \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. We denote the positive cone by $\mathcal{A}_+ = \{s \in \mathcal{A} : s \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ and the commutant of \mathcal{A} by $\mathcal{A}' = \{s \in \mathcal{A} : st = ts, \text{ for all } t \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Definition 2.1 ([15]). Let \mathcal{G} be a non-empty set and $\kappa \in \mathcal{A}'$ with $\|\kappa\| \geq 1$. A mapping $\zeta_\kappa : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_+$ defines a C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric structure if it satisfies:

- (i) $\zeta_\kappa(s, e, \ell) \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, for all $s, e, \ell \in \mathcal{G}$,
- (ii) $\zeta_\kappa(s, e, \ell) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $s = e = \ell$,
- (iii) $\zeta_\kappa(s, e, \ell) \leq \kappa(\zeta_\kappa(s, s, \theta) + \zeta_\kappa(e, e, \theta) + \zeta_\kappa(\ell, \ell, \theta))$, for all $s, e, \ell, \theta \in \mathcal{G}$.

The triple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ constitutes a C^* -AV- S_b MS with coefficient κ .

Definition 2.2 ([15]). Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS and $\{\chi_n\}$ a sequence in \mathcal{G} . Then

- (i) $\{\chi_n\}$ forms a Cauchy sequence whenever $\|\zeta_\kappa(\chi_{n+p}, \chi_{n+p}, \chi_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) $\{\chi_n\}$ converges to $\chi \in \mathcal{G}$ if $\|\zeta_\kappa(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, denoted $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = \chi$;
- (iii) the space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ is complete if all Cauchy sequences converge in \mathcal{G} .

Definition 2.3 ([15]). Consider C^* -AV- S_b MSs $(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \zeta_{\kappa_1})$ and $(\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \zeta_{\kappa_2})$. A mapping $\mathfrak{k} : (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \zeta_{\kappa_1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \zeta_{\kappa_2})$ is continuous at $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_1$ if whenever $\{\chi_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}_1$ satisfies $\|\zeta_{\kappa_1}(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\|\zeta_{\kappa_2}(\mathfrak{k}(\chi_n), \mathfrak{k}(\chi_n), \mathfrak{k}(\chi))\| \rightarrow 0$. The mapping \mathfrak{k} is continuous on \mathcal{G}_1 precisely when it is continuous at every point of \mathcal{G}_1 .

Lemma 2.1 ([15]). In an C^* -AV- S_b MS, we have

$$\zeta_\kappa(s, s, e) \leq \kappa \zeta_\kappa(e, e, s) \text{ and } \zeta_\kappa(e, e, s) \leq \kappa \zeta_\kappa(s, s, e), \text{ for all } s, e \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([15]). In an C^* -AV- S_b MS, we have

$$\zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathfrak{k}) \leq 2\kappa \zeta_\kappa(s, s, e) + \kappa^2 \zeta_\kappa(e, e, \mathfrak{k}), \text{ for all } s, e, \mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([24]). Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra with unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then

- (i) for any sequence $\{s_a\}_{a=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} s_a = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} s_a^* s_a = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, for all $s \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) if $s, e \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and $s \in \mathcal{A}_+ \cap \mathcal{A}'$, then $s \leq e$ implies $ss \leq se$;
- (iii) whenever $s \in \mathcal{A}_+$ satisfies $\|s\| < \frac{1}{2}$, the element $1_{\mathcal{A}} - s$ is invertible with $\|s(1_{\mathcal{A}} - s)^{-1}\| < 1$;
- (iv) for commuting positive elements $s, e \in \mathcal{A}_+$, their product satisfies $se \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$.

3. Main Results

This section first defines the $C_\alpha^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -Suzuki contraction and subsequently establishes the primary fixed point theorem.

Let us denote the classes of functions $\Phi = \{\varphi/\psi : \mathcal{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_+ \text{ is a monotone increasing and continuous function with } \varphi(\mathfrak{k}) = 0_{\mathcal{A}} \iff \mathfrak{k} = 0_{\mathcal{A}}\}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS with mappings $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\alpha : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_+$. The mapping \mathbb{Q} is α -adm if $\alpha(s, s, \mathfrak{a}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}} \implies \alpha(\mathbb{Q}s, \mathbb{Q}s, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{a}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, holds for all $s, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS, $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a mapping and $\alpha : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be functions then \mathbb{Q} is called a triangular α -admissible, if

- (i) \mathbb{Q} is α -admissible (α -adm);
- (ii) $\alpha(s, s, \mathfrak{a}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\alpha(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies $\alpha(s, s, \mathfrak{a}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, for all $s, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{G} be a nonempty set and \mathbb{Q} be an α -adm map on \mathcal{G} . Then \mathbb{Q} is α^* -adm if for all $s, \mathfrak{k} \in \text{Fix}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$, we have $\alpha(s, s, \mathfrak{k}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $\text{Fix}(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, we say that \mathbb{Q} is vacuously α^* -adm.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbb{Q} be a self map on C^* -AV- S_b MS $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$, which is known as orbitally continuous on \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{A} if $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}^{3s}(\mathfrak{a}) = \zeta \implies \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}^{3s+1}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{Q}\zeta$.

Let \mathbb{Q} is self map on $\mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$. We denote $\text{Fix}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{\mathfrak{a} : \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

Definition 3.5. Consider a C^* -AV- S_b MS $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ with $\|\kappa\| > 1$, together with mappings $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\alpha : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_+$. The mapping \mathbb{Q} constitutes a $\mathbb{C}_\alpha^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -Suzuki type weak contraction if there exist $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|a\| < 1$ satisfying the contraction condition for all $s, e, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$ and $i = 3, 4, 5$.

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_\kappa(s, e, \mathbb{Q}s) < \zeta_\kappa(s, e, \varkappa) \implies \varphi(\alpha(s, e, \varkappa) \zeta_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}s, \mathbb{Q}e, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)) \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^7} [a^* M^i(s, e, \varkappa) a]\right), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$M^3(s, e, \varkappa) = \max \left\{ \zeta_\kappa(s, e, \varkappa), \frac{1}{2\kappa^7} [\zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathbb{Q}s) + \zeta_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)], \frac{1}{4\kappa^7} [\zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa) + \zeta_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}s)] \right\},$$

$$M^4(s, e, \varkappa) = \max \left\{ \zeta_\kappa(s, e, \varkappa), \zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathbb{Q}s), \zeta_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa), \frac{1}{4\kappa^7} [\zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa) + \zeta_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}s)] \right\},$$

$$M^5(s, e, \varkappa) = \max \{ \zeta_\kappa(s, e, \varkappa), \zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathbb{Q}s), \zeta_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa), \zeta_\kappa(s, s, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa), \zeta_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}s) \}.$$

We begin by proving the following crucial lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_\kappa)$ be a C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\|\kappa\| \geq 1$. Further assume that sequence $\{\xi_\xi\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ satisfies $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_\xi, \xi_\xi, \xi_{\xi+1}) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $\{\xi_\xi\}$ is not Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{A} then there exists an $\epsilon > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ and sequences of positive integers $\{\eta_b\}$ and $\{\xi_b\}$ with $\{\eta_b\} > \{\xi_b\} > b$ such that $\zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) > \epsilon$ and $\zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_{b-1}}) \leq \epsilon$. Then, the following holds:

- (i) $\epsilon \leq \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq \limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq \kappa^2 \epsilon$;
- (ii) $\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^2} \leq \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq \limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq \epsilon \kappa^4$;
- (iii) $\frac{\epsilon}{2\kappa^2} \leq \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\xi_{b-1}}, \xi_{\xi_{b-1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}) \leq \limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\xi_{b-1}}, \xi_{\xi_{b-1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}) \leq \epsilon \kappa^5$.

Proof. Since $\{\xi_\xi\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is not Cauchy with respect to \mathcal{A} , there exist $\epsilon > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ and strictly increasing sequences $\{\eta_b\}$, $\{\xi_b\}$ of positive integers with $\eta_b > \xi_b > b$ such that

$$\zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) > \epsilon, \quad \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_{b-1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b-1}}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

From the first inequality in (3.2) and definition of \liminf , taking $b \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\epsilon \leq \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}). \quad (3.3)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with triple $(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b})$ and intermediate point $\xi_{\eta_{b-1}}$, we get

$$\zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq 2\kappa \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_{b-1}}) + \kappa^2 \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_{b-1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b-1}}, \xi_{\xi_b}). \quad (3.4)$$

By $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_\xi, \xi_\xi, \xi_{\xi+1}) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the second inequality of (3.2), taking \limsup in (3.4) gives

$$\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq \kappa^2 \epsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

Combining (3.3)–(3.5) yields (i).

For (ii), apply Lemma 2.2 to $\zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b})$ with intermediate $\xi_{\eta_{b+1}}$:

$$\epsilon < \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\xi_b}) \leq 2\kappa \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_b}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}) + \kappa^2 \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\xi_b}). \quad (3.6)$$

Taking \liminf in (3.6) yields

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^2} \leq \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_\kappa(\xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\eta_{b+1}}, \xi_{\xi_b}). \quad (3.7)$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with intermediate \mathfrak{k}_{η_b} gives

$$\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}) \leq 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}) + \kappa^2 \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}). \tag{3.8}$$

Taking limsup in (3.8) using the limit assumption and (i) yields

$$\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}) \leq \epsilon \kappa^4. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.7)–(3.9) gives (ii).

For (iii), apply Lemma 2.2 twice to estimate $\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b})$ via $\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}$ and then $\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &< \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}) \\ &\leq 4\kappa^2 \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}) + 2\kappa^2 \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}) + \kappa^2 \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Taking liminf in (3.10) yields

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2\kappa^2} \leq \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}). \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, apply Lemma 2.2 to $\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}})$ with intermediate \mathfrak{k}_{ξ_b} :

$$\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}) \leq 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}) + \kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}). \tag{3.12}$$

Taking limsup in (3.12) using the limit assumption and (ii) gives

$$\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}) \leq \epsilon \kappa^5. \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.11)–(3.13) yields (iii). □

Theorem 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_{\kappa})$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS and $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an $C_{\alpha}^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -suzuki type weak contraction with $i = 5$ or 4 or 3 such that the given hypotheses hold:

- (i) \mathbb{Q} is a α -adm;
- (ii) $\exists \mathfrak{k}_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_0) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (iii) For sequences $\{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, if $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, for all ξ , and $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{k}_{\xi} = \mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{G}$ then $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (iv) \mathbb{Q} is continuous or orbitally continuous on \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{A} .

Then $\varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$ constitutes a fixed point (FP) of \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, if \mathbb{Q} is α^* -admissible, then $\varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$ serves as the unique fixed point (UFP) of \mathbb{Q} . Furthermore, for any initial point $\mathfrak{k}_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1} = \mathbb{Q}^{\xi+1}(\mathfrak{k}_0) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi})$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, the iterates converge: $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}^{\xi}(\mathfrak{k}_0) = \varkappa$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{k}_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_0) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and construct a sequence $\{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}\}$ by $\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1} = \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi} = \mathbb{Q}^{\xi+1}\mathfrak{k}_0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$. If $\mathfrak{k}_{\xi} = \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}$, it follows that $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_0} = \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_0}$ for some $\xi \geq 0$, then \mathfrak{k}_{ξ_0} is a FP of \mathbb{Q} .

Now, suppose $\mathfrak{k}_{\xi} \neq \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}$, for all $\xi \geq 0$. Then $\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, for all $\xi \geq 0$. Since, \mathbb{Q} is a α -admissible, then $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_1) = \alpha(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_0) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_2) = \alpha(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}^2\mathfrak{k}_0) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. By repeating similar process, we obtain inductively that $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, for all $\xi \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) = \alpha(\mathbb{Q}^{\xi}\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}^{\xi}\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathbb{Q}^{\xi+1}\mathfrak{k}_0) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \text{for all } \xi \geq 0.$$

Since,

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) < \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}).$$

Now, by eq. (3.1) with $i = 5$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})) &= \varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})) \\ &\leq \varphi(a(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})) \\ &\leq \psi\left(a^* \max\left\{\frac{1}{4\kappa^7}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}), \frac{1}{4\kappa^7}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{4\kappa^7}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\right\}a\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\kappa^7}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2}) &\leq \frac{1}{4\kappa^7}[2\kappa\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) + \kappa^2\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})] \\ &\leq \max\left\{\frac{1}{\kappa^6}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}), \frac{1}{2\kappa^5}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.14), we get

$$\varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})) \leq \psi\left(a^* \max\left\{\frac{1}{\kappa^6}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}), \frac{1}{2\kappa^5}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\right\}a\right). \quad (3.15)$$

Since φ and ψ are continuous functions, thus

$$\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2}) \leq a^* \max\left\{\frac{1}{\kappa^6}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}), \frac{1}{2\kappa^5}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\right\}a.$$

If $\frac{1}{2\kappa^5}\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})$ is maximum, since $\|a\| < 1$ and $\|\kappa\| > 1$, then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\| &\leq \frac{\|a\|^2}{2\|\kappa\|^5} \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\| \\ &< \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\| \end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction. Hence, $\|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+2})\| < \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})\|$.

Similarly, by proceeding as above, we get $\|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})\| < \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi-1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi-1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})\|$. Thus, we get a sequence of strictly non-increasing functions such that for any $\delta \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) = \delta$. Suppose $\delta > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, then on taking $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty}$ in eq. (3.15), we get

$$\varphi(\delta) \leq \psi\left(a^* \frac{\delta}{\kappa^6}a\right)$$

implies

$$\|\delta\| \leq \frac{\|a\|^2}{\|\kappa\|^6} \|\delta\| < \|\delta\|$$

which is a contradiction and hence,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Next, we will show that $\{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}\}$ is a *Cauchy Sequence* (CS) in \mathcal{G} with regard to \mathcal{A} . Assume on contrary that the sequence $\{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}\}$ is not CS, then for an $\epsilon > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists sub-sequences of positive integers $\eta_{\mathfrak{b}} > \xi_{\mathfrak{b}} > \mathfrak{b}$ such that

$$\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{\mathfrak{b}}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{\mathfrak{b}}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{b}}}) > \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{\mathfrak{b}}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{\mathfrak{b}}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{b}}-1}) \leq \epsilon.$$

Also for this $\epsilon > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$, the convergence of sequence $\{\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})\}$ implies that there exists some $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}) < \epsilon$. For all $\xi \geq N_0$, let $N_1 = \max\{\eta_i, N_0\}$. Thus, for all

$\eta_b > \xi_b \geq N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}) &\leq \epsilon < \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}) \\ &\leq 2\kappa\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}) + \kappa\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}) \\ &< 2\kappa\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}) + \kappa\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

since $\epsilon > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$ is arbitrary, and thus $\frac{1}{2\kappa}\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}) < \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}})$.

Since, $\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}) \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Now, by eq. (3.1) with $i = 5$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b})) &= \varphi(\varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}})\varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}})) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^7}\left[a^* \max\left\{\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}), \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}}), \right.\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}), \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_b}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_b}), \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi_{b-1}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\eta_{b+1}})\right\}a\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty}$, and on using Lemma 3.1 in above equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^2}\right) &\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^7}[a^* \max\{\epsilon, 0, 0, \epsilon\kappa^2, \epsilon\kappa^5\}a]\right) \\ &\leq \psi\left(a^* \frac{\epsilon}{4\kappa^2} a\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since φ and ψ are continuous functions, $\left\|\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^2}\right\| \leq \frac{\|a\|^2\|\epsilon\|}{4\|\kappa\|^2} < \left\|\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^2}\right\|$ which is again a contradiction. Thus our assumption is wrong. Hence, $\{\xi_\xi\}$ is a CS. Since \mathcal{G} is a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS so the sequence $\{\xi_\xi\}$ converges along with all its sub-sequences to some point say \varkappa , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}\xi_\xi = \varkappa = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{\xi+1}$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\xi, \xi_\xi, \varkappa) = \varsigma_\kappa(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa) = \lim_{\eta, \xi \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\xi, \xi_\xi, \eta) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Now, we prove that \mathbb{Q} has a FP \varkappa in \mathcal{G} . Now, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\xi, \xi_\xi, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\xi) < \varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\xi, \xi_\xi, \varkappa) \text{ or } \frac{1}{2\kappa}\varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\xi_\xi, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\xi, \mathbb{Q}^2\xi_\xi) < \varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\xi_\xi, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\xi, \varkappa), \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.17}$$

Again, suppose that $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\eta, \xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta) \geq \varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\eta, \xi_\eta, \varkappa) \text{ and } \frac{1}{2\kappa}\varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}^2\xi_\eta) \geq \varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \varkappa). \tag{3.18}$$

On using property (iii) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\eta, \xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta) &\leq 2\kappa\varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\eta, \xi_\eta, \varkappa) + \kappa\varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \varkappa) \\ &\leq \varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\eta, \xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta) + \frac{1}{2}\varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}^2\xi_\eta) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2}\varsigma_\kappa(\xi_\eta, \xi_\eta, \mathbb{Q}\xi_\eta). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, and hence we have our claim, and thus inequality (3.17) is true, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$. Inequality (3.17) implies that (from eq. (3.1)) with $i = 5$ and condition (iii) in hypothesis

of theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)) &\leq \varphi(\alpha(\xi_{\xi}, \xi_{\xi}, \varkappa)\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^7}[a^* M^i(\xi_{\xi}, \xi_{\xi}, \varkappa)a]\right) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^7}\left[a^* \max\left\{\varsigma_{\kappa}(\xi_{\xi}, \xi_{\xi}, \varkappa), \varsigma_{\kappa}(\xi_{\xi}, \xi_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi}), \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \varsigma_{\kappa}(\xi_{\xi}, \xi_{\xi}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa), \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi})\right\}a\right]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Taking $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty}$ in above inequality, and using the fact that the maps φ and ψ are continuous, we have

$$\varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)) \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^7}[a^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)a]\right)$$

since $\|a\| < 1$ and $\|\kappa\| > 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)\| &\leq \frac{\|a\|^2}{4\|\kappa\|^7} \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)\| \\ &< \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)\| \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and only possible $\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence, \varkappa is a FP of \mathbb{Q} . Now, assume \mathbb{Q} is orbitally continuous on \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{A} , then $\xi_{\xi+1} = \mathbb{Q}\xi_{\xi} = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}^{\xi}\xi_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\varkappa$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. By completeness property, we obtain $\mathbb{Q}\varkappa = \varkappa$. Therefore, $\text{Fix}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. Since, \mathbb{Q} is α^* -adm, so that, for all $\varkappa, \varkappa' \in \text{Fix}(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $\alpha(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa') \geq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Assume, $\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa') = \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa') > 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa) = 0_{\mathcal{A}} < \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa').$$

Then from eq. (3.1) with $i = 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa')) &= \varphi(\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa')) \\ &\leq \varphi(\alpha(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa')\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa')) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{4\kappa^6}[a^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa')a]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\|a\| < 1$ and the maps φ and ψ are continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa')\| &\leq \frac{\|a\|^2}{4\|\kappa\|^6} \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa')\| \\ &< \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\varkappa, \varkappa, \varkappa')\| \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, \varkappa in \mathcal{G} is a UFP of \mathbb{Q} .

By substituting $i = 3$ or $i = 4$ into eq. (3.1) and proceeding along similar lines as in the previous part of the proof, we conclude that \mathbb{Q} possesses the UFP. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \varsigma_{\kappa})$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS, and let $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a self-map satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \varsigma_{\kappa}(s, e, \mathbb{Q}s) < \varsigma_{\kappa}(s, e, \varkappa) \implies \varphi(2\kappa^4 \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}s, \mathbb{Q}e, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)) \leq \psi(a^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(s, e, \varkappa)a),$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|a\| < 1$. Then, \mathbb{Q} has a UFP in \mathcal{G} .

Proof. The result follows along similar lines as Theorem 3.1, by taking $\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa)$ in place of $M^i(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa)$ and setting $\alpha(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ in Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \varsigma_\kappa)$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS, and let $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a self-map such that

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{s}) < \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) \implies \varsigma_\kappa(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{s}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{e}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa) \leq a^* \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) a,$$

where $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|a\| < 1$. Then, the mapping \mathbb{Q} possesses a UFP in \mathcal{G} .

Proof. The conclusion is obtained by following arguments similar to those in Corollary 3.2, using the choices $\varphi(\mathfrak{k}) = \psi(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{k}$ for simplification. \square

Example 3.6. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ with usual addition and multiplication, regarded as a trivial C^* -algebra, and let $\mathcal{G} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, a finite non-empty set. Define the function $\varsigma_\kappa : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{k}) = |s - e| + |e - k| + |k - s|.$$

This satisfies the properties of a C^* -AV- S_b MS with coefficient $\|\kappa\| = 2 > 1$. Define the mapping $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by $\mathbb{Q}(s) = 1$, for all $s \in \mathcal{G}$. Define $\alpha : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\alpha(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{k}) = 1$.

Define $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\varphi(x) = x$, $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$. Take $a = \frac{1}{2}$, which satisfies $\|a\| = 0.5 < 1$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, \mathbb{Q} has a UFP $\varkappa = 1$, and for any $\mathfrak{k}_0 \in \mathcal{G}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Q}^n \mathfrak{k}_0 = 1$.

Example 3.7. Let $\mathcal{G} = [0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{A} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$, the algebra of 2×2 real matrices, with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. Define the metric function $d : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as $d(\mathfrak{s}, \varkappa) = (\mathfrak{s} - \varkappa)^2$, making (\mathcal{G}, d) a b -MS with constant $\kappa = 2$.

$$\text{Define } \varsigma_\kappa : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ by } \varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) = \begin{bmatrix} d(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}) + d(\mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) + d(\varkappa, \mathfrak{s}) & 0 \\ 0 & d(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{e}) + d(\mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) + d(\varkappa, \mathfrak{s}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This makes $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \varsigma_\kappa)$ a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\|\kappa\| = 2 \geq 1$. Define $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ as $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{b\mathfrak{s}}{3+\mathfrak{s}}$, where $b \in (0, 1/6]$. Define $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$ entry-wise by $\varphi(\mathfrak{k}) = \frac{1}{1+\|\mathfrak{k}\|}$, $\psi(\mathfrak{k}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{k}$. Choose $a = \frac{1}{2}I_2$, with $\|a\| = 1/2 < 1$. Hence, by Corollary 3.2 \mathbb{Q} has a UFP at $\mathfrak{s} = 0$.

4. Applications

4.1 Application to Nonlinear Integral Equations

Let $\mathcal{E} = [0, 1]$ be a measurable set with finite measure and $\mathcal{G} = L^\infty(\mathcal{E})$. Consider the space $B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))$ of bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{E})$. Equip \mathcal{G} with the C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric $\varsigma_\kappa : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))$ defined by $\varsigma_\kappa(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{e}, \varkappa) = \mathbb{M}_{(|\mathfrak{z}-\varkappa|+|\mathfrak{e}-\varkappa|)^p}$, where \mathbb{M}_h denotes the multiplication operator by the function $h \in L^\infty(\mathcal{E})$ on $L^2(\mathcal{E})$, $p > 1$, and $\kappa = 2^{2(p-1)}$. Consider the nonlinear integral equation $\mathfrak{z}(s) = \int_0^1 w(s, t) \mathfrak{f}(t, \mathfrak{z}(t)) dt$, $s \in \mathcal{E}$, subject to these conditions:

(i) The kernel $w : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is measurable with $W = \sup_{s \in \mathcal{E}} \int_0^1 w(s, t) dt < \infty$.

(ii) The function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition $|\mathfrak{f}(t, a) - \mathfrak{f}(t, b)| \leq L|a - b|$, for all $t \in \mathcal{E}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, where $L \in (0, 1/W)$.

Define the operator $\mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}(s) := \int_0^1 w(s, t) \mathfrak{f}(t, \mathfrak{z}(t)) dt$.

We explicitly choose the control functions $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$ as linear scalings on $B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))_+$ as $\varphi(X) = c_1 X$, $\psi(X) = c_2 X$, where $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$. Let $a = \sqrt{LW} \cdot 1_{B(L^2(\mathcal{E}))}$, so $\|a\| = \sqrt{LW} < 1$.

Theorem 4.1. Under the above conditions, the operator \mathbb{Q} satisfies the contractive condition of Corollary 3.2:

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}) < \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \varkappa) \implies \varphi(2\kappa^4 \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)) \leq \psi(a^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \varkappa)a).$$

Consequently, \mathbb{Q} has a unique fixed point $\mathfrak{z}^* \in \mathcal{G}$, which solves the integral equation.

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{z}, \varkappa \in \mathcal{G}$, and $s \in \mathcal{E}$, apply the Lipschitz condition and kernel bound:

$$|\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}(s) - \mathbb{Q}\varkappa(s)| \leq L \int_0^1 w(s, t) |\mathfrak{z}(s) - \varkappa(s)| dt.$$

Using the C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric definition, $\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa) = \mathbb{M}_{(|\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z} - \mathbb{Q}\varkappa| + |\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z} - \mathbb{Q}\varkappa|)^p}$. Then, we have $\|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa)\| \leq (LW)^p \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \varepsilon, \varkappa)\|$.

Multiplying by $2\kappa^4$ and applying φ : $\|\varphi(2\kappa^4 \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{Q}\varepsilon, \mathbb{Q}\varkappa))\| = c_1 2\kappa^4 (LW)^p \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \varepsilon, \varkappa)\|$. On the right side, $\|\psi(a^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \varepsilon, \varkappa)a)\| = c_2 \|a\|^2 \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \varepsilon, \varkappa)\| = c_2 (LW) \|\varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{z}, \varepsilon, \varkappa)\|$. Choosing c_1, c_2, p , and κ such that $c_1 2\kappa^4 (LW)^p \leq c_2 (LW)$ guarantees the contractive inequality. Thus, all conditions of Corollary 3.2 hold, and \mathbb{Q} has a unique fixed point. \square

4.2 Application to Homotopy

In this section, we investigate whether homotopy could have a unique solution.

Theorem 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \varsigma_{\kappa})$ be a complete C^* -AV- S_b MS with $\|\kappa\| > 1$. Suppose $\mathbb{U} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{U}}$ where \mathbb{U} is open and $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ is closed in \mathcal{G} . Consider a homotopy $\mathbb{T} : \overline{\mathbb{U}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ satisfying:

$$(\tau_0) \mathbb{T}(v, s) \neq v, \text{ for all } v \in \partial\mathbb{U}, s \in [0, 1],$$

$$(\tau_1) \exists v, \varkappa \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \|\mathfrak{b}\| < 1 \text{ such that}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \varsigma_{\kappa}(v, v, \mathbb{T}(v, s)) < \varsigma_{\kappa}(v, v, \varkappa) \implies 2\kappa \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v, s), \mathbb{T}(v, s), \mathbb{T}(\varkappa, s)) \leq \mathfrak{b}^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(v, v, \varkappa) \mathfrak{b},$$

$$(\tau_2) \exists M \geq 0_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ such that } \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v, s), \mathbb{T}(v, s), \mathbb{T}(v, t)) \leq \|M\| |s - t|, \text{ for all } v \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}, s, t \in [0, 1].$$

Then $\mathbb{T}(\cdot, 0)$ has a FP if and only if $\mathbb{T}(\cdot, 1)$ does.

Proof. Consider the set $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathfrak{k} \in [0, 1] : \exists v \in \mathbb{U} \text{ such that } v = \mathbb{T}(v, \mathfrak{k})\}$.

Since $\mathbb{T}(\cdot, 0)$ admits a FP in \mathbb{U} , it follows that $0 \in \mathcal{G}$, so $\mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$. We establish $\mathcal{G} = [0, 1]$ by showing \mathcal{G} is both open and closed in $[0, 1]$, implying $\mathbb{T}(\cdot, 1)$ has a FP in \mathbb{U} .

First, verify \mathcal{G} is closed. Let $\{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}\}_{\xi=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathfrak{k}_{\xi} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k} \in [0, 1]$. For each ξ , there exists $v_{\xi} \in \mathbb{U}$ satisfying $v_{\xi+1} = \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})$. The proof succeeds if some $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$ exists such that $\varsigma_{\kappa}(v_{\xi}, v_{\xi}, \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Since $\frac{1}{2\kappa} \varsigma_{\kappa}(v_{\xi}, v_{\xi}, \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) < \varsigma_{\kappa}(v_{\xi}, v_{\xi}, v_{\xi+1})$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})) &\leq 2\kappa \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) \\ &\quad + \kappa \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) \\ &\leq 2\kappa \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) + \|M\| |\mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1} - \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma_{\kappa}(v_{\xi+1}, v_{\xi+1}, v_{\xi+2}) &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa \varsigma_{\kappa}(\mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbb{T}(v_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) + 0_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{b}^* \varsigma_{\kappa}(v_{\xi}, v_{\xi}, v_{\xi+1}) \mathfrak{b} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{v}^*)^{\xi+1} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_0, \mathfrak{v}_0, \mathfrak{v}_1)(\mathfrak{v})^{\xi+1}$$

which, together with the property, if $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{c}$ implies $u^* \mathfrak{a} u \leq u^* \mathfrak{c} u$, yields that for each $\xi \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, put $\Delta_{\xi} = \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+2})$, we have

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\xi} = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+2}) \leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{v}^*)^{\xi+1} \Delta_0 (\mathfrak{v})^{\xi+1}.$$

Since $\|\mathfrak{v}\| < 1$, it follows that $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+2}) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Next, we show that $\{\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}\}$ is a CS with respect to \mathcal{A} . Now for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$ using condition (iii) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}) &\leq 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-1}) + 2\kappa^3 \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-2}) \\ &\quad + 2\kappa^5 \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-2}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-2}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta-3}) + \dots + 2\kappa^{\zeta} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}) \\ &\leq 2\kappa \sum_{i=\xi}^{\xi+\zeta-1} \kappa^{\zeta-i+\xi} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_i, \mathfrak{v}_i, \mathfrak{v}_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+\zeta}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}) &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa \sum_{i=\xi}^{\xi+\zeta-1} \kappa^{\zeta-i+\xi} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_i, \mathfrak{v}_i, \mathfrak{v}_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa \sum_{i=\xi}^{\xi+\zeta-1} \kappa^{\zeta-i+\xi} (\mathfrak{v}^*)^i \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathfrak{v})^i \\ &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa \|\Delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \frac{\|\kappa\|^{\zeta+1} \|\mathfrak{v}\|^{2\zeta}}{\|\kappa\| - \|\mathfrak{v}\|} 1_{\mathcal{A}} = 0_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sequence $\{\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}\}$ is a C^* -AV- S_b -CS with respect to \mathcal{A} . The sequence $\{\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v} \in (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_{\kappa})$ comes from the completeness of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \zeta_{\kappa})$. $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1} = \mathfrak{v} = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}$. We can prove $\mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{v}$. Now,

we claim that

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) < \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})) < \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}).$$

Suppose above inequality is not true for every $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore for some $\xi \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) \geq \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi+1})) \geq \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}).$$

On using property (iii) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) &\leq 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}) + \kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathfrak{v}) \\ &\leq \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+1}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}))\| &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \|\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}))\| + \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathfrak{v}\|^2}{2} \|\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}))\| \\ &\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\|\mathfrak{v}\|^2}{2}\right) \|\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}))\| \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, and hence we have our claim, and so above inequality is true, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$. Since, $\frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi})) < \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{v})$, from (τ_1) , we have

$$\zeta_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{k})) \leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{k}_{\xi}), \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{k}))$$

$$\leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{v}^* \zeta_{\kappa}(v_{\xi}, v_{\xi}, v) \mathfrak{v} = 0_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Accordingly, $T(v, \mathfrak{k}) = v$ indicates that $\zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, T(v, \mathfrak{k})) = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$. So, \mathfrak{k} in \mathcal{G} . It is obvious that \mathcal{G} is closed in $[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{G} be \mathfrak{k}_0 . Then, v_0 exists in \mathbb{U} such that $v_0 = T(v_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)$. Because \mathbb{U} is open, $\delta > 0$ must exist for $B_{\zeta_{\kappa}}(v_0, \delta) \subseteq \mathbb{U}$. Select the value of $\mathfrak{k} \in (\mathfrak{k}_0 - \epsilon, \mathfrak{k}_0 + \epsilon)$ such that $|\mathfrak{k} - \mathfrak{k}_0| \leq \frac{1}{\|M\|^{\xi}} < \epsilon$.

Consequently, for $\overline{B_b(v_0, \delta)} = \{v \in \mathcal{G} : \|\zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0)\| \leq \delta + \|\zeta_{\kappa}(v_0, v_0, v_0)\|\}$.

Since, $\frac{1}{2\kappa} \zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, T(v_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)) < \zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0)$ then from (τ_1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0), T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0), T(v_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)) &\leq 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0), T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0), T(v_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{v}^* \zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0) \mathfrak{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Now from above inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v, \mathfrak{k}), v_0) &= \zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)) \\ &\leq 2\kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0)) + \kappa \zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0), T(v, \mathfrak{k}_0), T(v_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)) \\ &\leq 2\kappa \|M\| |\mathfrak{k} - \mathfrak{k}_0| + \mathfrak{v}^* \zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0) \mathfrak{v} \\ &\leq \frac{2\kappa}{\|M\|^{\xi-1}} + \mathfrak{v}^* \zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0) \mathfrak{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\|\zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v, \mathfrak{k}), v_0)\| \leq \|\mathfrak{v}\|^2 \|\zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0)\|.$$

Since $\|\mathfrak{v}\| < 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_{\kappa}(T(v, \mathfrak{k}), T(v, \mathfrak{k}), v_0)\| &< \|\zeta_{\kappa}(v, v, v_0)\| \\ &\leq \delta + \|\zeta_{\kappa}(v_0, v_0, v_0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each fixed $\mathfrak{k} \in (\mathfrak{k}_0 - \epsilon, \mathfrak{k}_0 + \epsilon)$, the operator $T(\cdot, 0)$ maps the closed ball $\overline{B_b(v_0, \delta)}$ into itself. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, guaranteeing the existence of a FP of $T(\cdot, 0)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$. Since this FP must lie in \mathbb{U} , it follows that $(\mathfrak{k}_0 - \epsilon, \mathfrak{k}_0 + \epsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, showing that \mathcal{G} is open in $[0, 1]$. A parallel argument can establish that \mathcal{G} is closed. \square

5. Conclusion

This paper presents UFPT for α -admissible self-mappings in C^* -AV- S_b MS by introducing $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}^{(\varphi, \psi)}$ -Suzuki contractions with two control functions φ and ψ . The results provide sufficient conditions guaranteeing existence and uniqueness of FPs, extending known theorems in these settings. Applications to nonlinear integral equations and homotopy problems demonstrate the robustness and versatility of the approach, highlighting potential uses in nonlinear analysis and operator theory.

Nomenclature

C^* -AV- S_b MS	: C^* -Algebra-Valued S_b -Metric Spaces
UFP	: Unique Fixed Point
UFPT	: Unique Fixed Point Theorem
FPS	: Fixed Points
FP	: Fixed Point
CS	: Cauchy Sequence

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and thoughtful suggestions.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **3**(1) (1922), 133 – 181, DOI: 10.4064/fm-3-1-133-181.
- [2] S. Beniwal, N. Mani, R. Shukla and A. Sharma, Fixed point results for compatible mappings in extended parametric S_b -metric spaces, *Mathematics* **12**(10) (2024), 1460, DOI: 10.3390/math12101460.
- [3] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis* **1**(1) (1993), 5 – 11, URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120469>.
- [4] D. Haritha, K. R. K. Rao, B. S. Rao and N. Mangapathi, Fixed point applications of twisted (α, β) - φ -contractive mappings in C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric spaces, *Advances in Fixed Point Theory* **15** (2025), Article ID 34, DOI: 10.28919/afpt/9444.
- [5] Z. Ma and L. Jiang, C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2015** (2015), article number 222, DOI: 10.1186/s13663-015-0471-6.
- [6] Z. Ma, L. Jiang and H. Sun, C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2014** (2014), article number 206, DOI: 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-206.
- [7] N. Mani, Generalized C_β^ψ -rational contraction and fixed point theorem with application to second order deferential equation, *Mathematica Moravica* **22**(1) (2018), 43 – 54, DOI: 10.5937/matmor1801043m.
- [8] N. Mani, Anjana and R. Shukla, Generalized \mathcal{R} -contractions and fixed point theorems with an application to boundary value problem governing transverse oscillation in homogeneous bar, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* **2025** (2025), article number 52, DOI: 10.1186/s13660-025-03298-3.
- [9] H. Monfared, M. Asadi, M. Azhini and D. O'Regan, $F(\psi, \phi)$ -Contractions for α -admissible mappings on M -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2018** (2018), article number 22, DOI: 10.1186/s13663-018-0647-y.
- [10] G. J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and Operator Theory*, 1st edition, Academic Press, London, UK, (1990).
- [11] N. Narsimha, G. U. Reddy, P. Naresh and B. S. Rao, Certain applications of (ψ, ϕ) -contractions in C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces, *International Journal of Analysis and Applications* **23** (2025), 252, DOI: 10.28924/2291-8639-23-2025-252.
- [12] N. Narsimha, G. U. Reddy, P. Naresh and B. S. Rao, Certain applications via b -simulation function of (α, β) - Z_b -Geraghty type contraction in C^* -algebra valued S_b -metric spaces, *Advances in Fixed Point Theory* **14** (2024), Article ID 28, DOI: 10.28919/afpt/8531.

- [13] M. Pingale, R. Patha, R. Shukla and N. Mani, Study of fixed point theorems using C -class function in partially ordered b -metric spaces, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* **27**(8) (2024), 1765 – 1771, DOI: 10.47974/jim-2039.
- [14] S. K. Prakasam, A. J. Gnanaprakasam, G. Mani and F. Jarad, Solving an integral equation via orthogonal generalized $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty contractions, *AIMS Mathematics* **8**(3) (2023), 5899 – 5917, DOI: 10.3934/math.2023297.
- [15] S. S. Razavi and H. P. Masiha, C^* -algebra-valued S_b -metric spaces and applications to integral equations, *AUT Journal of Mathematics and Computing* **6**(1) (2025), 31 – 39.
- [16] S. S. Razavi and H. P. Masiha, Common fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra valued b -metric spaces with applications to integral equations, *Fixed Point Theory* **20**(2) (2019), 649 – 662, DOI: 10.24193/fpt-ro.2019.2.43.
- [17] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **75**(4) (2012), 2154 – 2165, DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2011.10.014.
- [18] S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Došenović, J. Esfahani and S. Radenović, Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces, *Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra* **5**(2) (2016), 93 – 104.
- [19] A. Somal, N. Mani and R. Shukla, On fixed point theorems satisfying Suzuki $C(\alpha, \beta)$ -contractions in S -metric space, *Advances in Fixed Point Theory* **15** (2025), Article ID 51, DOI: 10.28919/afpt/9307.
- [20] N. Souayah and N. Mlaiki, A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* **16**(2) (2016), 131 – 139, DOI: 10.22436/jmcs.016.02.01.
- [21] T. Suzuki, A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **71**(11) (2009), 5313 – 5317, DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2009.04.017.
- [22] T. Suzuki, Fixed point theorems and convergence theorems for some generalized nonexpansive mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **340**(2) (2008), 1088 – 1095, DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.09.023.
- [23] C. Ushabhavani, G. U. Reddy, P. Naresh and B. S. Rao, On certain fixed points for $(\alpha, \varphi, \mathcal{F})$ -contraction on S_b -metric spaces with applications, *Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities* **27**(2) (2024), 360 – 374, DOI: 10.52783/anvi.v27.972.
- [24] Q. L. Xin, L. N. Jiang and Z. H. Ma, Common fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications* **9**(6) (2016), 4617 – 4627, DOI: 10.22436/jnsa.009.06.100.
- [25] K. Zhang, M. E. Ege, A. J. Gnanaprakasam, G. Mani, O. Ege and J. Xu, New α - ε -Suzuki-type contraction mappings methods on fractional differential and integral equations, *Fractal and Fractional* **9**(11) (2025), 692, DOI: 10.3390/fractalfract9110692.

