

(Invited paper)

## Stability of A Sincov Type Functional Equation

Prasanna K. Sahoo

**Abstract.** This paper aims to study the Hyers-Ulam stability of a Sincov type functional equation  $f(x, y) + f(y, z) + f(x, z) = \ell(x + y + z)$  when the domain of the functions is an abelian group and the range is a Banach space.

### 1. Introduction

In 1903, the Russian mathematician D. M. Sincov (see [13] and [14]) studied the functional equation

$$f(x, y) + f(y, z) = f(x, z) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  (the set of real numbers). Others like Moritz Cantor [2] and Gottlob Frege [5] (see also [6] and [7]) treated this functional equation before Sincov. The most general solution  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the functional equation (1.1) is given by  $f(x, y) = \phi(y) - \phi(x)$ , where  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrary function. The functional equation (1.1) is known as the Sincov functional equation.

The functional equation

$$f(x, y) + g(y, z) + h(x, z) = \ell(x + y + z) \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , where  $G$  is an abelian group, is a generalization of the Sincov functional equation. The following theorem was proved by the author [12].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian groups written additively. Moreover, suppose the division by 2 is uniquely defined in  $H$ . The functions  $f, g, h : G^2 \rightarrow H$  and  $\ell : G \rightarrow H$  satisfy the functional equation (1.2) for all  $x, y, z \in G$  if and only if*

$$\ell(x) = 2a(x) + 2q(x) + c_1 + c_2 + c_3, \quad (1.3)$$

$$f(x, y) = \alpha(x) - \beta(y) + a(x) + a(y) + b(x, x) + 4b(x, y) + b(y, y) + c_1, \quad (1.4)$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B82.

*Key words and phrases.* Homomorphism; Hyers-Ulam stability; quadratic function; Sincov functional equation.

$$g(x, y) = \beta(x) - \gamma(y) + a(x) + a(y) + b(x, x) + 4b(x, y) + b(y, y) + c_2, \quad (1.5)$$

$$h(x, y) = \gamma(x) - \alpha(y) + a(x) + a(y) + b(x, x) + 4b(x, y) + b(y, y) + c_3, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : G \rightarrow H$  are arbitrary functions,  $b : G^2 \rightarrow H$  is a bihomomorphism,  $a : G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism, and  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  are arbitrary elements in  $H$ .

The following functional equation

$$f(x, y) + f(y, z) + f(x, z) = \ell(x + y + z) \quad (1.7)$$

is a special case of (1.2) and its solution can be obtained from Theorem 1.1. The general solution of (1.7) is given by

$$\ell(x) = 2a(x) + 2q(x) + 3c, \quad (1.8)$$

$$f(x, y) = \alpha(x) - \alpha(y) + a(x) + a(y) + b(x, x) + 4b(x, y) + b(y, y) + c \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$  are arbitrary function,  $b : G^2 \rightarrow H$  is a bihomomorphism,  $a : G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism, and  $c$  is an arbitrary element in  $H$ . The functional equation (1.7) was studied by J. A. Baker [1] in 1977.

A function  $Q : G \rightarrow H$  satisfying  $Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$  is called a quadratic function. It is well known that a quadratic function  $Q : G \rightarrow H$  can be represented as the diagonal of a symmetric bihomomorphism  $b : G^2 \rightarrow H$ , that is  $Q(x) = b(x, x)$ . Therefore, in (1.9),  $b(x, x) + 4b(x, y) + b(y, y)$  can be replaced by  $2Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$ .

## 2. Stability of functional equations

Given an operator  $T$  and a solution class  $\{u\}$  with the property that  $T(u) = 0$ , when does  $\|T(v)\| \leq \varepsilon$  for an  $\varepsilon > 0$  imply that  $\|u - v\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$  for some  $u$  and for some  $\delta > 0$ ? This problem is called the stability of the functional transformation. A great deal of work has been done in connection with the ordinary and partial differential equations. Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be a metric group with metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . S. M. Ulam [16, 17] asked given a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a function  $f : G \rightarrow H$  satisfies the inequality  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) \leq \delta$  for all  $x, y$  in  $G$ , then there is a homomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow H$  with  $d(a(x), f(x)) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x$  in  $G$ ? If  $f$  is a function from a normed vector space into a Banach space and satisfies  $\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ , D. H. Hyers [8] proved that there exists an additive function  $A$  such that  $\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ . If  $f(x)$  is a real continuous function of  $x$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon$ , it was shown by D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam [11] that there exists a constant  $k$  such that  $|f(x) - kx| \leq 2\varepsilon$ . Taking these results into account, we say that the additive Cauchy equation  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  is stable in the sense of Hyers and Ulam. The interested reader should refer to [9, 10] for an indepth account on the subject of stability of functional equations.

A careful examination of the proof of Hyers's theorem reveals that the proof remains true if one replaces the normed vector space by an abelian group.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group written additively and let  $B$  be a Banach space. If a function  $f : G \rightarrow B$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for some  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : G \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for all  $x \in G$ .*

The Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (2.1)$$

was first proved by F. Skof [15] for functions from a normed space into a Banach space. P. W. Cholewa [3] demonstrated that Skof's theorem is also valid if the relevant domain is replaced by an abelian group. Later, S. Czerwik [4] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation which includes the following theorem as a special case:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a normed space and let  $B$  be a Banach space. If a function  $f : E \rightarrow B$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for some  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in E$ , then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q : E \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon + \|f(0)\|)$$

*for all  $x \in E$ .*

The goal of this paper is to study the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.7) when the domain of the functions is an abelian group and the range is a Banach space.

### 3. Stability of the functional equation (1.7)

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group written additively and  $B$  be a Banach space. If the functions  $f : G^2 \rightarrow B$  and  $\ell : G \rightarrow B$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\|f(x, y) + f(y, z) + f(z, x) - \ell(x+y+z)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (3.1)$$

*for some  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , then there exist a unique homomorphism  $A : G \rightarrow B$ , a unique quadratic map  $Q : G \rightarrow B$  and a function  $\phi : G \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\|\ell(x) - 2A(x) - 2Q(x)\| \leq \frac{23}{3}\varepsilon + 3\|f(0, 0)\| \quad (3.2)$$

*and*

$$\|f(x, y) - f_o(x, y)\| \leq \frac{49}{3}\varepsilon + \|f(0, 0)\| \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$f_o(x, y) = \phi(x) - \phi(y) + A(x) + A(y) + 2Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y). \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof.** Define

$$g(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y) - f(y, x)}{2} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$h(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y) + f(y, x)}{2} - \gamma \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\gamma = f(0, 0). \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$f(x, y) = g(x, y) + h(x, y) + \gamma. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, we see that

$$h(x, y) = h(y, x) \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , that is  $h$  is symmetric in  $G$ . Interchanging  $x$  with  $y$  in (3.1), we have

$$\|f(y, x) + f(x, z) + f(z, y) - \ell(y + x + z)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . From (3.1), (3.5) and (3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|g(x, y) + g(y, z) + g(z, x)\| \\ &= \|f(x, y) - f(y, x) + f(y, z) - f(z, y) + f(z, x) - f(x, z) \\ &\quad - \ell(x + y + z) + \ell(y + x + z)\| \\ &\leq \|f(x, y) + f(y, z) + f(z, x) - \ell(x + y + z)\| \\ &\quad + \|f(y, x) + f(x, z) + f(z, y) - \ell(y + x + z)\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|g(x, y) + g(y, z) + g(z, x)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . From (3.5) we see that

$$g(x, y) = -g(y, x) \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , that is  $g$  is anti-symmetric in  $G$ . Using (3.12) in (3.11) we have

$$\|g(x, y) - g(x, z) + g(y, z)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Letting  $z = 0$  in (3.13) we have

$$\|g(x, y) - g(x, 0) + g(y, 0)\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.14)$$

Define  $\phi : G \rightarrow B$  by

$$\phi(x) = g(x, 0). \quad (3.15)$$

Then (3.14) yields

$$\|g(x, y) - \phi(x) + \phi(y)\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.16)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since, by (3.6), (3.1) and (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|h(x, y) + h(y, z) + h(z, x) - \ell(x + y + z) + 3\gamma\| \\ &= \|f(x, y) + f(y, x) + f(y, z) + f(z, y) + f(z, x) + f(x, z) \\ &\quad - \ell(x + y + z) - \ell(y + x + z)\| \\ &\leq \|f(x, y) + f(y, z) + f(z, x) - \ell(x + y + z)\| \\ &\quad + \|f(y, x) + f(x, z) + f(z, y) - \ell(y + x + z)\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$\|h(x, y) + h(y, z) + h(z, x) - \ell(x + y + z) + 3\gamma\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.17)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Next we define  $F : G \rightarrow B$  by

$$F(x) = \ell(x) - 3\gamma. \quad (3.18)$$

Then by (3.18), the equation (3.17) reduces to

$$\|h(x, y) + h(y, z) + h(z, x) - F(x + y + z)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.19)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Letting  $z = 0$  in (3.19) we obtain

$$\|h(x, y) + h(y, 0) + h(0, x) - F(x + y)\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.20)$$

Let us define  $\psi : G \rightarrow B$  by

$$\psi(x) = h(x, 0). \quad (3.21)$$

Then using the fact that  $h$  is symmetric, (3.20) can be written as

$$\|h(x, y) + \psi(y) + \psi(x) - F(x + y)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.22)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Let  $z = y = 0$  in (3.20), we obtain

$$\|h(x, 0) + h(0, 0) + h(0, x) - F(x)\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.23)$$

Since from (3.6) and (3.7) we see that

$$h(0, 0) = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

the inequality (3.23) can be written as

$$\|2\psi(x) - F(x)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.25)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(x, y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) - 2\psi(x + y)\| \\ &= \|h(x, y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) - F(x + y) + F(x + y) - 2\psi(x + y)\| \\ &\leq \|h(x, y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) - F(x + y)\| + \|2\psi(x + y) - F(x + y)\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\|h(x, y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) - 2\psi(x + y)\| \leq 2\epsilon \quad (3.26)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|\psi(x + y) + \psi(y + z) + \psi(z + x) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - \psi(z) - \psi(x + y + z)\| \\ &= \|2\psi(x + y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - h(x, y) + 2\psi(y + z) - \psi(y) - \psi(z) \\ &\quad - h(y, z) + 2\psi(z + x) - \psi(z) - \psi(x) - h(z, x) - \psi(x + y + z) \\ &\quad + F(x + y + z) + h(x, y) + h(y, z) + h(z, x) - F(x + y + z)\| \\ &\leq \|h(x, y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) - 2\psi(x + y)\| \\ &\quad + \|h(y, z) + \psi(y) + \psi(z) - 2\psi(y + z)\| \\ &\quad + \|h(z, x) + \psi(z) + \psi(x) - 2\psi(z + x)\| \\ &\quad + \|2\psi(x + y + z) - F(x + y + z)\| \\ &\quad + \|h(x, y) + h(y, z) + h(z, x) - F(x + y + z)\| \\ &\leq 8\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(x + y) + \psi(y + z) + \psi(z + x) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - \psi(z) - \psi(x + y + z)\| \\ &\leq 4\epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Defining the functions  $a, q : G \rightarrow B$  as

$$a(x) = \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(-x)}{2} \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$q(x) = \frac{\psi(x) + \psi(-x)}{2} \quad (3.29)$$

we see that

$$\psi(x) = a(x) + q(x). \quad (3.30)$$

Since  $h(0, 0) = 0$ , from (3.21) we see that

$$\psi(0) = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

Letting  $z = -x - y$  in (3.27) and using (3.31) we obtain

$$\|\psi(x+y) + \psi(-x) + \psi(-y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - \psi(-x-y)\| \leq 4\epsilon. \quad (3.32)$$

Using (3.28) in (3.32) we have

$$2\|a(x+y) - a(x) - a(y)\| \leq 4\epsilon. \quad (3.33)$$

Therefore

$$\|a(x+y) - a(x) - a(y)\| \leq 2\epsilon \quad (3.34)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Thus by Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique homomorphism  $A : G \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\|a(x) - A(x)\| \leq 2\epsilon \quad (3.35)$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

Next, letting  $z = -y$  in (3.27) we have

$$\|\psi(x+y) + \psi(0) + \psi(x-y) - 2\psi(x) - \psi(y) - \psi(-y)\| \leq 4\epsilon.$$

Since  $\psi(0) = 0$ , the last inequality yields

$$\|\psi(x+y) + \psi(x-y) - 2\psi(x) - \psi(y) - \psi(-y)\| \leq 4\epsilon \quad (3.36)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  and  $x$  by  $-x$  in (3.36), we obtain

$$\|\psi(-x-y) + \psi(y-x) - 2\psi(-x) - \psi(-y) - \psi(y)\| \leq 4\epsilon. \quad (3.37)$$

Using (3.36) and (3.37), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|q(x+y) + q(x-y) - 2q(x) - 2q(y)\| \\ &= \|\psi(x+y) + \psi(-x-y) + \psi(x-y) + \psi(-x+y) - 2\psi(x) \\ &\quad - 2\psi(-x) - \psi(y) - \psi(-y) - \psi(-y) - \psi(y)\| \\ &= \|\psi(x+y) + \psi(x-y) - 2\psi(x) - \psi(y) - \psi(-y)\| \\ &\quad + \|\psi(-x-y) + \psi(-x+y) - 2\psi(-x) - \psi(-y) - \psi(y)\| \\ &\leq 8\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|q(x+y) + q(x-y) - 2q(x) - 2q(y)\| \leq 4\epsilon \quad (3.38)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique quadratic map  $Q : G \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\|q(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\epsilon + \|q(0)\|}{3} \quad (3.39)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $q(0) = 0$ , the equation (3.39) implies that

$$\|q(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\epsilon}{3}. \quad (3.40)$$

Using (3.40) and (3.29), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\psi(x) + \psi(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \frac{4\epsilon}{3}. \quad (3.41)$$

Similarly, from (3.28) and (3.35), we have

$$\left\| \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq 2\epsilon. \quad (3.42)$$

Hence from (3.41) and (3.42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(-x)}{2} - A(x) + \frac{\psi(x) + \psi(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| + \left\| \frac{\psi(x) + \psi(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{10}{3} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|\psi(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{10}{3} \epsilon. \quad (3.43)$$

Next, using (3.26) and (3.43), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(x, y) - 2Q(x+y) + Q(x) + Q(y) - 2A(x+y) + A(x) + A(y)\| \\ &= \|h(x, y) + 2\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(x+y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) \\ &\quad - 2Q(x+y) + Q(x) + Q(y) - 2A(x+y) + A(x) + A(y)\| \\ &\leq \|h(x, y) + \psi(x) + \psi(y) - 2\psi(x+y)\| \\ &\quad + 2\|\psi(x+y) - Q(x+y) - A(x+y)\| \\ &\quad + \|\psi(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| + \|\psi(y) - Q(y) - A(y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{46}{3} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|h(x, y) - 2Q(x+y) + Q(x) + Q(y) - A(x) - A(y)\| \leq \frac{46}{3} \epsilon \quad (3.44)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Finally, by (3.17) and (3.44), we get

$$\|g(x, y) - \phi(x) + \phi(y) + h(x, y) - 2Q(x+y) + Q(x) + Q(y) - A(x) - A(y) + \gamma\|$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|g(x, y) - \phi(x) + \phi(y)\| \\ &\quad + \|h(x, y) - 2Q(x + y) + Q(x) + Q(y) - A(x) - A(y)\| + \|\gamma\| \\ &\leq \frac{49}{3} \epsilon + \|\gamma\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\|g(x, y) + h(x, y) + \gamma - f_o(x, y)\| \leq \frac{49}{3} \epsilon + \|\gamma\| \quad (3.45)$$

where

$$f_o(x, y) = \phi(x) - \phi(y) + A(x) + A(y) + 2Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y). \quad (3.46)$$

Since

$$f(x, y) = g(x, y) + h(x, y) + \gamma \quad (3.47)$$

the inequality (3.45) reduces to

$$\|f(x, y) - f_o(x, y)\| \leq \frac{49}{3} \epsilon + \|\gamma\|. \quad (3.48)$$

Similarly, using (3.25) and (3.43), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\ell(x) - 2A(x) - 2Q(x)\| \\ &= \|\ell(x) - 2A(x) - 2Q(x) - 3\gamma + 3\gamma\| \\ &= \|F(x) - 2A(x) - 2Q(x) + 3\gamma\| \\ &\leq \|2\psi(x) - F(x)\| + 2\|\psi(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\| + 3\|\gamma\| \\ &\leq \frac{23}{3} \epsilon + 3\|\gamma\| \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the theorem is now complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. A. Baker, A functional equation, Solution to problem E 2607, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **10** (1977), 824–825.
- [2] M. Cantor, Funktionalgleichungen mit drei von einander unabh ngigen ver nderlichen, *Z. Math. Phys.* **41** (1896), 161–163.
- [3] P. W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.* **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [4] S. Czerwik, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [5] G. Frege, *Rechnungsmethoden, die sich auf eine Erweiterung des Gr ssebegriffes gr nden*, Verlag Friedrich Frommann, Jena, 1874.
- [6] D. Gronau, A remark on Sincov's functional equation, *Notices of the South African Mathematical Society*, **31** (2000), 1–8.
- [7] D. Gronau, Gottlob Frege, a pioneer in iteration theory, *Iteration Theory* (ECIT 94) (Opava), 105–119, *Grazer Math. Ber.*, 334, Karl-Franzens-Univ. Graz, 1997.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **27** (1941), 222–224.

- [9] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkh user 1998.
- [10] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.* **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [11] D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam, Approximately convex functions, *Proc. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1952), 821–828.
- [12] P. K. Sahoo, On a Sincov type functional equation, *Technical Report*, Department of Mathematics, University of Louisville, 2009.
- [13] D. M. Sincov, Notes sur la calcul fonctionnel (Russian), *Bull. Soc. Phys.-Math. Kazan*, **13** (1903), 48–72.
- [14] D. M. Sincov, über eine funktionalgleichung, *Arch. Math. Phys.* **6** (1903), 216–217.
- [15] F. Skof, Propriet locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [16] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley 1960.
- [17] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York 1968.

Prasanna K. Sahoo, *Department of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, 40292, USA.*

*E-mail:* sahooplouisville.edu

*Received* August 01, 2009

*Revised* August 06, 2009; September 10, 2009

*Accepted* November 12, 2009