



**Special Issue:**

**Recent Trends in Applied and Computational Mathematics**

**Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Recent Trends in Applied and Computational Mathematics (ICRTACM-2022)**

School of Applied Sciences, Department of Mathematics,  
Reva University, Bengaluru, India, 10th & 11th October, 2022

**Editors:** M. Vishu Kumar, A. Salma, B. N. Hanumagowda and U. Vijaya Chandra Kumar

Research Article

# Fixed Points of Meromorphic Functions Concerning Exponential and Linear Difference Polynomials

Jayashri Pattar\* and N. Shilpa

Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University, Bengaluru 560064, Karnataka, India

\*Corresponding author: [jspmcu.2019@gmail.com](mailto:jspmcu.2019@gmail.com)

**Received:** March 4, 2023

**Accepted:** June 8, 2023

**Abstract.** In this paper, we analyse the correlation between fixed points of finite order transcendental meromorphic functions, exponential polynomials and linear difference polynomials. Let  $\mathbf{P}_d(z, f)$  is a difference polynomial in  $f$  and  $\phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^k P_j^* e^{Q_j^*}$  is an exponential polynomial in  $z$ . We look upon the zeroes as well as growth of  $f^n \mathbf{P}_d(z, f) + \phi(z)$ . Our results will extend the findings of Fang *et al.* (Value distribution of meromorphic functions concerning rational functions and differences, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2020** (2020), Article number: 692) for linear and exponential difference polynomials.

**Keywords.** Meromorphic function, Linear difference polynomials, Exponential polynomials, Fixed points

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020).** 30D35, 30D45

## 1. Introduction

In 20th century, Nevanlinna theory has emerged as one of the key advancements in complex analysis. In complex plane, consider  $f$  as a meromorphic function, which is a finite order. We suppose that fundamentals of Nevanlinna theory are known by readers as in (see Hayman [3], Lo [5], and Yang and Hua [9]).

Consider a non-constant meromorphic function  $f$  of order

$$\rho(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T_0(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

The exponent of convergence of zeroes and poles of  $f$  are respectively,

$$\lambda = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N_0(r, \frac{1}{f})}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N_0(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

The exponent of convergence of fixed points of  $f$  is

$$\tau(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_0(r, \frac{1}{f-z})}{\log r}.$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , Nevanlinna's deficiency of  $f$  is

$$\delta(a, f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T_0(r, f)} = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T_0(r, f)}.$$

Replace  $N_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$  by  $N_0(r, f)$ , when  $a = \infty$ .

We denote  $\mathbb{G} = \{f(z) : f \text{ is a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order}\}$ ,

$P_d(z, f)$  be difference polynomial in  $f$  with  $d \leq n - 2, n \geq 2$ ,

$\phi(z) = P_1^* e^{Q_1^*} + P_2^* e^{Q_2^*} + \dots + P_k^* e^{Q_k^*} = \sum_{u=1}^k P_u^* e^{Q_u^*}$  exponential polynomial in  $z$ , with  $P_u^*(z)$  and  $Q_u^*(z), u = 1, 2, \dots, k$  of degree  $q_* = \max\{P_u^*(z), Q_u^*(z); u = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  are polynomials in  $z$ ,

BeV as Borel exceptional value.

In 2015, Wu *et al.* [8] considered the functions in the annuli and obtained the following result:

**Theorem 1.1** ([8]). *Consider meromorphic function  $f$  in annuli, then one of  $f$  or  $f'$  has several fixed points infinitely.*

In 2016, Zhang *et al.* [10], proved the following result by considering  $\lambda\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) < \rho(f)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** ([10]). *Consider  $f(z) \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $a, c (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda(f - a) < \rho(f)$  then*

$$\max\{\tau(f), \tau(\Delta_c f)\} = \rho(f),$$

$$\max\{\tau(f), \tau(f_c(z))\} = \rho(f),$$

$$\max\{\tau(\Delta_c f), \tau(f_c(z))\} = \rho(f).$$

In 2019, Wu and Wu [7], obtained the results for  $\Delta_c f(z)$ , with order of  $f$  as an integer. In the same year, Lan and Chen [4], studied the relationship among the convergence of exponent of

fixed points of  $f$ , forward differences and its shift. Also, Chen and Zheng [1], investigated the results for higher order functions and also for a non-zero polynomials with Borel exceptional value.

**Theorem 1.3** ([7]). *Let  $f \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $c(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $\Delta_c f \neq 0$ . If  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta(a, f) > 0$ , then  $\Delta_c f$  have infinite several fixed points and  $\tau(\Delta_c f) = \rho(f)$ .*

**Theorem 1.4** ([4]). *Let  $f \in \mathbb{G}$  with  $\delta(a, f) > 0$ ,  $c(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$  hence*

- (i)  $\max\{\tau(f), \tau(\Delta_c f)\} = \rho(f)$ ,  
 $\max\{\tau(f_c(z)), \tau(\Delta_c f)\} = \rho(f)$ , ( $\Delta_c(f - z) \neq 0$ ),
- (ii)  $\max\{\tau(f), \tau(f_c(z))\} = \rho(f)$ ,
- (iii)  $\tau(f) = \tau(f_c(z)) = \tau(\Delta_c f) = \rho(f)$

with  $f_c(z) \neq f(z)$ .

**Theorem 1.5** ([1]). *Consider  $f \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  is a BeV of  $f(z)$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\tau(f), \tau(\Delta_c^n f)\} &= \rho(f), \\ \max\{\tau(f), \tau(f_{nc}(z))\} &= \rho(f), \\ \max\{\tau(\Delta_c^n f), \tau(f_{nc}(z))\} &= \rho(f). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.6** ([1]). *Consider  $c \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(z) = P_m z^m + P_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + P_0$  be a non-zero polynomial so that  $P_t \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $P_m \neq 0$  ( $t = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ). If  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  is a BeV then*

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\lambda(f - P(z)), \lambda(\Delta_c f - P(z))\} &= \rho(f), \\ \max\{\lambda(f - P(z)), \lambda(f_c(z) - P(z))\} &= \rho(f), \\ \max\{\lambda(\Delta_c f - P(z)), \lambda(f_c(z) - P(z))\} &= \rho(f). \end{aligned}$$

In 2020, Fang *et al.* [2], investigated the outcomes on fixed points of meromorphic function for non-constant rational function.

**Theorem 1.7** ([2]). *Let  $f \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $a, c(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $\lambda(f - a) < \rho(f)$ . Consider a non-constant rational function  $R_1$  in  $f$ . Hence*

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\lambda(f - R_1), \lambda(\Delta_c^n f - R_1)\} &= \rho(f), \\ \max\{\lambda(f - R_1), \lambda(f_{nc}(z) - R_1)\} &= \rho(f), \\ \max\{\lambda(\Delta_c^n f - R_1), \lambda(f_{nc}(z) - R_1)\} &= \rho(f). \end{aligned}$$

Inspired by the above results, We investigate the relation among the meromorphic functions fixed points, linear difference polynomials, exponential polynomials and attain the subsequent outcomes.

### 1.1 Lemmas

**Lemma 1.1** ([7]). *Consider  $f \in \mathbb{G}$ , then for  $n \in \mathbb{Q}^+$*

$$m_0 \left( r, \frac{\Delta_c f}{f} \right) = S_0(r, f).$$

**Lemma 1.2** ([7]). Consider  $f \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $P(z) = a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$  be a polynomial with constants  $a_0 (\neq 0), a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Hence

$$T_0(r, P(f)) = nT_0(r, f) + S_0(r, f).$$

**Lemma 1.3** ([6]). If  $f \in \mathbb{G}$ , then

$$N_0(r, f(z+c)) = N_0(r, f) + S_0(r, f),$$

$$T_0(r, f(z+c)) = T_0(r, f) + S_0(r, f).$$

**Lemma 1.4** ([1]). Let  $H(z)$  be a meromorphic function and  $c(\neq 0)$  a constant. A polynomial  $h(z)$ ,  $\deg(h(z)) \geq 1$ . Suppose  $\rho(H) < \rho(e^h)$  then

$$T_0(r, H) = S_0(r, e^h),$$

$$T_0(r, H(z+c)) = S_0(r, e^h),$$

$$T_0(r, e^{h(z+c)-h}) = S_0(r, e^h).$$

**Lemma 1.5** ([1]). Consider a finite order entire functions  $A_0(z), \dots, A_n(z)$ , with  $\rho = \max\{\rho(A_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$  then

$$A_n f(z+c_n) + \dots + A_0 f(z) = 0,$$

for any solution of  $f$  we get  $\rho(f) \geq \rho + 1$ .

**Lemma 1.6** ([1]). Suppose  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  are entire and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  are meromorphic functions to satisfy:

(i)  $\sum_{l=1}^m f_l e^{g_l} \neq 0;$

(ii) for  $1 \leq l < k \leq m; g_l - g_k$  are non constant;

(iii)  $T_0(r, f_l) = o\{T_0(r, e^{g_h - g_k})\}$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty, 1 \leq l \leq m, 1 \leq h < k \leq m$ , then,  $f_l(z) = 0, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

**Lemma 1.7** ([10]). Consider a meromorphic function  $h(z)$  to satisfy

$$\overline{N}_0(r, h) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{h}\right) = S_0(r, h).$$

Let  $\chi(z) = \frac{a_0(z)h(z)^p + a_1(z)h(z)^{p-1} + \dots + a_p(z)}{b_0(z)h(z)^q + b_1(z)h(z)^{q-1} + \dots + b_q(z)}$ , where  $a_p(z), b_q(z)$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, p, j = 0, 1, \dots, q$ ) small functions of  $h$ , with  $a_0, b_0, a_p$  and  $b_q \neq 0$ .

Hence  $\lambda(\chi) = \rho(h)$  if  $T_0(r, \chi) \geq T_0(r, h) + S_0(r, h), p \geq q$ .

**Lemma 1.8** ([10]). Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function having a non-reducible rational function  $R^{**}(z)$ . Then

$$R^{**}(z, f(z)) = \frac{\sum_{a=0}^{s_1} \alpha_a f^a}{\sum_{b=0}^{s_2} \beta_b f^b},$$

having coefficients  $\alpha_a(z), a = 0, 1, \dots, s_1$  and  $\beta_b(z), b = 0, 1, \dots, s_2$  so that

$$T_0(r, \alpha_a) = S_0(r, f), \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, s_1,$$

$$T_0(r, \beta_b) = S_0(r, f), \quad b = 0, 1, \dots, s_2$$

then

$$T_0(r, R^{**}(z, f(z))) = \max\{s_1, s_2\}T_0(r, f) + S_0(r, f).$$

**Lemma 1.9** ([1]). Let  $f(z) \in G$  with  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $\rho(f) < \infty$ . Hence for  $\epsilon > 0$

$$T_0(r, f(z + \zeta)) = T_0(r, f) + O(r^{\rho + \epsilon - 1}) + O(\log r).$$

**Lemma 1.10** ([1]). Consider  $f$  with  $b, \zeta (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda(f - b) < \infty$ . Hence for  $\epsilon > 0$

$$N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z + \zeta) - b}\right) = N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}\right) + O(r^{\lambda(f-b) + \epsilon - 1}) + O(\log r).$$

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f \in G$ ,  $a, c, m, s \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  so that  $\lambda(f - a) < \rho(f)$ .

Let  $X(z, f) = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z)f(z + s_i)$  a linear difference polynomial of  $f$ . If  $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is BeV of  $f(z)$  then

$$\max\{\tau(f - X(z, f)), \tau(\Delta_c^m f - X(z, f))\} = \rho(f),$$

$$\max\{\tau(f - X(z, f)), \tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f))\} = \rho(f),$$

$$\max\{\tau(\Delta_c^m f - X(z, f)), \tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f))\} = \rho(f).$$

*Proof.* Let us assume  $\tau(f - X(z, f)) < \rho(f)$  then we show that  $\tau(\{\Delta_c^m f - X(z, f)\}) = \rho(f)$ .

But  $\lambda(f - a) < \rho(f)$  and  $X(z, f)$  is a linear difference polynomial. Hence

$$\frac{f(z) - X(z, f)}{f(z) - b_0} = \kappa e^P, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\deg(P) = \rho(f) = p$ ,  $\rho(\kappa) < \rho(f)$  with  $\kappa (\neq 0, \infty)$  as a meromorphic function.

Thus,  $T_0(r, \kappa) = S_0(r, e^P)$ ,  $T_0(r, f) = T_0(r, e^P) + S_0(r, f)$ .

From (2.1)

$$f = b_0 + \frac{X(z, f) - b_0}{1 - \kappa(z)e^{P(z)}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_c^m f &= \Delta_c^m (f - b_0) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^m (-1)^h C_m^h (f(z + (m - h)c) - b_0) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^m (-1)^h C_m^h \left[ \frac{X(z + (m - h)c, f) - b_0}{1 - \kappa(z + (m - h)c)e^{P(z + (m - h)c)}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^m (-1)^h C_m^h \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z + (m - h)c)f(z + (m - h)c + s_i) - b_0}{1 - \kappa(z + (m - h)c)e^{P(z + (m - h)c)}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sum_{h=0}^m (-1)^h C_m^h [\sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z + (m - h)c)f(z + (m - h)c + s_i) - b_0] \cdot H}{\prod_{h=0}^m [1 - \kappa(z + (m - h)c)e^{P(z + (m - h)c)}]} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{h=1}^m A_{m,h}(z)e^{hP(z)} + \sum_{h=1}^m B_{m,h}(z)e^{P(z)}}{\sum_{h=1}^{m+1} A_{m,m+h}(z)e^{hP(z)} + 1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \prod_{k \neq h}^m (1 - \kappa(z + (m - k)c)e^{P(z + (m - k)c)}), \\ A_{m,h}(z) &= \left[ (-1)^m \left( \sum_{h=0}^m (-1)^h C_m^h \left[ \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z + (m - h)c)f(z + (m - h)c + s_i) \right] - b_0 \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \cdot \left[ \sum_{k \neq h}^m e^{P(z+(m-k)c)-P(z)} \kappa(z+(m-k)c) \right], \\
 B_{m,h}(z) &= \sum_{h=0}^m \left[ \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z+(m-h)c)f(z+(m-h)c+s_i) - b_0 \right] e^{-P(z)}, \\
 A_{m,m+h}(z) &= (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{h=0}^m e^{P(z+(m-h)c)-hP(z)} \kappa(z+(m-h)c).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f) &= \frac{\sum_{h=1}^m A_{m,h}(z)e^{hP(z)} + \sum_{h=1}^m B_{m,h}(z)e^{P(z)}}{\sum_{h=1}^{m+1} A_{m,m+h}(z)e^{hP(z)} + 1} - X(z, f) \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{h=1}^m A_{m,h}(z)e^{hP(z)} + \sum_{h=1}^m B_{m,h}(z)e^{P(z)} - H_1}{\sum_{h=1}^{m+1} A_{m,m+h}(z)e^{hP(z)} + 1}, \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_1 = X(z, f) \sum_{h=1}^{m+1} A_{m,m+h}(z)e^{hP(z)} - X(z, f)$ .

By Lemma 1.4 and from (2.3) and (2.4) we observe  $\Delta_c^m f(z)$  and  $\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)$  as rational functions in  $e^P$  and the coefficients as small functions of  $e^{P(z)}$ . Hence

$$\rho(A_{m,h}) \leq \max\{\rho(\kappa), \rho(e^{P(z+(m-k)c)-P})\} < \rho(P) = p, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, 2m+1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Therefore,  $e^P$  has small functions  $A_{m,h}$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots, 2m+1$ ). Then, to justify  $A_{m,1}$ , rephrase it as

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{m,1}(z) &= - \sum_{h=0}^m \sum_{k \neq h}^m (-1)^k C_m^k \left[ \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z+(m-k)c)f(z+(m-k)c+s_i) - b_0 \right] \\
 & \cdot e^{P(z+(m-h)c)-P(z)} \kappa(z+(m-h)c).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\rho(e^{P(z+(m-h)c)-P(z)}) = p - 1, \quad h = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$$

and

$$\rho(e^{P(z+mc)-P(z)}) > \rho(e^{P(z+(m-h)c)-P(z)}).$$

Obviously

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k C_m^k \left[ \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(z+(m-k)c)f(z+(m-k)c+s_i) - b_0 \right] \neq 0.$$

Thus, if  $A_{m,h}(z) = 0$ , from Lemma 1.5,  $\rho(\kappa) = p$ , this contradicts  $\rho(\kappa) < p$ .

Therefore,  $A_{m,h}(z) \neq 0$  holds.

By Lemma 1.6 and  $A_{m,h}(z) \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{h=1}^m A_{m,h}(z)e^{P(z)} \neq 0.$$

Thus, from (2.3) one can get

$$T_0(r, \Delta_c^m f(z)) \geq T_0(r, e^{P(z)}) + S_0(r, e^{P(z)}).$$

Consequently

$$T_0(r, \Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)) \geq T_0(r, e^{P(z)}) + S_0(r, e^{P(z)}). \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4), (2.5) and Lemma 1.7

$$\tau(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)) = \lambda(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)) = \rho(e^{P(z)}) = \rho(f) = p, \tag{2.6}$$

which is

$$\max\{\tau(f(z) - X(z, f)), \tau(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f))\} = \rho(f).$$

From (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} f(z + mc) - X(z, f) &= b_0 + \frac{X(z + mc, f) - b_0}{1 - \kappa(z + mc)e^{P(z+mc)}} - X(z, f) \\ &= \frac{(X(z, f) - b_0)\kappa(z + mc)e^{P(z+mc)} + X(z + mc, f) - X(z, f)}{1 - \kappa(z + mc)e^{P(z+mc)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since

$$(X(z, f) - b_0)\kappa(z + mc) + [X(z + mc, f) - X(z, f)] \cdot \kappa(z + mc) = [X(z + mc, f) - b_0] \cdot \kappa(z + mc) \neq 0.$$

Therefore,  $f(z + mc) - X(z, f)$  is a non-reducible rational function in  $e^{P(z+mc)}$ .

But

$$\rho(\kappa(z + mc)) = \rho(\kappa) < \rho(e^{P(z+mc)}) = \rho(e^{P(z)}).$$

Using Lemma 1.4

$$T_0(r, \kappa(z + mc)) = S_0(r, e^{P(z+mc)}). \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.7), (2.8), and Lemma 1.8

$$T_0(r, f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) = T_0(r, e^{P(z+mc)}) + S_0(r, e^{P(z+mc)}).$$

Using Lemma 1.7 one can get

$$\tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) = \lambda(f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) = \rho(e^{P(z+mc)}) = \rho(e^{P(z)}) = \rho(f)$$

which is

$$\max\{\tau(f(z) - X(z, f)), \tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f))\} = \rho(f).$$

Next, suppose

$$\tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) = \lambda(f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) < \rho(f)$$

then to show

$$\tau(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)) = \rho(f).$$

Represent

$$\omega(z) = \frac{f(z + mc) - X(z, f)}{f(z + mc) - b_0} \tag{2.9}$$

and hence

$$f(z) = \frac{b_0 - X(z - mc, f)}{\omega(z - mc) - 1} + b_0.$$

From (2.9) and Lemma 1.9

$$\rho(\omega(z)) = \rho(f(z + mc)) = \rho(\omega(z - mc)) = \rho(f).$$

Since  $\lambda(f - b_0) < \rho(f)$  and using Lemma 1.10 we get

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) = \lambda(f(z + mc) - b_0) = \lambda(f - b_0) < \rho(f) = \rho(\omega).$$

Also,

$$\lambda(\omega) = \lambda(f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) = \tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f)) < \rho(f) = \rho(\omega).$$

In accordance with  $\omega(z)$  has 0 and  $\infty$  as Borel exceptional values. Succeeding the similar steps as in (2.1) to (2.6) we get

$$\tau(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)) = \lambda(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)) = \rho(f).$$

Thus

$$\max\{\tau(\Delta_c^m f(z) - X(z, f)), \tau(f(z + mc) - X(z, f))\} = \rho(f).$$

Hence the proof. □

**Theorem 2.2.** Let's define  $f \in G$  in  $A(R) = \{z : \frac{1}{R} < |z| < R\}$ ,  $1 < R \leq \infty$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{P}_d(z, f)$ ,  $\phi(z)$  be not zero and  $a, b_1, c \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  with  $\delta(a, f) > 0$ ,  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  then  $\mathcal{X}_1 = f^n \mathbf{P}_d(z, f) + \phi(z)$  has several fixed points infinitely satisfying  $\tau(\Delta_c \mathcal{X}_1) = \rho(f)$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\frac{1}{f^n} = \frac{\mathcal{X}_1}{b_1 f^n} - \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{b_1 f^n} \frac{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}. \tag{2.10}$$

This leads to

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) \leq m_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1}{b_1 f^n}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{b_1 f^n}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right). \tag{2.11}$$

By Nevanlinna first fundamental theorem

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) = T_0(r, f^n) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) + O(1). \tag{2.12}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} m_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1}{b_1 f^n}\right) &= m_0\left(r, \frac{f^n \mathbf{P}_d(z, f) + \phi(z)}{b_1 f^n}\right) \\ &\leq m_0(r, \mathbf{P}_d(z, f)) + m_0(r, \phi(z)) + m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq dm_0(r, f) + m_0(r, \phi(z)) + m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq (n - 2)m_0(r, f) + q_* km_0(r, f) + nm_0(r, f) + O(1) \\ &\leq (2n + q_* k - 2)m_0(r, f) + S_0(r, f) \\ &\leq (2n + q_* k - 2)T_0(r, f) + S_0(r, f) \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{b_1 f^n}\right) \leq m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}{b_1 f^n}\right) + O(1).$$

From Lemma 1.1

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) = S_0(r, f).$$

Using Lemma 1.3

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}{b_1 f^n}\right) = m_0\left(r, \frac{f^n \mathbf{P}_d(z, f) + \phi(z) - b_1}{b_1 f^n}\right)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq m_0\left(r, \frac{f^n \mathbf{P}_d(z, f)}{b_1 f^n}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{\phi(z) - b_1}{b_1 f^n}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq m_0(r, \mathbf{P}_d(z, f)) + m_0(r, \phi(z) - b_1) + m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq dm_0(r, f) + q_* km_0(r, f) + nm_0(r, f) + O(1) \\
 &\leq (d + q_* k + n)m_0(r, f) + O(1).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.14}$$

Again using Lemma 1.3

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) &= m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) + N_0(r, \Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) \\
 &\quad - N_0(r, \mathcal{X}_1 - b_1) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq m_0\left(r, \frac{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) + (n + d + q_* k)N_0(r, f) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_0(r, f) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq (n + d + q_* k)N_0(r, f) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) - \bar{N}_0(r, f) \\
 &\quad - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) + S_0(r, f).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.15}$$

Using (2.12) to (2.15) and Lemma 1.2 in (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
 nT_0(r, f) &\leq nN_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + (2n + q_* k - 2)T_0(r, f) + (n + d + q_* k)T_0(r, f) \\
 &\quad + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) - \bar{N}_0(r, f) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) + S_0(r, f) \\
 &\leq nN_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + (3n + 2q_* k - 2 + d)T_0(r, f) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1}\right) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_0(r, f) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(\mathcal{X}_1 - b_1)}\right) + S_0(r, f).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.16}$$

Indicating  $y = f - a$ , by (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 nT_0(r, f) &\leq nT_0(r, y) + O(1) \\
 &\leq nN_0\left(r, \frac{1}{y}\right) + (3n + 2q_* k - 2 + d)T_0(r, y) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{y - b_1}\right) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_0(r, y) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(y - b_1)}\right) + S_0(r, f) \\
 &\leq nN_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) + (3n + 2q_* k - 2 + d)T_0(r, f) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b_1}\right) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_0(r, f) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(f - b_1)}\right) + S_0(r, f),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.17}$$

whereas  $\delta(a, f) > 0$  and  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$ , then for  $0 < \theta < 1$

$$N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) < \theta T_0(r, f). \quad (2.18)$$

Using (2.17) and (2.18) we can get

$$[2(1 - q_*k) - d - (2 + \theta)n]T_0(r, f) \leq N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_1}\right) - \bar{N}_0(r, f) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_c(f-b_1)}\right) + S_0(r, f).$$

This gives contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{X}_1$  has several fixed points infinitely, satisfying  $\tau(\Delta_c \mathcal{X}_1) = \rho(f)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Conclusion

The results of this study add a new dimension to existing findings of Fang *et al.* [2] by mainly concentrating on exponential and linear difference polynomials.

### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for giving valuable inputs.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### References

- [1] H.-Y. Chen and X.-M. Zheng, Fixed points of meromorphic functions and their higher order differences and shifts, *Open Mathematics* **17**(1) (2019), 677 – 688, DOI: 10.1515/math-2019-0054.
- [2] M. Fang, D. Yang and D. Liu, Value distribution of meromorphic functions concerning rational functions and differences, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2020** (2020), Article number: 692, DOI: 10.1186/s13662-020-03150-6.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [4] S. Lan and Z. Chen, On fixed points of meromorphic functions  $f(z)$  and  $f(z + c)$ ,  $\Delta_c f(z)$ , *Acta Mathematica Scientia* **39** (2019), 1277 – 1289, DOI: 10.1007/s10473-019-0507-9.
- [5] Y. Lo, Value distribution of meromorphic functions together with their derivatives, in: *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Berlin — Heidelberg (1993), DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-02915-2\_4.
- [6] Z. Wu, An inequality on the difference polynomials of meromorphic functions and its application, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities* **15**(1) (2021), 349 – 356, DOI: 10.7153/jmi-2021-15-26.
- [7] Z. Wu and J. Wu, Fixed points of differences of meromorphic functions, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2019** (2019), Article number: 453, DOI: 10.1186/s13662-019-2386-8.
- [8] Z. Wu, Z. Xuan and Y. Chen, Some new results on fixed points of meromorphic functions defined in annuli, *Journal of Function Spaces* **2015** (2015), Article ID 426576, 7 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2015/426576.

- [9] C.-C. Yang and X. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic function, *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Mathematica* **22** (1997), 395 – 406, URL: <https://www.acadsci.fi/mathematica/Vol22/yang.pdf>.
- [10] R. R. Zhang and Z. X. Chen, Fixed points of meromorphic functions and of their differences, divided differences and shifts, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **32** (2016), 1189 – 1202, DOI: 10.1007/s10114-016-4286-0.

