



**Special Issue of the Conference**

**The 10th Asian Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Optimization**

Chiang Mai, Thailand, July 16–18, 2018

**Editors: Poom Kumam, Parin Chaipunya and Nantaporn Chuensupantharat**

Research Article

# Some Fixed Point of Hardy-Rogers Contraction in Generalized Complex Valued Metric Spaces

Issara Inchan\* and Urairat Deepan

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Uttaradit Rajabhat University, Thailand

\*Corresponding author: peissara@uru.ac.th

**Abstract.** In this work, we defined the generalized complex valued metric space for some partial order relation and give some example. Then we study and established a fixed point theorem for general Hardy-Rogers contraction. The results extend and improve some results of Elkouch and Marhrani [5].

**Keywords.** General Kannan condition; Hardy-Rogers contraction; Class of generalized complex valued metric space

**MSC.** 46C05; 47D03; 47H09; 47H10; 47H20

**Received:** August 30, 2018

**Revised:** October 1, 2018

**Re-revised:** October 4, 2018

**Accepted:** October 5, 2018

Copyright © 2019 Issara Inchan and Urairat Deepan. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

## 1. Introduction

The axiomatic development of a metric space was essentially carried out by French mathematician Fréchet in the year 1906. Recently, Banach [2], introduced the Banach fixed point theorem in a complex valued metric space, has been generalized in many spaces. In 2011 Azam [1], introduced the notion of complex valued metric space and established sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed point of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition.

Let us recall that a mapping  $T$  on a metric space  $(X, d)$  is a Kannan contraction [8] if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, Tx) + \alpha d(y, Ty) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

In 1968, Kannan [8] proved the existence results for a mapping defined by (1.1), this the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** ([8]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  and  $T$  is a self-mapping on  $X$  satisfying (1.1). Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Recently, Jleli and Samet [7] introduced a very interesting concept of a generalized metric space, which covers different well-known metric structures including classical metric spaces, b-metric spaces, dislocated metric spaces, modular spaces, and so on.

In 2017, Elkouch and Marhrani [5], extend the Theorem 1.1 to generalized metric space and they proved existence results for the Kannan contraction defined by (1.1), and they introduced the Chatterjea contraction with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$  in  $(X, D)$  such that

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda(D(y, fx) + D(x, fy))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then they proved that a mapping  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$ . The final of their work they introduce the Hardy-Rogers contraction.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a generalized metric space. A self-mapping  $f$  on  $X$  is called a Hardy-Rogers contraction if there exist nonnegative real numbers  $\lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  such that  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^5 \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$  and satisfying

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda_1 D(x, y) + \lambda_2 D(x, fx) + \lambda_3 D(y, fy) + \lambda_4 D(y, fx) + \lambda_5 D(x, fy), \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then they proved the uniqueness of the fixed point of  $f$  in  $X$ .

In 2018, Saipara, Kumam and Cho [10], prove some random fixed point theorems for Hardy-Rogers self-random operators in separable Banach spaces and, as some applications, we show the existence of a solution for random nonlinear integral equations in Banach spaces. In this year, Khammahawong and Kumam [9], establish a new best proximity point theorem for Roger-Hardy type generalized F-contraction mappings and nonexpansive mappings in complete metric spaces.

Motivate by Elkouch and Marhrani [5], and Khammahawong and Kumam [9], we defined and introduce the generalized complex valued metric space and consider the general Hardy-Rogers contraction for some partial order relation, if there exist nonnegative real numbers  $\lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  such that  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^5 \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$  and satisfying

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda_1 D(x, y) + \lambda_2 D(x, fx) + \lambda_3 D(y, fy) + \lambda_4 D(y, fx) + \lambda_5 D(x, fy), \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then we claim that a mapping  $f$  is satisfying (1.3) has a fixed point on  $X$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions and lemmas for this work. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be the set of complex numbers and  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ . Define a partial order relation  $\leq$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  as follows:

$$z_1 \leq z_2 \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

Thus  $z_1 \leq z_2$  if one of the followings holds:

- (1)  $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ .
- (2)  $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ .
- (3)  $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ .
- (4)  $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ .

We write  $z_1 \leq z_2$  if  $z_1 \leq z_2$  and  $z_1 \neq z_2$  i.e. one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied and we will write  $z_1 < z_2$  only (4) is satisfied.

**Remark 2.1.** We can easily to check the following:

- (i) If  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq b$  and  $z_1 \leq z_2$  then  $az_1 \leq bz_2$ , for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ .
- (ii)  $0 \leq z_1 \leq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$ .
- (iii)  $z_1 \leq z_2$  and  $z_2 < z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 < z_3$ .

Azam *et al.* [1] defined the complex valued metric space in the following way:

**Definition 2.2** ([1]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1)  $0 \leq d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (C2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (C3)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then  $d$  is called a complex valued metric on  $X$  and  $(X, d)$  is called a complex valued metric space.

In 2017, Elkouch and Marhrani [5] defined a new class of metric space, let  $X$  be a nonempty set, and  $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a given mapping. For every  $x \in X$ , define the set

$$C(D, X, x) = \left\{ \{x_n\} \subseteq X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x) = 0 \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.3** ([7]). A mapping  $D$  is called a generalized metric if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For every  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , we have

$$D(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y.$$

- (2) For every  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , we have

$$D(x, y) = D(y, x).$$

- (3) There exists a real constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  and  $\{x_n\} \in C(D, X, x)$ , we have

$$D(x, y) \leq C \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, y).$$

The pair  $(X, D)$  is called a generalized metric space.

In this work, we consider a nonempty set  $X$ , and  $D : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a given mapping. For every  $x \in X$ , we define the set

$$C(D, X, x) = \left\{ \{x_n\} \subseteq X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, x)| = 0 \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, a mapping  $D : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is called a generalized complex value metric if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) for every  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$0 \leq D(x, y).$$

(2) for every  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$D(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y.$$

(3) for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$D(x, y) = D(y, x).$$

(4) there exists a complex constant  $0 < r$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\{x_n\} \in C(D, X, x)$ , we have

$$D(x, y) \leq r \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, y)|.$$

Then, a pair  $(X, D)$  is called a generalized complex valued metric space.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a generalized complex valued metric space, let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ , and let  $x \in X$ . We say that  $\{x_n\}$  is converge to  $x$  in  $X$ , if  $\{x_n\} \in C(D, X, x)$ . We denote by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and let  $D : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be the mapping define by for any  $x, y \in X$

$$\begin{cases} D(x, y) = (x + y)i; & x \neq 0 \text{ and } y \neq 0 \\ D(x, 0) = D(0, x) = \frac{x}{2}i. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$ , thus  $x + y \geq 0$ .

If  $D(x, y) = (x + y)i = 0 + (x + y)i \leq 0 + 0i = 0$ .

If  $D(x, 0) = \frac{x}{2}i = 0 + \frac{x}{2}i \leq 0 + 0i = 0$ .

Hence  $D(x, y) \leq 0$ .

If  $D(x, y) = 0$ , then  $\frac{x}{2}i = 0$  and  $y = 0$ . Hence,  $x = 0 = y$ .

If  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ ,  $D(x, y) = (x + y)i = (y + x)i = D(y, x)$  and  $D(x, 0) = D(0, x)$ .

Let  $\{\frac{(n-1)x}{n}\} \subseteq X$ , we see that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, x)| = 0$  and put  $r = i$ , then, we have

$$D(0, y) = \frac{y}{2}i \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, y)| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sqrt{\left(\frac{(n-1)x}{n} + y\right)^2} \right) = x + y.$$

Hence,  $D(0, y) = \frac{y}{2}i \leq (x + y)i$ , and we see that

$$D(x, y) = (x + y)i \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, y)| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sqrt{\left(\frac{(n-1)x}{n} + y\right)^2} \right) = x + y.$$

Hence,  $D(x, y) = (x + y)i \leq (x + y)i = r \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, y)|$ . □

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a generalized complex valued metric space. Then a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(x_n, x_{n+m})| = 0.$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a generalized complex valued metric space. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in  $X$  then  $(X, D)$  is called a complete complex valued metric space.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $\lambda$  is a real number such that  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , and let  $\{b_n\}$  be a sequence of positives reals numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ . Then, for any sequence of positives numbers  $\{a_n\}$  satisfying

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n + b_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbf{N}$$

we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.10** ([3]). Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative, and let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a real sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty.$$

If, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda_n)a_n + \varepsilon\lambda_n, \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

then  $0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \varepsilon$ .

### 3. Fixed Point for General Hardy-Rogers Contraction

In this section we prove some propositions for use in the main theorem and prove some fixed point theorem in generalized complex valued metric space.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a generalized complex valued metric space. A self-mapping  $f$  on  $X$  is called a general Hardy-Rogers contraction if there exists nonnegative real constants  $\lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  such that  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$  and

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda_1 D(x, y) + \lambda_2 D(x, fx) + \lambda_3 D(y, fy) + \lambda_4 D(y, fx) + \lambda_5 D(x, fy) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a generalized complex valued metric space, and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a Hardy-Rogers contraction. Then any fixed point  $\omega \in X$  of  $f$  satisfies

$$|D(\omega, \omega)| < \infty \Rightarrow D(\omega, \omega) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in X$  be a fixed point  $f$  such that  $|D(\omega, \omega)| < \infty$  and  $f\omega = \omega$ . To show that  $D(\omega, \omega) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} D(\omega, \omega) &= D(f\omega, f\omega) \\ &\leq \lambda_1 D(f\omega, f\omega) + \lambda_2 D(\omega, f\omega) + \lambda_3 D(\omega, f\omega) + \lambda_4 D(\omega, f\omega) + \lambda_5 D(\omega, f\omega) \\ &= \lambda_1 D(\omega, \omega) + \lambda_2 D(\omega, \omega) + \lambda_3 D(\omega, \omega) + \lambda_4 D(\omega, \omega) + \lambda_5 D(\omega, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(\omega, \omega)| &\leq \lambda_1 |D(\omega, \omega)| + \lambda_2 |D(\omega, \omega)| + \lambda_3 |D(\omega, \omega)| + \lambda_4 |D(\omega, \omega)| + \lambda_5 |D(\omega, \omega)| \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) |D(\omega, \omega)| \\ &= \lambda |D(\omega, \omega)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$  it follows that  $|D(\omega, \omega)| = 0$ . Hence  $D(\omega, \omega) = 0$ . □

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a complete generalized complex valued metric space, and let  $f$  be a self-mapping on  $X$  satisfying (3.1). let  $|\lambda_3 + \lambda_5| < 1$ , and there exists element  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$\delta(D, f, x_0) < \infty$ . Then the sequence  $\{f^n x_0\}$  converges to some  $\omega \in X$  and  $\omega$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Moreover, If  $\omega'$  is a fixed point of  $f$  in  $X$  such that  $|D(\omega', \omega')| < \infty$  and  $|D(\omega, \omega)| < \infty$  then  $\omega = \omega'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , for all  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$D(f^{n+i} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0) = D(f(f^{n+i-1} x_0), f(f^{n+j-1} x_0)).$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(f^{n+i} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0) &\leq \lambda_1 D(f^{n+i-1} x_0, f^{n+j-1} x_0) + \lambda_2 D(f^{n+i-1} x_0, f^{n+i} x_0) \\ &\quad + \lambda_4 D(f^{n+j-1} x_0, f^{n+i} x_0) \\ &\quad + \lambda_5 D(f^{n+i-1} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(f^{n+i} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0)| &\leq \lambda_1 |D(f^{n+i-1} x_0, f^{n+j-1} x_0)| + \lambda_2 |D(f^{n+i-1} x_0, f^{n+i} x_0)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_3 |D(f^{n+j-1} x_0, f^{n+i} x_0)| + \lambda_4 |D(f^{n+j-1} x_0, f^{n+i} x_0)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_5 |D(f^{n+i-1} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0)| \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) + \lambda_2 \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) + \lambda_3 \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) \\ &\quad + \lambda_4 \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) + \lambda_5 \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) \\ &= \lambda \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|D(f^{n+i} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0)| \leq \lambda \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0). \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.2), we see that  $\lambda \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0)$  is upper bound of the set  $\{|D(f^{n+i} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0)| : i, j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  since  $\delta(D, f, f^n x_0)$  is least upper bound of  $\{|D(f^{n+i} x_0, f^{n+j} x_0)|\}$ , it follows that

$$\delta(D, f, f^n x_0) \leq \lambda \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0).$$

Similarly, we induction to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(D, f, f^n x_0) &\leq \lambda \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \delta(D, f, f^{n-2} x_0) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \lambda^n \delta(D, f, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

By

$$|D(f^n x_0, f^{m+n} x_0)| = |D(f(f^{n-1} x_0), f^{m+1}(f^{n-1} x_0))|,$$

we have

$$|D(f^n x_0, f^{m+n} x_0)| \leq \lambda \delta(D, f, f^{n-1} x_0) \leq \lambda^{n-1} \delta(D, f, x_0)$$

for all integer  $m$ . Since  $\delta(D, f, x_0) < \infty$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{n-1} \delta(D, f, x_0) = 0$$

thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f^n x_0, f^{m+n} x_0)| = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Then, we have  $\{f^n x_0\}$  is a cauchy sequence. By  $X$  be a complete, thus there exists  $\omega \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f^n x_0, \omega)| = 0.$$

By Definition 2.4(4), we have

$$D(f\omega, \omega) \leq r \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f\omega, f^{n+1}x_0)|. \quad (3.4)$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), we have

$$|D(f\omega, \omega)| \leq |r| \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f\omega, f^{n+1}x_0)|. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(f^{n+1}, f\omega) &\leq \lambda_1 D(f^n x_0, \omega) + \lambda_2 D(f^n x_0, f^{n+1}x_0) + \lambda_3 D(\omega, f\omega) \\ &\quad + \lambda_4 D(\omega, f^{n+1}x_0) + \lambda_5 D(f^n x_0, f\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(f^{n+1}, f\omega)| &\leq \lambda_1 |D(f^n x_0, \omega)| + \lambda_2 |D(f^n x_0, f^{n+1}x_0)| + \lambda_3 |D(\omega, f\omega)| \\ &\quad + \lambda_4 |D(\omega, f^{n+1}x_0)| + \lambda_5 |D(f^n x_0, f\omega)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} a_n = |D(f^n x_0, f\omega)| \\ b_n = \lambda_1 |D(f^n x_0, \omega)| + \lambda_2 |D(f^n x_0, f^{n+1}x_0)| + \lambda_4 |D(\omega, f^{n+1}x_0)| \\ K = \lambda_3 |D(\omega, f\omega)|. \end{cases}$$

By (3.7), we have

$$a_{n+1} \leq \lambda_5 a_n + b_n + K.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f^n x_0, \omega)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f^n x_0, f^{n+1}x_0)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(\omega, f^{n+1}x_0)| &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ .

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > \frac{K}{1-\lambda_5} > 0$  there exists  $N_\varepsilon$  such that

$$b_n \leq \varepsilon(1 - \lambda_5) - K, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_\varepsilon.$$

Then, we have

$$a_{n+1} \leq \lambda_5 a_n + b_n + K \leq \lambda_5 a_n + \varepsilon(1 - \lambda_5).$$

By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > \frac{K}{1 - \lambda_5}$$

then

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \frac{K}{1 - \lambda_5}.$$

By Definition 2.4(4), we have

$$D(f\omega, \omega) \leq r \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |D(f\omega, f^{n+1}x_0)| \leq r \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq r \frac{K}{1 - \lambda_5}$$

and by  $K = \lambda_3|D(f\omega, \omega)|$ , we have

$$D(f\omega, \omega) \leq r \frac{\lambda_3|D(f\omega, \omega)|}{1 - \lambda_5}.$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), we have

$$|D(f\omega, \omega)| \leq |r| \frac{\lambda_3|D(f\omega, \omega)|}{1 - \lambda_5}.$$

Since  $|r|\lambda_3 + \lambda_5 < 1$ , we have  $\frac{|r|\lambda_3}{1 - \lambda_5} < 1$  then  $|D(f\omega, \omega)| = 0$  thus  $D(f\omega, \omega) = 0$  it follows that  $f\omega = \omega$ .

If  $\omega'$  is any fixed point of  $f$  such that  $|D(\omega, \omega)| < \infty$  and  $|D(\omega', \omega')| < \infty$  then by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\omega, \omega') &= D(f\omega, f\omega') \\ &\leq \lambda_1 D(\omega, \omega') + \lambda_2 D(\omega, f\omega) + \lambda_3 D(\omega', f\omega') + \lambda_4 D(\omega', f\omega) + \lambda_5 D(\omega, f\omega') \\ &\leq \lambda_1 D(\omega, \omega') + \lambda_2 D(\omega, \omega) + \lambda_3 D(\omega', \omega') + \lambda_4 D(\omega', \omega) + \lambda_5 D(\omega, \omega'). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(\omega, \omega')| &\leq \lambda_1 |D(\omega, \omega')| + \lambda_2 |D(\omega, \omega)| + \lambda_3 |D(\omega', \omega')| + \lambda_4 |D(\omega', \omega)| + \lambda_5 |D(\omega, \omega')| \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) |D(\omega, \omega')| + \lambda_2 |D(\omega, \omega)| + \lambda_3 |D(\omega', \omega')| \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) |D(\omega, \omega')| + \lambda_2(0) + \lambda_3(0) \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) |D(\omega, \omega')| \end{aligned}$$

then, we have

$$|D(\omega, \omega')| \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) |D(\omega, \omega')|.$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$ , we have  $|D(\omega, \omega')| = 0$  thus  $D(\omega, \omega') = 0$ . Hence  $\omega = \omega'$ .  $\square$

## 4. Conclusion

In this work, we defined the generalized complex valued metric space. We study the uniqueness of fixed point of a mapping which satisfying the Hardy-Rogers contraction under some control negative real constants.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Uttaradit Rajabhat University for financial support. Moreover, we would like to thank Dr. Jittiporn Suwannawit for providing valuable suggestions.

## Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] A. Azam, F. Brain and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space, *Numer.Funct.Anal. Optim.* **32**(3) (2011), 243 – 253.



- [2] S. Banach, Sur operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* **3**(1922), 133 – 181.
- [3] V. Berinde, Iterative Approximation of fixed points, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin (2007), DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-72234-2.
- [4] S. K. Datta and S. Ali, A common fixed point theorems under contractive condition in complex valued metric space, *International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research* **6**(2) (2012), 467 – 475.
- [5] Y. Elkouch and E. M. Morhrani, On some fixed point theorem in generalized metric space, *Fixed Point Theory Applications* **23**(2017), 17 pages, DOI: 10.1186/s13663-017-0617-9.
- [6] G. D. Hardy and T. D. Roger, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Riech, *Can. Math. Bull.* **16** (1973), 201 – 206.
- [7] M. Jleli and B. Samet, A generalized metric space and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2015** (2015):65, pages 1 – 14, DOI: 10.1186/s13663-015-0312-7.
- [8] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **60** (1968), 71 – 76.
- [9] K. Khammahawong and P. Kumam, A best proximity point theorem for Roger–Hardy type generalized F-contractive mappings in complete metric spaces with some examples, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas* **112** (2018), 1503 – 1519, DOI: 10.1007/s13398-017-0440-5.
- [10] P. Saipara, P. Kumam and Y. J. Cho, Random fixed point theorems for Hardy-Rogers self-random operators with applications to random integral equations, *An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes* **90**(2) (2018), 297 – 311, DOI: 10.1080/17442508.2017.1346655.