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#### Abstract

For any non-real number $a$, the significance of the $a$-analogue Atom-Bond Connectivity indices lies within the actuality of their particular cases for well-chosen values for the variant $a$. In this paper, we obtained some bounds based on the vertex-degree along with the variant $a$ value, inequalities in other degree-based indices, and characterizations of these novel $a$-analogue $A B C$ indices.
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## 1. Introduction

The graphs $G=(V, E)$ chosen in this paper are simple with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The numbers $p$ and $q$ denote the cardinality of $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The each
edge $e_{h}=v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G), v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are incident vertices of $e_{h}$ belongs to $V(G)$. Two vertices are said to be adjacent if it has a common edge between them, a neighborhood of $v_{i}$ is the set of vertices adjacent to $v_{i}$. The cardinal number called the degree of the $v_{i}$, is represented as $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=x_{i}$, the minimum value of $x_{i}$ is $\delta(G)$, and maximum values of $x_{i}$ is $\Delta(G)$. The number of edges adjacent to the edge $e_{h}=v_{i} v_{j}$ is said to be a degree of the edge $e_{h}$ that is $d_{G}\left(e_{h}\right)=x_{i}+x_{j}-2$. For more graph theoretic definitions, we refer to Harary [14], and Kulli [15].

In 1998, Estrada et al. [10] introduced the $A B C$ index of a graph $G$ and defined as

$$
A B C(G)=\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}-2}{x_{i} x_{j}}} .
$$

This descriptor is a useful predictive index for studying the temperature of alkane formation.
The general $A B C$ index (Zheng [19]), is defined as

$$
A B C^{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)}\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}-2}{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

For more information about $A B C$-related indices and terminologies, we refer to Ahmadi et al. [1], Alsaadi et al. [2], Chaluvaraju and Shaikh [4], Das [5], Das et al. [6,7], Estrada [9], Gutman and Furtula [13], Lin et al. [16], Palacios [17], Sarveshkumar et al. [18], and Zhou and Trinajstić [20].

We are motivated by Zheng [19] and defined the $a$-Analogue $A B C$ index and coindex as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A B C_{a}(G)=\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)}\left(\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},  \tag{1.1}\\
& \overline{A B C}_{a}(G)=\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \notin E(G)}\left(\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a \geq 1$, if $a<1$, then we get contradiction for the equations (1.1) and (1.2), i.e., if $a<1$, for each member of $e_{h}=x_{i} x_{j} \in E(G), 1 \leq x_{i} \leq x_{j}, \Longrightarrow 0 \leq x_{j}^{a} \leq x_{i}^{a} \leq 1$.

If there exists a vertex $v_{i} \in V(G)$ such that $x_{i}>1$, for any $a<1$, then $x_{i}^{a}<1$ and $x_{i}+x_{j}-2<0$ which contradicts that square root of a negative value does not exist in the real number system. Therefore, $a \geq 0$ is necessary condition.

This paper aims to develop some inequalities based on the variant $a$ using the limit value of the function, i.e., as $a$ approaches some undefined values like $\infty$ and finally finds some bounds based on the values of $x_{i}$, we refer to Carothers [3], Dimitrov [8], Folland [11], and Gutman [12] for more information.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)$ be a function with 3 variables and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)=\left(\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}, x_{j} \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, p-1\}, a \geq 1$. Then the value of $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)$ is 1 and 0 .
Proof. Let $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)$ be a function with 3 variables $x_{i}, x_{j} \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $a \geq 1$. We present a few cases to discuss the maximum value and the minimum value of $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)$ by fixing some
variables present in it.
Case 1: If $x_{i}=x_{j}=1$, then degree of each edge is equal to 0 . Equation (2.1) attained its minimum value, that is, $f(1,1, a)=0$, for $a \geq 1$.
Case 2: If $x_{i}=1$ and $x_{j} \neq 1$, then by equation (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right)=\left(\frac{x_{j}^{a}-1}{x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.2) is an increasing function, and it attains the minimum value as $x_{j} \rightarrow 2$, that is,

$$
\lim _{x_{j} \rightarrow 2} f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right)=\lim _{x_{j} \rightarrow 2}\left(\frac{x_{j}^{a}-1}{x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\frac{2^{a}-1}{2^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

it reaches the minimum value at $x_{j}=2$ for all $a \geq 1$.
The maximum value of equation (2.2) attained as $x_{j} \rightarrow(p-1)$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{x_{j} \rightarrow(p-1)} f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right)=\lim _{x_{j} \rightarrow(p-1)}\left(\frac{x_{j}^{a}-1}{x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\frac{(p-1)^{a}-1}{(p-1)^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

it reaches the maximum value at $x_{j}=p-1$ for all $a \geq 1$.
We have another variant $a \geq 1$, which plays an important role on the function $f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right)$ to attains its maximum value, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right)=\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{x_{j}^{a}-1}{x_{j}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $x_{i}<x_{j}$, then $\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} f\left(1, x_{i}, a\right)<\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right)$, that is as $x_{j} \rightarrow(p-1), \lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} f\left(1, x_{j}, a\right) \approx 1$.
Case 3: If $x_{i} \neq 1$ and $x_{j} \neq 1$, then the functional $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)$ value always lies between 0 and 1 . If $x_{i}=x_{j}$, then equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i}, x_{i}, a\right)=\left(\frac{2 x_{i}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\frac{2\left(1-\frac{1}{x_{i}^{a}}\right)}{x_{i}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the functional value will decrease as the value of $x_{i}$ approaches its supremum, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{\left(x_{i}, a\right) \rightarrow(p-1, \infty)} f\left(x_{i}, x_{i}, a\right)=\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{2\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)_{i}^{a}}\right)}{(p-1)_{i}^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 0 .
$$

The variant $a$ plays the most important role, as it tends to $\infty$ the functional value of $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)$ approaches to 0 or 1 . The value $a$ must be a finite to characterize the invariants of the graphs.

Further, for $x_{i}<x_{j}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{j}, x_{j}, a\right)<f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, a\right)<f\left(x_{i}, x_{i}, a\right), \quad \text { for all } e_{h} \in E(G) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have the following observation.
Observation 2.1. In general, for $1 \leq x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{p} \leq p-1$ :
(i) by equation (2.2), $f\left(1, x_{1}, a\right) \leq f\left(1, x_{2}, a\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(1, x_{p}, a\right)$.
(ii) by equation (2.4), $f\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, a\right) \geq f\left(x_{2}, x_{2}, a\right) \geq \cdots \geq f\left(x_{p}, x_{p}, a\right)$, if $x_{1}>1$.
(iii) by equation (2.4) and equation (2.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, a\right) & \geq f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, a\right) \geq f\left(x_{2}, x_{2}, a\right) \geq f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, a\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \geq f\left(x_{p-1}, x_{p-1}, a\right) \geq f\left(x_{p-1}, x_{p}, a\right) \geq f\left(x_{p}, x_{p}, a\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, the equality is satisfied if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{p}$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be an acyclic graph with $p$-vertices and $q$-edges. Then

$$
0 \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq q\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Further, if there is a unique path between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ for all $x_{j}, x_{j} \geq 1$, then

$$
(q-2)\left(\frac{2\left(2^{a}-1\right)}{2^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\frac{2^{a}-1}{2^{a-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq q\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be acyclic graph with $p$-vertices and $q$-edges.
If $x_{i}=x_{j}=1$ for all $e_{h}=v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)$, then using equation (2.1), $\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}-2}{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=0$ for each $e_{h} \in E(G)$, here we get the minimum value and using equation (2.3), the maximum value of $\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}-2}{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ attains for each $e_{h} \in E(G), x_{i}=1, x_{j}=q$, that is $\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}-2}{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so we conclude that for each edge $e_{h} \in E(G)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}-2}{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the sum of each $e_{h} \in E(G)$, we have

$$
0 \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq q\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Further, unique path between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ for all $x_{j}, x_{j} \geq 1$, then the minimum value will attain for the path with $q$ edges and $A B C_{a}(G)=(q-2)\left(\frac{2\left(2^{a}-1\right)}{2^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\frac{2^{a}-1}{2^{a-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The maximum value attains if the degree of incident vertices of each edge is 1 and $q$ and $A B C_{a}(G)=q\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$
(q-2)\left(\frac{2\left(2^{a}-1\right)}{2^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\frac{2^{a}-1}{2^{a-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq q\left(\frac{q^{a}-1}{q^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

By Theorem 2.2, we have
Corollary 2.1. Let $G$ be any tree with $p$-vertices and $q$-edges. Then

$$
(p-3)\left(\frac{2\left(2^{a}-1\right)}{2^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\frac{2^{a}-1}{2^{a-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq(p-1)\left(\frac{(p-1)^{a}-1}{(p-1)^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $p$-vertices and $q$-edges. Then

$$
q\left(\frac{2 \Delta^{a}-2}{\Delta^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq q\left(\frac{\delta^{a}+\Delta^{a}-2}{\delta^{a} \Delta^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected graph, using equation (2.5) and Observation 2.1, the minimum value attains if $x_{i}=x_{j}=\Delta$ and maximum value attains if $x_{i}=\delta, x_{j}=\Delta$ for all $e_{h} \in E(G)$.
Taking the maximum and minimum values of each term corresponding to $e_{h}$ and summing, we have

$$
q\left(\frac{2 \Delta^{a}-2}{\Delta^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A B C_{a}(G) \leq q\left(\frac{\delta^{a}+\Delta^{a}-2}{\delta^{a} \Delta^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

## 3. Inequalities in Terms of General Zagreb Indices

Now, we obtain an inequality of $A B C_{a}(G)$ in terms of the first and second general Zagreb indices,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}^{a+1}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}\right],  \tag{3.1}\\
& M_{2}^{a}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}\right], \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ denotes the degree of the vertex $v_{i}$ and $a$ is a non-zero real number.
Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a graph with $p$-vertices and $q$-edges. Then

$$
A B C_{a}(G) \leq \frac{M_{1}^{a+1}(G)+M_{2}^{-a}(G)}{2}-q
$$

Proof. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}$ are any two positive real numbers, the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means is given by $\sqrt{n_{1} n_{2}} \leq \frac{n_{1}+n_{2}}{2}$.
Replacing $n_{1}$ by $x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2$ and $n_{2}$ by $x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}} \leq \frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2+\frac{1}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}}{2} \\
\Rightarrow & \sqrt{\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}} \leq \frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}}{2}-1+\frac{1}{2 x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (3.3) satisfies for each $e_{h}=x_{i} x_{j} \in E(G)$, taking the sum of those inequalities, we have

$$
\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2}{x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}} \leq \sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)}\left(\frac{x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}}{2}+\frac{1}{2 x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}}\right)-\sum_{h=1}^{q} 1 .
$$

Using equation (3.1) and equation (3.2), we have the required bounds,

$$
A B C_{a}(G) \leq \frac{M_{1}^{a+1}(G)+M_{2}^{-a}(G)}{2}-q
$$

Observation 3.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph with p-vertices and $q$-edges. Then

$$
A B C_{a}(G) \leq A B C^{\frac{a}{2}}(G)
$$

Further, the equality holds if $a=1$.

## 4. Special Classes of Graphs

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ be a regular graph with $x_{i}=r$ for each $v_{i} \in V(G), p \geq 2$. Then
(i) $A B C_{a}(G)=\frac{p r}{2}\left(\frac{2 r^{a}-2}{r^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
(ii) $\overline{A B C}_{a}(G)=\frac{p(p-r-1)}{2}\left(\frac{2 r^{a}-2}{r^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
(iii) $A B C_{a}(\bar{G})=\frac{p(p-r-1)}{2}\left(\frac{2(p-r-1)^{a}-2}{(p-r-1)^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
(iv) $\overline{A B C}_{a}(\bar{G})=\frac{p r}{2}\left(\frac{2(p-r-1)^{a}-2}{(p-r-1)^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
where $a \geq 1$.
Proof. Let $G$ be a regular graph with $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. The degree of each edge is $x_{i}^{a}+x_{j}^{a}-2=2 r^{a}-2$, also $x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{a}=r^{2 a}$ for $a \geq 1$.
By equation (1.1), we have

$$
A B C_{a}(G)=q\left(\frac{2 r^{a}-2}{r^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

also, we have for the complementary graph

$$
\overline{A B C}_{a}(G)=\frac{p(p-r-1)}{2}\left(\frac{2 r^{a}-2}{r^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

We can also prove the cases (iii) and (iv) in a similar way.
Corollary 4.1. Let $G$ be a graph with $p \geq 3$ vertices. By Theorem 4.1, we have
(i) $A B C_{a}\left(C_{p}\right)=p\left(2^{1-a}-2^{1-2 a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\overline{A B C}_{a}(G)=\frac{p(p-3)}{2}\left(2^{1-a}-2^{1-2 a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for any cycle $C_{p}$ with $p \geq 3$.
(ii) $A B C_{a}(G)=\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\left(\frac{2(p-1)^{a}-2}{(p-1)^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\overline{A B C}_{a}(G)=0$, for complete graph $K_{p}$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $K_{m, n}$ be a complete bipartite graph with $m$ and $n$ cardinal vertex set partitions. Then
(i) $A B C_{a}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=m n\left(\frac{m^{a}+n^{a}-2}{m^{a} n^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
(ii) $\overline{A B C}_{a}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=\left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-m n\right)\left(\frac{m^{a}+n^{a}-2}{m^{a} n^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
(iii) $A B C_{a}\left(\overline{K_{m, n}}\right)=\frac{m(m-1)}{2}\left(\frac{2(m-1)^{a}-2}{(m-1)^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{n(-1)}{2}\left(\frac{2(n-1)^{a}-2}{(n-1)^{2 a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
(iv) $\overline{A B C}_{a}\left(\overline{K_{m, n}}\right)=m n\left(\frac{(n-1)^{a}+(m-1)^{a}-2}{(n-1)^{a}(m-1)^{a}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
where $a \geq 1$.

## 5. Conclusions

The novel Atom-Bond Connectivity related indices of a graph, the $\alpha$-analogue $A B C$ indices lie in their specific cases for relevantly chosen parameter $a$ values. From the mathematical point of view, applications, and comparative advantages, many questions are suggested by this research, among them the following. The extremum value of the terms of $A B C_{a}(G)$ is based on the value of $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ of incident vertices of the edge $e_{h}=v_{i} v_{j}$.
(i) The value of $A B C_{a}(G)$ increases as the degree of adjacent vertices of an arbitrary edge approaches 1 and $p-1$.
(ii) The value of $A B C_{a}(G)$ decreases as the degree of the incident vertices approaches to $p-1$.
(iii) In the case of a non-cyclic graph, the maximum value of $A B C_{a}(G)$ attains if it has a subgraph with a degree of incident vertices of each edge is 1 and $q$.
(iv) For various values of the variant $a$ results in the convex function since it has fractional power.
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