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#### Abstract

Two single server queues are considered in this paper. The models are (i) Finite source and (ii) Finite waiting line models. For both the models, if there are no customers at a service completion epoch, the server takes single vacation. Also, the waiting customers may become impatient and leaves the queue without getting service called reneging behaviour of the customer. In addition the services are given in batches of fixed service. It is assumed that the inter arrival times, service times, vacation times and reneging times all follows different exponential distributions. Steady state analysis is carried out for both models. Cost and profit analyses are also provided. The two models are compared numerically.
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## 1. Introduction

The population of potential customers, called calling population. The calling population can be finite or infinite. In an infinite population model, the arrival rate is not affected by the number of customers in the system. Whereas, the arrival rate of finite population model, the arrival rate is affected by the population size. In an infinite population size model, the number of arrival can be controlled by restricting the queue size. If the queue size is finite, then the model is finite
capacity model. In this paper, we consider both the models. Finite source queueing system has attention in terms of machine repairman problem (Stecke [25], Ke and Wang [17]). Definition of finite source models with analytical results and literature review can be found in Sztrik [27]. For solving machine interference problem, homogeneous $M / M / r / / N$ queueing system has been used by many authors. It should be noted that the analysis of the system is presented in Kleinrock [18], Gross et al. [11], Carmichael [3], and Allen [1].

Satty [23] analyzed finite population model, called machine interference model. Queue with infinite population have been developed and analyzed by many authors. But relatively few researches worked on finite population queue. In 1975, Kleinrock considered the finite population model $M / M / c / / m$. An application of the model $M / M / N / / N$ in electronics is considered by Konigsberg [ 19$]$. The $M / G / 1 / / N$ system, some times called machine interference problem (Satty [23]) is analyzed in detail by Takacs [28], Jaiswal [14], Cooper [7], Stecke [25], Stecke and Aronson [24], and Bunday [2], in their papers mainly concentrate on cyclic queues and manufacturing system modelling. Some other notable works in this area are Papadopoulos and Heavey [22], Syski [26], Ching [6], and Chakravarthy and Agarwal [4]. A detail survey of the machine interference problem has been given by Haque and Armstrong [12]. Jain [13] obtained the product form solution for the stationary state distribution for the finite population queueing model with a queue dependent servers. Queueing models with batch service have been extensively studied by many researches (see Chaudhry and Templetan [5], Medhi [20,21] and Dshalalow [10]). In transportation problems, one can note that the service is in bulk. Relatively few works are cited in this area. Notable among them are, Kalyanaraman and Saritha [16] have analyzed a finite population model with bulk service rule and with accessible and non-accessible batches. Kalyanaraman and Saritha [15] have studied a multiserver batch service queue with delay and with finite population.

In a queueing system, if there are no customers waiting for service, if the server has the option to leaves the service station for a random period of time called vacation period. Vacation models are very reasonable models by seeing real life situations. Many modifications vacation period are defined and analyzed by researchers. The importance of vacation models has been established in survey of Doshi [8,9], Teghem [31] and also in the monograph of Takagi [29]. At a service completion epoch, if there are no customers in the system, the server takes vacation of random period. After completion of this random period, the server returns to the system without considering the number of customers in the system. This vacation policy is called single vacation policy. Zhang and Tian [32] considered an $M / M / C$ queue with a single vacation. Zhang and Tian [33] analyzed an $M / M / C$ queue as a quasi-birth-death process.

The content of this article is a finite source Markovian queue with single vacation. Based on service rule two models are defined. The models are analyzed in sections 2 and 3. Some numerical results are provided in section 4. Cost and profit model are defined in section 5 A conclusion is given in section 6 .

## 2. The Finite Population Queue and Analysis

A single server finite population model with the following assumption have been considered:
(i) The arrival follows Poisson process.
(ii) The service time distribution is negative exponential.
(iii) The services are given in batches of size $K$. At a service completion point, if there are less than $K$ customer in the queue the server waits, that is server becomes idle until, the size reaches $K$.
(iv) At the completion of a service, if there are no customer in the queue the server takes vacation of duration $V$, which follows exponential distribution with rate $\theta$.
(v) A customer waiting for service may get impatient due to delay and decide to renege from the queue. Reneging times are exponential with rate $\alpha$. The average reneging rate is $(n-1) \alpha$ for $n \geq 1$.
(vi) The population size is $N$.
(vii) The arrival rate is $\lambda_{j}= \begin{cases}(N-j) \lambda, & j=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1, \\ 0, & j=N, N+1, \ldots\end{cases}$
(viii) The service rate is $\mu_{j}= \begin{cases}\mu, & j=1,2, \ldots, L K, \\ \mu_{1}, & j=L K+1, \ldots, N .\end{cases}$

That is, up to $L$ batches the service rate is $\mu$ and after $L$ batches the service rate is $\mu_{1}$.
(ix) The queue discipline is First in First out.

### 2.1 The Queue Length Distribution

To analyze the queueing model we define the following notations: Let $X(t)$ be the number of customers in the system at time $t, Y(t)$ be the number of customers in the source at time $t$, $N(t)=X(t)+Y(t)$ and $Z(t)$ be the server state at time $t$ where

$$
Z(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { the server is in busy state }, \\ 2, & \text { the server is in single vacation state }\end{cases}
$$

The process $\{(X(t), Z(t)): t \geq 0\}$ is a finite Markov process with state space $S=\{(i, 1): i=0,1$, $2, \ldots, N\} \cup\{(i, 2): i=1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Let $p_{i j}(t)=\operatorname{Pr}\{X(t)=i, Z(t)=j\}$ be the corresponding probability distribution. The differential difference equations satisfied by $p_{i j}(t)$ are obtained, using birth death arguments as
$\frac{d}{d t} p_{01}(t)=-N \lambda p_{01}(t)+\mu p_{K 1}(t)$,
$\frac{d}{d t} p_{j 1}(t)=-(N-j) \lambda p_{j 1}(t)+\mu p_{K+j 1}(t)+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2}(t) ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, K-1$,
$\frac{d}{d t} p_{j 1}(t)=-(\mu+(N-j) \lambda) p_{j 1}(t)+\mu p_{K+j 1}(t)+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}(t)+\theta p_{j 2}(t) ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=K, K+1, \ldots, L K-1, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d}{d t} p_{L K 1}(t)=-(\mu+(N-L K) \lambda) p_{L K 1}(t)+\mu_{1} p_{K+L K 1}(t)+(N-L K+1) \lambda p_{L K-11}(t)+\theta p_{L K 2}(t)$,
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$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} p_{j 1}(t)=-\left(\mu_{1}+(N-j) \lambda\right) p_{j 1}(t)+\mu_{1} p_{K+j 1}(t)+(N-j+1) \lambda & p_{j-11}(t)+\theta p_{j 2}(t) \\
j & =L K+1, L K+2, \ldots, N-K \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{d}{d t} p_{j 1}(t)=-\left(\mu_{1}+(N-j) \lambda\right) p_{j 1}(t)+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}(t)+\theta p_{j 2}(t) ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=N-K+1, N-K+2, \ldots, N-1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d}{d t} p_{N 1}(t)=-\mu_{1} p_{N 1}(t)+\lambda p_{N-11}(t)+\theta p_{N 2}(t)$,
$\frac{d}{d t} p_{j 2}(t)=-(\theta+(N-j) \lambda+(j-1) \alpha) p_{j 2}(t)+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-12}(t)+j \alpha p_{j+12}(t) ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=1,2, \ldots, N-1, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d}{d t} p_{N 2}(t)=-(\theta+(N-1) \alpha) p_{N 2}(t)+\lambda p_{N-12}(t)$.
In steady state, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}(t)=p_{n}$, the equations (2.1) to (2.9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \lambda p_{01}=\mu p_{K 1}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(N-j) \lambda p_{j 1}=\mu p_{K+j 1}+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2} ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, K-1$
$(\mu+(N-j) \lambda) p_{j 1}=\mu p_{K+j 1}+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2} ; \quad j=K, K+1, \ldots, L K-1$,
$(\mu+(N-L K) \lambda) p_{L K 1}=\mu_{1} p_{K+L K 1}+(N-L K+1) \lambda p_{L K-11}+\theta p_{L K 2}$,
$\left(\mu_{1}+(N-j) \lambda\right) p_{j 1}=\mu_{1} p_{K+j 1}+(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2} ; \quad j=L K+1, \ldots, N-K$,
$\left(\mu_{1}+(N-j) \lambda\right) p_{j 1}=(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2} ; \quad j=N-K+1, \ldots, N-1$,
$\mu_{1} p_{N 1}=\lambda p_{N-11}+\theta p_{N 2}$,
$(\theta+(N-j) \lambda+(j-1) \alpha) p_{j 2}=(N-j+1) \lambda p_{j-12}+j \alpha p_{j+12} ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1$,
$(\theta+(N-1) \alpha) p_{N 2}=\lambda p_{N-12}$.
The equations (2.10) to (2.18) are recursively solved and the probabilities are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{N-i 2}= B_{i}^{\prime \prime} p_{N 2} ; \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, N,  \tag{2.19}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(B_{i}-C_{i}^{\prime}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, K,  \tag{2.20}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(C_{i}-D_{i}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=K+1, K+2, \ldots, 2 K+1,  \tag{2.21}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(E_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=2 K+2,2 K+3, \ldots, N-L K,  \tag{2.22}\\
& P_{L K-11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu+(N-L K) \lambda \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
- \\
\\
\\
\\
\left.\quad-D_{N-L K}+A_{N-L K} D_{N-(K+L K)}+A_{N-(L K+1)}^{\prime \prime} D_{N-L K} C_{N+L K+1)}-A_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime} B_{L K+1}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& p_{N 2}, \\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(H_{i}-H_{i}^{\prime}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=N-L K+2, N-L K+3, \ldots, N-K+1,  \tag{2.23}\\
& p_{i 1}=\frac{N-(i+1)}{N-i}\left[\left(H_{N-(i+1)}-A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}\right)-H_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}^{\prime}\right.  \tag{2.24}\\
&+\left.A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime} B_{i+1}^{\prime}\right] p_{N 2} ; \quad i=K-1, K-2, \ldots, 0,
\end{align*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{(j-1) \lambda+\mu_{1}}{j \lambda},  \tag{2.26}\\
& B_{i}^{\prime}=\prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{(j-1) \lambda+\theta}{j \lambda} \text {, }  \tag{2.27}\\
& B_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{(N-j) \alpha+(j-1) \lambda+\theta}{j \lambda}-\frac{(N-(i-1)) \alpha}{i \lambda},  \tag{2.28}\\
& C_{i}=B_{i}-\sum_{l=K+1}^{i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-l} \frac{\mu_{1}+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda} A_{l-1} B_{l-(K+1)}-A_{i-1} B_{i-(K+1)},  \tag{2.29}\\
& C_{i}^{\prime}=\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\mu_{1}+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-j+1) \lambda} B_{1}^{\prime}+\sum_{l=2}^{i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-l} \frac{\mu_{1}+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-j+1) \lambda} A_{l-1}^{\prime \prime} B_{l-1}^{\prime \prime}+A_{i-1}^{\prime \prime} B_{i-1}^{\prime \prime},  \tag{2.30}\\
& D_{i}=C_{i}^{\prime}-\prod_{j=1}^{i-(K+2)} \frac{\mu_{1}+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-j+1) \lambda} A_{K+1} B_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& -\sum_{l=K+3}^{i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-l} \frac{\mu_{1}+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda} A_{l-1}^{\prime \prime} C_{l-(K+1)}^{\prime}-A_{i-1}^{\prime \prime} C_{i-(K+1)}^{\prime},  \tag{2.31}\\
& E_{i}=C_{i}-A_{i-1} C_{i-(K+1)},  \tag{2.32}\\
& E_{i}^{\prime}=D_{i}-A_{i-1} D_{i-(K+1)}+A_{i}^{\prime \prime} B_{i}^{\prime \prime},  \tag{2.33}\\
& H_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i-(N-L K)} \frac{\mu+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda} C_{N-L K}-A_{N-(L K+1)} C_{N-(K+L K+1)} \\
& -\prod_{j=1}^{i-(N-L K+1)} \frac{\mu+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda} A_{N-L K} C_{N-(K+L K)} \\
& -\sum_{m=N-L K+2}^{i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-m} \frac{\mu+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda} A_{m-1}^{\prime} C_{(m-1)-K}-A_{i-1}^{\prime} C_{(i-1)-K},  \tag{2.34}\\
& H_{i}^{\prime}=\prod_{j=1}^{i-(N-L K)} \frac{\mu+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda} D_{N-L K}-A_{N-(L K+1)} D_{N-(K+L K+1)} \\
& -\prod_{j=1}^{i-(N-L K+1)} \frac{\mu+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda}\left(A_{N-L K} D_{N-(K+L K)}+A_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime} B_{L K+1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& -\sum_{m=N-L K+2}^{i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-n} \frac{\mu+(i-j) \lambda}{(i-(j-1)) \lambda}\left(A_{n-1}^{\prime} D_{(n-1)-K}+A_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} B_{n-N}^{\prime \prime}\right)+A_{i-1}^{\prime \prime} B_{N-(i-1)}^{\prime \prime},  \tag{2.35}\\
& A_{i}=\frac{\mu_{1}}{(i+1) \lambda},  \tag{2.36}\\
& A_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{\mu}{(i+1) \lambda} \text {, }  \tag{2.37}\\
& A_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\theta}{i \lambda} \text {. } \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the normalization condition $\sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i 1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i 2}=1$, we can obtain $p_{N 2}$ as,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{N 2}=[1 & +\sum_{i=0}^{L-2} \frac{N-(i+1)}{N-i}\left(H_{N-(i+1)}-A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}-H_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}^{\prime}+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime} B_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L-1}^{L+K-2}\left(H_{i}-H_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L+K-1}^{N-2 K-2}\left(E_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=N-2 K-1}^{N-K-1}\left(C_{i}-D_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=N-K}^{N-1}\left(B_{i}-C_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} B_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right]^{-1} p_{N 2} . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.19) to (2.39) represents the steady state probabilities of the model discussed in this paper.

Theorem 2.1. The steady state probabilities for the model discussed in this section are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{N-i 2}= B_{i}^{\prime \prime} p_{N 2} ; \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, N, \\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(B_{i}-C_{i}^{\prime}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, K, \\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(C_{i}-D_{i}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=K+1, K+2, \ldots, 2 K+1, \\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(E_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=2 K+2,2 K+3, \ldots, N-L K, \\
& P_{L K-11}= {\left[\frac { \mu + ( N - L K ) \lambda } { ( N - L K + 1 ) \lambda } \left(C_{N-L K}-A_{N-(L K+1)} C_{N-(K+L K+1)}-A_{N-L K} C_{N-(K+L K)}\right.\right.} \\
&\left.-D_{N-L K}+A_{N-(L K+1)} D_{N-(K+L K+1)}-A_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime} B_{L K+1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
&\left.-A_{N-L K} D_{N-(K+L K)}+A_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime} B_{L K}^{\prime \prime}\right] p_{N 2}, \\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(H_{i}-H_{i}^{\prime}\right) p_{N 2} ; \quad i=N-L K+2, N-L K+3, \ldots, N-K+1, \\
& p_{i 1}=\frac{N-(i+1)}{N-i}\left[\left(H_{N-(i+1)}-A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}\right)-H_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}\right. \\
&+\left.A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}^{\prime}+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime} B_{i+1}^{\prime}\right] p_{N 2} ; \quad i=K-2, K-1, \ldots, 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}, E_{i}, F_{i}, H_{i}, B_{i}^{\prime \prime}, C_{i}^{\prime}, E_{i}^{\prime}, F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $H_{i}^{\prime}$ are given in equations (2.26) to (2.35).

### 2.2 Some Performance Measures

Using straight forward calculations the following performance measures are calculated:

### 2.2.1 Mean Number of Customers in the System

$$
\begin{aligned}
L= & \sum_{i=0}^{N} i p_{i 1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} i p_{i 2} \\
L= & \left\{1+\sum_{i=0}^{L-2} \frac{N-(i+1)}{N-i} i\left(H_{N-(i+1)}-A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}-H_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}^{\prime}+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime} B_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L-1}^{L+K-2} i\left(H_{i}-H_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L+K-1}^{N-2 K-2} i\left(E_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=N-2 K-1}^{N-K-1} i\left(C_{i}-D_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=N-K}^{N-1} i\left(B_{i}-C_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} i B_{i}^{\prime \prime}+N\right\} p_{N 2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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### 2.2.2 Idle Probability

$$
p_{01}=\frac{N-1}{N}\left[\left(H_{N-1}-A_{N-1}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+1)}\right)-H_{N-1}^{\prime}+A_{N-1}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+1)}^{\prime}++A_{N-1}^{\prime \prime} B_{1}^{\prime}\right] p_{N 2}
$$

### 2.2.3 Probability that the Server is Busy

$$
p_{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i 1}
$$

### 2.2.4 Probability That the Server Is an Vacation

$$
p_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i 2}
$$

### 2.2.5 Expected Number of Customers Served per Unit Time

$$
L_{1}=\mu \sum_{i=1}^{L} i p_{i 1}+\mu_{1} \sum_{i=L+1}^{N} i p_{i 1}
$$

### 2.2.6 Effective Input Rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{\prime}= \lambda\left(1-p_{N 2}\right) \\
&=\lambda\left[1-\left(\left(1+\sum_{i=0}^{L-2} \frac{N-(i+1)}{N-i} i\left(H_{N-(i+1)}-A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}-H_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime} H_{N-(K+i+1)}^{\prime}+A_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime} B_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L-1}^{L+K-2} i\left(H_{i}-H_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L+K-1}^{N-2 K-2} i\left(E_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for the notations $B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}, E_{i}, B_{i}^{\prime}, C_{i}^{\prime}, E_{i}^{\prime}$ are given in equations (2.26) to (2.35).

### 2.2.7 Utilization Factor of the Service Station

$$
\rho^{\prime}=\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu_{1}+\theta}
$$

### 2.2.8 Mean Waiting Time in the System (using Little's Law)

$$
W=\frac{L}{\lambda^{\prime}}
$$

### 2.3 Particular Model

If $K=1, L=1, \mu_{1}=\mu, \theta=0, \alpha=0$ the model $M / M / 1 / / N$ coincide with the model in [18, 106 107].

## 3. The Finite Waiting Line Model and Analysis

As a modification of the model discussed in section 2, we assume that the source population is infinite but the waiting line capacity is $N-1$.

The arrival rate is

$$
\lambda_{j}= \begin{cases}\lambda, & j=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1, \\ 0, & j=N, N+1, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

All other assumptions are as in the case of the model in section 2 except the population size.

### 3.1 The Queue Length Distribution

To analyze the queueing model we define the following notations:
Let $X(t)$ be the number of customers in the system at time $t, Z(t)$ be the server state at time $t$ where

$$
Z(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { the server is in busy state }, \\ 2, & \text { the server is in single vacation state }\end{cases}
$$

The process $\{(X(t), Z(t)): t \geq 0\}$ is a finite Markov process with state space

$$
S=\{(i, 1): i=0,1,2, \ldots, N\} \cup\{(i, 2): i=1,2, \ldots, N\} .
$$

Let $p_{i j}(t)=\operatorname{Pr}\{X(t)=i, Z(t)=j\}$ be the corresponding probability distribution.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda p_{01}=\mu p_{K 1},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \lambda p_{j 1}=\mu p_{K+j 1}+\lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, K-1,  \tag{3.2}\\
& (\mu+\lambda) p_{j 1}=\mu p_{K+j 1}+\lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2}, \quad j=K, K+1, \ldots, L K-1,  \tag{3.3}\\
& (\mu+\lambda) p_{L K 1}=\mu_{1} p_{K+L K 1}+\lambda p_{L K-11}+\theta p_{L K 2},  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left(\mu_{1}+\lambda\right) p_{j 1}=\mu_{1} p_{K+j 1}+\lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2}, \quad j=L K+1, \ldots, N-K,  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left(\mu_{1}+\lambda\right) p_{j 1}=\lambda p_{j-11}+\theta p_{j 2}, \quad j=N-K+1, N-K+2, \ldots, N-1,  \tag{3.6}\\
& \mu_{1} p_{N 1}=\lambda p_{N-11}+\theta p_{N 2},  \tag{3.7}\\
& (\theta+\lambda+(j-1) \alpha) p_{j 2}=\lambda p_{j-12}+j \alpha p_{j+12}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1,  \tag{3.8}\\
& (\theta+(N-1) \alpha) p_{N 2}=\lambda p_{N-12} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (3.1) to (3.9) are recursively solved and the probabilities are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{N-i 2}=b_{i} p_{N 2}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, N,  \tag{3.10}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(c_{i}^{\prime}-c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, K,  \tag{3.11}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=K+1, K+2, \ldots, 2 K+1,  \tag{3.12}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(f_{i}^{\prime}-f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=2 K+2,2 K+3, \ldots, N-L K  \tag{3.13}\\
& p_{L K-11}=\left(\frac{(1+\gamma)}{\gamma} f_{N-L K}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\rho}\left(f_{N-(K+L K+1)}^{\prime}+f_{N-(K+L K)}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
&  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left.\quad-\frac{(1+\gamma)}{\gamma} f_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\rho}\left(f_{N-(K+L K+1)}^{\prime \prime}+f_{N-(K+L K)}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{L K}\right) p_{N 2},  \tag{3.15}\\
& p_{N-i 1}=\left(e_{i}^{\prime}-e_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=N-L K+2, N-L K+3, \ldots, N-K+1,  \tag{3.16}\\
& p_{i 1}=\left(e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime}-e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{i}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=K-2, K-3, \ldots, 0,
\end{align*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i}=\frac{\left(1+\rho_{2}\right)^{i-1}}{\rho_{2}{ }^{i}}-\frac{N-(i-1)}{\alpha},  \tag{3.17}\\
& c_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{(1+\rho)^{i-1}}{\rho^{i}},  \tag{3.18}\\
& c_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \sum_{K=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{K}\left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho}\right)^{i-j} b_{j-1},  \tag{3.19}\\
& d_{i}^{\prime}=c_{i}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\rho^{i-K}}\left((1+\rho)^{i-(K+1)}+(i-(K+1))(1+\rho)^{i-(K+2)}\right) \text {, }  \tag{3.20}\\
& d_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho}\right)^{i-(K+1)} c_{K+1}^{\prime \prime}-\sum_{j=K+2}^{i}\left[\frac{(1+\rho)^{i-j}}{\rho^{i-j+1}} c_{j-(K+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}\left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho}\right)^{i-j} b_{j-(K+1)}\right],  \tag{3.21}\\
& e_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{i-(N-L K)} f_{N-L K}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{i-(N-L K+1)} \\
& -\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha} f_{N-(K+L K+1)}^{\prime}+f_{N-(K+L K)}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{j=N-L K+2}^{i}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{i-j} f_{j-(K+1)}^{\prime},  \tag{3.22}\\
& e_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{i-(N-L K)} f_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{i-(N-L K+1)}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma} f_{N-(K+L K+1)}^{\prime \prime}+f_{N-(K+L K)}^{\prime \prime}+b_{L K-1}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{j=N-L K+2}^{i}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{i-j} f_{j-(K+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \sum_{j=N-L K+2}^{i}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{i-j} b_{j-(N-L K)},  \tag{3.23}\\
& \rho=\frac{\lambda}{\mu_{1}},  \tag{3.24}\\
& \rho_{1}=\frac{\lambda}{\theta},  \tag{3.25}\\
& \rho_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{\theta+(N-1) \alpha},  \tag{3.26}\\
& \gamma=\frac{\lambda}{\mu} . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. The steady state probabilities for the model discussed in this section are
$p_{N-i 2}=b_{i} p_{N 2}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, N$,
$p_{N-i 1}=\left(c_{i}^{\prime}-c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, K$,
$p_{N-i 1}=\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=K+1, K+2, \ldots, 2 K+1$,
$p_{N-i 1}=\left(f_{i}^{\prime}-f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=2 K+2,2 K+3, \ldots, N-L K$,
$p_{L K-11}=\left(\frac{(1+\gamma)}{\gamma} f_{N-L K}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\rho}\left(f_{N-(K+L K+1)}^{\prime}+f_{N-(K+L K)}^{\prime}\right)\right.$
$\left.-\frac{(1+\gamma)}{\gamma} f_{N-L K}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\rho}\left(f_{N-(K+L K+1)}^{\prime \prime}+f_{N-(K+L K)}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{L K}\right) p_{N 2}$,
$p_{N-i 1}=\left(e_{i}^{\prime}-e_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=N-L K+2, N-L K+3, \ldots, N-K+1$,

$$
p_{i 1}=\left(e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime}-e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{i}\right) p_{N 2}, \quad i=K-2, K-3, \ldots, 0
$$

where $b_{i}, c_{i}^{\prime}, d_{i}^{\prime}, d_{i}^{\prime \prime}, e_{i}^{\prime}$, and $e_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are given in equations (3.17) to (3.23).

### 3.2 Some Performance Measures

Using straight forward calculation the following performance measures can be calculated:

### 3.2.1 Mean Number of Customers in the System

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} i p_{i} \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K-2} i\left(e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime}-e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=K-1}^{L K-1} i\left(e_{i}^{\prime}-e_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=L K}^{N-2 K-2} i\left(f_{i}^{\prime}-f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=N-2 K-1}^{N-K-1} i\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=N-K}^{N-1} i\left(c_{i}^{\prime}-c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i b_{i}+N\right) p_{N 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2.2 Idle Probability

$p_{01}=\left(e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime}-e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime \prime} .-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{i}\right) p_{N 2}$.

### 3.2.3 Blocking Probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{N 2}=(1 & +\sum_{i=1}^{K-2}\left(e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime}-e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=K-1}^{L K-1}\left(e_{i}^{\prime}-e_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=L K}^{N-2 K-2}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}-f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=N-2 K-1}^{N-K-1}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=N-K}^{N-1}\left(c_{i}^{\prime}-c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} b_{i}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2.4 Probability that the server is busy

$p_{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i 1}$

### 3.2.5 Probability that the server is an vacation

$$
p_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i 2}
$$

### 3.2.6 Expected number of customers served per unit time

$$
L_{1}=\mu \sum_{i=1}^{L} i p_{i 1}+\mu_{1} \sum_{i=L+1}^{N} i p_{i 1}
$$

### 3.2.7 Effective input rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}= & \lambda\left(1-p_{N 2}\right) \\
=\lambda & {\left[1-\left(\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{K-2}\left(e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime}-e_{N-(i+1)}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} e_{N-(i+K+1)}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} b_{i}\right)\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{i=K-1}^{L K-1}\left(e_{i}^{\prime}-e_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=L K}^{N-2 K-2}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}-f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=N-2 K-1}^{N-K-1}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=N-K}^{N-1} i\left(c_{i}^{\prime}-c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} b_{i}\right)^{-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for the notations are $b_{i}, c_{i}^{\prime}, d_{i}^{\prime}, d_{i}^{\prime \prime}, e_{i}^{\prime}$, and $e_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are given in equations (3.17) to (3.23).

### 3.2.8 Utilization factor of service station is

$$
\rho_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu_{1}+\theta}
$$

### 3.2.9 Mean waiting time in the system (using Little's law)

$W_{1}=\frac{L_{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}}$

### 3.3 Particular Model

If $K=1, L=1, \mu_{1}=\mu, \theta=0, \alpha=0$ the model coincide with the model $M / M / 1 / N$ in [, Kleinrock, 103-104] (1975).

## 4. Some Numerical Results and Comparison of the Models

This section shows the numerical tractability of the performance measures provided in sections 2.2 (for model I(MI)) and 3.2 (for model II(MII)).

Numerical results for probabilities are presented in Tables 1 to 8 and system performance measures are displayed in Figures 1 to 4 . Tables 1 to 8 depicts the probabilities by fixing $N=10$, $L=4$ and changing $K=8,9,10$. Also, we fix $\mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$ and we vary the arrival rate $\lambda$ from 1.1 to 2.0 . Figures 1 and 2 displays the mean number of customers in the system for MI and MII against arrival rate $\lambda$. In general, the mean system size increases if $\lambda$ increases for both the models. The first row of each table shows idle probability of MI and MII. It is observed that the idle probabilities $p_{01}$ decreases with increasing arrival rate $\lambda$. Comparing with MI, the idle probability of MII is too small. $p_{10}$ for MII are called blocking probabilities, the value decreases as $\lambda$ increases, we experiences the same in all the tables.

Figures 1 and 2, shows the mean number of customers in the system for both the models and for various values of $K$. The values of mean number of customers increases arrival rate increases. The functions are increasing functions with respect to arrival rate $\lambda$. Figures 3 and 4 , shows the mean waiting time for various values of $\lambda$, for $K=8,9$, and 10 . The mean function of MII is an increasing function with respect to $\lambda$. For MI, the mean waiting time function is a combination of increasing, convex and concave with respect to the arrival rate $\lambda$.

Table 1. $N=10, K=8, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

|  | $\lambda=1.1$ |  | $\lambda=1.2$ |  | $\lambda=1.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{i}$ | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{01}$ | 0.2866 | 0.1532 | 0.2810 | 0.1513 | 0.2758 | 0.1509 |
| $p_{11}$ | 0.1268 | 0.1431 | 0.1245 | 0.1425 | 0.1224 | 0.1456 |
| $p_{21}$ | 0.0828 | 0.1261 | 0.0819 | 0.1378 | 0.0811 | 0.1436 |
| $p_{31}$ | 0.0698 | 0.1247 | 0.0606 | 0.1337 | 0.0604 | 0.1418 |
| $p_{41}$ | 0.0495 | 0.1018 | 0.0478 | 0.1235 | 0.0480 | 0.1246 |

Table Contd.

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.1$ |  | $\lambda=1.2$ |  | $\lambda=1.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{51}$ | 0.0480 | 0.0986 | 0.0468 | 0.1141 | 0.0457 | 0.0839 |
| $p_{61}$ | 0.0476 | 0.0691 | 0.0392 | 0.0730 | 0.0397 | 0.0777 |
| $p_{71}$ | 0.0388 | 0.0380 | 0.0334 | 0.0417 | 0.0338 | 0.4370 |
| $p_{81}$ | 0.0325 | 0.0209 | 0.0332 | 0.0238 | 0.0334 | 0.0258 |
| $p_{91}$ | 0.0285 | 0.0092 | 0.0289 | 0.0189 | 0.0296 | 0.0183 |
| $p_{12}$ | 0.0261 | 0.0087 | 0.0268 | 0.0136 | 0.0274 | 0.0152 |
| $p_{22}$ | 0.0256 | 0.0063 | 0.0267 | 0.0077 | 0.0273 | 0.0094 |
| $p_{32}$ | 0.0246 | 0.0045 | 0.0255 | 0.0060 | 0.0266 | 0.0090 |
| $p_{42}$ | 0.0244 | 0.0040 | 0.0254 | 0.0055 | 0.0261 | 0.0053 |
| $p_{52}$ | 0.0237 | 0.0034 | 0.0245 | 0.0044 | 0.0253 | 0.0036 |
| $p_{62}$ | 0.0230 | 0.0031 | 0.0238 | 0.0020 | 0.0247 | 0.0019 |
| $p_{72}$ | 0.0225 | 0.0006 | 0.0234 | 0.0004 | 0.0242 | 0.0001 |
| $p_{82}$ | 0.0217 | 0.0001 | 0.0230 | 0.0001 | 0.0240 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{92}$ | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 0.0227 | 0.0000 | 0.0238 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{102}$ | 0.0015 | 0.0000 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 |

Table 2. $N=10, K=8, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.4$ |  | $\lambda=1.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{01}$ | 0.2750 | 0.1496 | 0.2658 | 0.1484 |
| $p_{11}$ | 0.1228 | 0.1485 | 0.1186 | 0.1376 |
| $p_{21}$ | 0.0818 | 0.1388 | 0.0796 | 0.1296 |
| $p_{31}$ | 0.0614 | 0.1229 | 0.0607 | 0.1290 |
| $p_{41}$ | 0.0499 | 0.1179 | 0.0483 | 0.1185 |
| $p_{51}$ | 0.0489 | 0.0946 | 0.0437 | 0.0815 |
| $p_{61}$ | 0.0409 | 0.0836 | 0.0405 | 0.0745 |
| $p_{71}$ | 0.0350 | 0.0483 | 0.0350 | 0.0569 |
| $p_{81}$ | 0.0341 | 0.0350 | 0.0335 | 0.0493 |
| $p_{91}$ | 0.0317 | 0.0195 | 0.0308 | 0.0296 |
| $p_{12}$ | 0.0293 | 0.0105 | 0.0293 | 0.0215 |
| $p_{22}$ | 0.0290 | 0.0099 | 0.0284 | 0.0097 |
| $p_{32}$ | 0.0289 | 0.0077 | 0.0282 | 0.0087 |
| $p_{42}$ | 0.0280 | 0.0076 | 0.0274 | 0.0032 |
| $p_{52}$ | 0.0265 | 0.0034 | 0.0267 | 0.0019 |
| $p_{62}$ | 0.0264 | 0.0021 | 0.0263 | 0.0001 |
| $p_{72}$ | 0.0256 | 0.0001 | 0.0259 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{82}$ | 0.0253 | 0.0000 | 0.0257 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{92}$ | 0.0249 | 0.0000 | 0.0252 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{102}$ | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |

Table 3. $N=10, K=9, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.1$ |  | $\lambda=1.2$ |  | $\lambda=1.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{01}$ | 0.2869 | 0.1416 | 0.2816 | 0.1393 | 0.2767 | 0.1345 |
| $p_{11}$ | 0.1269 | 0.1392 | 0.1248 | 0.1327 | 0.1228 | 0.1319 |
| $p_{21}$ | 0.0829 | 0.1370 | 0.0821 | 0.1319 | 0.0813 | 0.1293 |
| $p_{31}$ | 0.0609 | 0.1256 | 0.0607 | 0.1252 | 0.0606 | 0.1271 |
| $p_{41}$ | 0.0480 | 0.1124 | 0.0479 | 0.1189 | 0.0481 | 0.1026 |
| $p_{51}$ | 0.0477 | 0.0935 | 0.0469 | 0.0967 | 0.0458 | 0.0987 |
| $p_{61}$ | 0.0388 | 0.0615 | 0.0393 | 0.0581 | 0.0398 | 0.0949 |
| $p_{71}$ | 0.0334 | 0.0514 | 0.0325 | 0.0552 | 0.0339 | 0.0683 |
| $p_{81}$ | 0.0326 | 0.0333 | 0.0332 | 0.0380 | 0.0335 | 0.0344 |
| $p_{91}$ | 0.0286 | 0.0282 | 0.0290 | 0.0315 | 0.0294 | 0.0262 |

Table 4. $N=10, K=9, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.1$ |  | $\lambda=1.2$ |  | $\lambda=1.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{12}$ | 0.0261 | 0.0205 | 0.0268 | 0.0228 | 0.0274 | 0.0203 |
| $p_{22}$ | 0.0247 | 0.0189 | 0.0254 | 0.0143 | 0.0262 | 0.0120 |
| $p_{32}$ | 0.0242 | 0.0155 | 0.0251 | 0.0145 | 0.0260 | 0.0065 |
| $p_{42}$ | 0.0239 | 0.0085 | 0.0250 | 0.0058 | 0.0256 | 0.0062 |
| $p_{52}$ | 0.0237 | 0.0047 | 0.0245 | 0.0053 | 0.0253 | 0.0042 |
| $p_{62}$ | 0.0230 | 0.0045 | 0.0239 | 0.0033 | 0.0248 | 0.0016 |
| $p_{72}$ | 0.0225 | 0.0025 | 0.0234 | 0.0012 | 0.0243 | 0.0003 |
| $p_{82}$ | 0.0221 | 0.0009 | 0.0230 | 0.0002 | 0.0240 | 0.0001 |
| $p_{92}$ | 0.0217 | 0.0002 | 0.0228 | 0.0001 | 0.0235 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{102}$ | 0.0014 | 0.0001 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 |

Table 5. $N=10, K=9, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.4$ |  | $\lambda=1.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{01}$ | 0.2757 | 0.1310 | 0.2667 | 0.1296 |
| $p_{11}$ | 0.1226 | 0.1273 | 0.1190 | 0.1284 |
| $p_{21}$ | 0.0777 | 0.1262 | 0.0798 | 0.1259 |
| $p_{31}$ | 0.0613 | 0.1253 | 0.0603 | 0.1257 |
| $p_{41}$ | 0.0482 | 0.1182 | 0.0485 | 0.1205 |
| $p_{51}$ | 0.0456 | 0.1052 | 0.0438 | 0.1043 |
| $p_{61}$ | 0.0350 | 0.0867 | 0.0407 | 0.0836 |
| $p_{71}$ | 0.0342 | 0.0615 | 0.0354 | 0.0653 |
| $p_{81}$ | 0.0308 | 0.0374 | 0.0336 | 0.0356 |

Table Contd.

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.4$ |  | $\lambda=1.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{91}$ | 0.0305 | 0.0229 | 0.0309 | 0.0319 |
| $p_{12}$ | 0.0285 | 0.0228 | 0.0285 | 0.0219 |
| $p_{22}$ | 0.0274 | 0.0138 | 0.0276 | 0.0180 |
| $p_{32}$ | 0.0272 | 0.0084 | 0.0275 | 0.0095 |
| $p_{42}$ | 0.0268 | 0.0060 | 0.0271 | 0.0063 |
| $p_{52}$ | 0.0266 | 0.0051 | 0.0268 | 0.0056 |
| $p_{62}$ | 0.0261 | 0.0015 | 0.0264 | 0.0010 |
| $p_{72}$ | 0.0256 | 0.0002 | 0.0260 | 0.0001 |
| $p_{82}$ | 0.0250 | 0.0001 | 0.0257 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{92}$ | 0.0245 | 0.0000 | 0.0250 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{102}$ | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 |

Table 6. $N=10, K=10, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.1$ |  | $\lambda=1.2$ |  | $\lambda=1.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{01}$ | 0.2877 | 0.1395 | 0.2824 | 0.1386 | 0.2769 | 0.1375 |
| $p_{11}$ | 0.1273 | 0.1289 | 0.1252 | 0.1250 | 0.1231 | 0.1236 |
| $p_{21}$ | 0.0831 | 0.1196 | 0.0820 | 0.1158 | 0.0815 | 0.1125 |
| $p_{31}$ | 0.0610 | 0.1157 | 0.0609 | 0.1145 | 0.0607 | 0.1029 |
| $p_{41}$ | 0.0481 | 0.1089 | 0.0480 | 0.1085 | 0.0482 | 0.0986 |
| $p_{51}$ | 0.0478 | 0.0958 | 0.0470 | 0.0863 | 0.0459 | 0.0846 |
| $p_{61}$ | 0.0390 | 0.0875 | 0.0394 | 0.0689 | 0.0399 | 0.0680 |
| $p_{71}$ | 0.0335 | 0.0619 | 0.0336 | 0.0678 | 0.0340 | 0.0678 |
| $p_{81}$ | 0.0326 | 0.0319 | 0.0333 | 0.0450 | 0.0336 | 0.0549 |
| $p_{91}$ | 0.0286 | 0.0286 | 0.0291 | 0.0359 | 0.0295 | 0.0418 |
| $p_{12}$ | 0.0262 | 0.0190 | 0.0268 | 0.0256 | 0.0274 | 0.0379 |
| $p_{22}$ | 0.0247 | 0.0154 | 0.0255 | 0.0216 | 0.0262 | 0.0276 |
| $p_{32}$ | 0.0242 | 0.0115 | 0.0252 | 0.0195 | 0.0260 | 0.0199 |
| $p_{42}$ | 0.0238 | 0.0093 | 0.0246 | 0.0099 | 0.0254 | 0.0109 |
| $p_{52}$ | 0.0231 | 0.0090 | 0.0240 | 0.0079 | 0.0249 | 0.0065 |
| $p_{62}$ | 0.0225 | 0.0073 | 0.0235 | 0.0063 | 0.0248 | 0.0045 |
| $p_{72}$ | 0.0221 | 0.0059 | 0.0231 | 0.0023 | 0.0240 | 0.00004 |
| $p_{82}$ | 0.0218 | 0.0036 | 0.0228 | 0.0005 | 0.0238 | 0.0001 |
| $p_{92}$ | 0.0213 | 0.0006 | 0.0223 | 0.0001 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{102}$ | 0.0016 | 0.0001 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 7. $N=10, K=10, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

|  | $\lambda=1.4$ |  | $\lambda=1.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{i}$ | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{01}$ | 0.2760 | 0.1355 | 0.2671 | 0.1339 |
| $p_{11}$ | 0.1234 | 0.1214 | 0.1192 | 0.1206 |
| $p_{21}$ | 0.0802 | 0.1115 | 0.0800 | 0.1109 |
| $p_{31}$ | 0.0617 | 0.1015 | 0.0603 | 0.1005 |
| $p_{41}$ | 0.0496 | 0.0945 | 0.0486 | 0.0913 |
| $p_{51}$ | 0.0430 | 0.0819 | 0.0439 | 0.0856 |
| $p_{61}$ | 0.0353 | 0.0715 | 0.0418 | 0.0801 |
| $p_{71}$ | 0.0343 | 0.0653 | 0.0330 | 0.0739 |
| $p_{81}$ | 0.0311 | 0.0596 | 0.0321 | 0.0685 |
| $p_{91}$ | 0.0308 | 0.0575 | 0.0309 | 0.0639 |

Table 8. $N=10, K=10, L=9, \mu=2.5, \mu_{1}=3.9, \theta=3.7, \alpha=2.8$

| $p_{i}$ | $\lambda=1.4$ |  | $\lambda=1.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| $p_{12}$ | 0.0286 | 0.0419 | 0.0307 | 0.0331 |
| $p_{22}$ | 0.0274 | 0.0296 | 0.0286 | 0.0173 |
| $p_{32}$ | 0.0267 | 0.0215 | 0.0276 | 0.0416 |
| $p_{42}$ | 0.0261 | 0.0035 | 0.0269 | 0.0049 |
| $p_{52}$ | 0.0257 | 0.0029 | 0.0264 | 0.0008 |
| $p_{62}$ | 0.0254 | 0.0003 | 0.0260 | 0.0001 |
| $p_{72}$ | 0.0251 | 0.0001 | 0.0257 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{82}$ | 0.0246 | 0.0000 | 0.0255 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{92}$ | 0.0244 | 0.0000 | 0.0251 | 0.0000 |
| $p_{102}$ | 0.0007 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 |



Figure 1. Mean number of the customers in the system for MI


Figure 2. Mean number of the customers in the system for MII


Figure 3. Mean waiting time for MI


Figure 4. Mean waiting time for MII
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## 5. Cost and Profit Analysis

In this section, we define a cost structure to the model discussed in this paper. To carry out the cost and profit analysis we introduce the following cost and profit related elements.
$C_{h}$ : Unit's holding cost per unit time
$C_{b b}$ : Cost per unit time when the server busy with batch service
$C_{l}$ : Cost associate each lost cost per unit time
$C_{v}$ : Cost per unit time when the server is an vacation
$C_{1}$ : Cost per service by server 1 per unit time
$C_{2}$ : Cost per service by server2 per unit time
$C$ : Cost
$P$ : Profit
$R$ : Revenue

## $T(C)$ : Total expected cost per unit time

$T(R)$ : Total expected revenue of the system
$T(P)$ : Total expected profit of the system
For model (MI), the total expected cost per unit time of the systems is

$$
T=C_{b b} p_{b b}+C_{v} p_{v}+C_{h} L+C_{1} \mu+C_{2} \mu_{1} .
$$

For model (MII), the total expected cost per unit time of the systems is

$$
T=C_{b b} p_{b b}+C_{v} p_{v}+C_{h} L+C_{l} \lambda p_{N} .
$$

Total expected revenue of the system is $T(R)=R\left(\mu+\mu_{1}\right) L_{1}$
Total expected profit of the systems is $T(P)=T(R)-T(C)$
By fixing the cost parameters $C_{b b}=8, C_{v}=6, C_{h}=13, C_{1}=7, C_{2}=4, R=8, L=5$, the queueing $\mu=3.9, \mu_{1}=4.1, N=10$ and varying $\lambda=1.1$ to $2.0, K=8,9,10$, the functional values $T(C), T(R)$ and $T(P)$ are obtained and are depicted in the Tables 9,10 and 11, respectively. Also, the corresponding graphs are drawn in the Figures 5 to 10.

From the numerical calculations, for MI it is clear that the total expected cost per unit time is minimum at $\lambda=1.7$ for $K=8$, at $\lambda=1.6$ for $K=9$ and at $\lambda=1.9$ for $K=10$. The total expected profit is maximum at $\lambda=1.6$ for $K=8$, at $\lambda=1.6$ for $K=9$ and $\lambda=1.7$ for $K=10$. The minimum of total expected revenue at $\lambda=1.7$ for $K=8$, at $\lambda=1.5$ for $K=9$ and $\lambda=1.8$ for $K=10$.

For MII it is clear that the total expected cost per unit time is minimum at $\lambda=1.5$ for $K=8$, at $\lambda=1.8$ for $K=9$ and at $\lambda=1.4$ for $K=10$. The total expected profit is maximum at $\lambda=1.6$ for $K=8$, at $\lambda=1.4$ for $K=9$ and at $\lambda=1.3$ for $K=10$. The minimum of total expected revenue at $\lambda=1.6$ for $K=8$, at $\lambda=1.4$ for $K=9$ and $\lambda=1.8$ for $K=10$.

Table 9. Total expected cost per unit time $T(C)\left(C_{b b}=8, C_{v}=6, C_{h}=13, C_{1}=7, C_{2}=4, R=8, L=5\right.$, $\mu=3.9, \mu_{1}=4.1$ )

| $\lambda$ | $K=8$ |  | $K=9$ |  | $K=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| 1.1 | 89.7546 | 84.8198 | 93.2193 | 87.2004 | 96.1127 | 88.8846 |
| 1.2 | 92.0373 | 89.2215 | 94.6288 | 91.9508 | 98.7894 | 94.2017 |
| 1.3 | 93.6158 | 90.1123 | 95.8042 | 93.4084 | 100.9513 | 97.0954 |
| 1.4 | 96.2211 | 92.3507 | 97.7484 | 96.6512 | 102.1074 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 2 4 3 5}$ |
| 1.5 | 98.5028 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 3 7 3 8}$ | 100.6211 | 97.6779 | 103.5851 | 102.6270 |
| 1.6 | 100.7166 | 98.5873 | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3 2 9 2}$ | 100.7471 | 105.5951 | 103.7529 |
| 1.7 | $\mathbf{6 5 . 2 3 7 5}$ | 99.7290 | 104.1279 | 102.8282 | 112.4542 | 105.4355 |
| 1.8 | 103.9009 | 101.7164 | 106.2373 | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8 3 8 6}$ | 113.6530 | 107.4563 |
| 1.9 | 104.3142 | 103.4016 | 107.2356 | 106.1631 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 6 2 5 9}$ | 109.3759 |
| 2.0 | 108.8637 | 105.8333 | 111.4001 | 108.3437 | 114.4898 | 111.5271 |

Table 10. Total expected profit per unit time $T(P)\left(C_{b b}=8, C_{v}=6, C_{h}=13, C_{1}=7, C_{2}=4, R=8, L=5\right.$, $\mu=3.9, \mu_{1}=4.1$ )

| $\lambda$ | $K=8$ |  | $K=9$ |  | $K=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| 1.1 | 58.5670 | 69.5183 | 59.5669 | 71.3581 | 60.7915 | 74.8576 |
| 1.2 | 59.3675 | 70.9715 | 60.3786 | 72.7635 | 61.3619 | 75.1935 |
| 1.3 | 61.7834 | 71.6346 | 61.1739 | 73.1419 | 63.4054 | $\mathbf{7 6 . 8 3 1 9}$ |
| 1.4 | 62.8999 | 72.3599 | 63.3599 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 9 7 8 3}$ | 65.3689 | 45.9736 |
| 1.5 | 63.6350 | 73.1783 | 65.4819 | 43.8769 | 67.2515 | 44.5419 |
| 1.6 | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 4 7 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 7 3 4 5}$ | 40.8856 | 69.4856 | 43.4377 |
| 1.7 | 43.4787 | 49.3577 | 40.5986 | 39.1736 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 9 9 0}$ | 40.6569 |
| 1.8 | 40.1579 | 47.4981 | 39.3579 | 38.9907 | 36.9786 | 39.1974 |
| 1.9 | 39.7430 | 45.7386 | 37.4531 | 37.1989 | 35.1993 | 38.8926 |
| 2.0 | 38.9136 | 44.5993 | 36.7816 | 36.3964 | 33.1786 | 36.9810 |

Table 11. Total expected revenue per unit time $T(R)\left(C_{b b}=8, C_{v}=6, C_{h}=13, C_{1}=7, C_{2}=4, R=8\right.$, $\left.L=5, \mu=3.9, \mu_{1}=4.1\right)$

| $\lambda$ | $K=8$ |  | $K=9$ |  | $K=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MI | MII | MI | MII | MI | MII |
| 1.1 | 148.3216 | 173.3659 | 152.7862 | 175.8559 | 154.6741 | 179.3615 |
| 1.2 | 149.9986 | 174.1735 | 153.3635 | 176.3517 | 155.8529 | 180.7840 |
| 1.3 | 150.7816 | 175.8993 | 154.5416 | 177.8931 | 156.1457 | 181.8019 |
| 1.4 | 151.3589 | 176.9875 | 155.6953 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 . 4 5 6 3}$ | 157.5316 | 182.7388 |
| 1.5 | 152.7677 | 177.7319 | $\mathbf{1 2 0 . 4 3 8 2}$ | 179.7919 | 158.3103 | 183.9781 |
| 1.6 | 154.4639 | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 8 4 2 6}$ | 156.9186 | 180.8515 | 159.4935 | 185.3659 |
| 1.7 | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 3 5 1 9}$ | 178.5519 | 158.1078 | 181.4366 | 161.8859 | 187.4566 |
| 1.8 | 157.5760 | 179.3679 | 160.0763 | 182.567 | $\mathbf{1 2 3 . 7 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 . 7 3 1 0}$ |
| 1.9 | 158.4837 | 180.4815 | 161.3845 | 183.3514 | 162.6583 | 188.8531 |
| 2.0 | 160.2919 | 182.5989 | 162.2647 | 184.1819 | 163.1938 | 190.6354 |
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Figure 5. Total expected cost per unit time for MI


Figure 6. Total expected cost per unit time for MII


Figure 7. Total expected profit per unit time for MI
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Figure 8. Total expected profit per unit time for MII


Figure 9. Total expected revenue per unit time for MI


Figure 10. Total expected revenue per unit time for MII
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## 6. Conclusion

Single vacation, batch service, impatient customers are the characters of the queueing systems analyzed in this paper with the above characters, two models are defined and analyzed by assuming finite population customers, infinite population customers with finite waiting line separately. The two models are completely analyzed in steady state. The efficiency of the models are identified by defining cost and profit structure. The models are compared numerically.
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