



The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Optimality Condition for q -Rung Orthopair Fuzzy Optimization Problem

Josna James^{*1} and Shiny Jose²

¹Department of Mathematics, St. Thomas College Palai (Mahatma Gandhi University), Arunapuram, Puliyanoor, Kerala, India

²Department of Mathematics, St. George's College Aruvithura (Mahatma Gandhi University), Aruvithura, Erattupetta, Kottayam District, Kerala, India

*Corresponding author: josnakottaram@gmail.com

Received: May 10, 2022

Accepted: November 11, 2022

Abstract. In this paper, we defined the interval form of α, β level set for triangular q -rung orthopair fuzzy (q ROPF) number. To obtain a differentiability notion for q ROPF valued functions, Hukuhara differentiability (H -differentiability) and α, β level-wise H -differentiability is defined. Using this KKT optimality condition for q ROPF optimization problem with triangular q ROPF objective function are formulated.

Keywords. Triangular q ROPF number, Hukuhara differentiability, KKT optimality conditions, α, β level set

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 03E72, 94D05, 74P99

Copyright © 2023 Josna James and Shiny Jose. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Optimization Problems (OP) are modelled and solved as deterministic OPs, but in the real-world applications, OPs are not purely deterministic, because it contains uncertain information. Therefore, to model such uncertain and imprecise data, fuzzy optimization problems were introduced. Again, through further research, fuzzy set theory is advanced by *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set Theory* (InFST), then by *Pythagorean Fuzzy Sets* (PYFS) and finally q ROPF set theory.

In Intuitionistic Fuzzy set theory, the degree of belongingness and non-belongingness of elements in the set are modelled by degree of membership and degree of non-membership on $[0, 1]$ such that, whose sum is less than 1. However, because $0.5 + 0.6 > 1$, an analyst cannot choose membership (mbp) and non-membership (n-mbp) degrees of an element such as 0.5 and 0.6. To overcome such situations, the PYFS theory was introduced, and then, in 2016, Yager [15] introduced the q ROPF set theory. This theory allows the analyst to choose membership and non-membership degrees such that, sum of the q th power is less than one, for $q \geq 1$.

Motivated by fuzzy optimization problem, we discuss the q ROPF optimization problem in this paper by considering a triangular q ROPF (T - q ROPF) valued objective function with convex linear inequality constraints. Therefore, we introduced α, β level set for triangular q ROPF numbers and Hukuhara differentiability for q ROPF valued functions. By using them, we formulated the KKT optimality condition for the q ROPF optimization problem. This condition allows us to obtain the non-dominated solution for the given q ROPF optimization problem.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([2]). Let X be a universe of discourse, an *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set* (IFS) \hat{A} on a set A is an object having the form $\hat{A} = \{\langle \hat{x}, \zeta_{\hat{A}}(\hat{x}), \eta_{\hat{A}}(\hat{x}) \rangle \mid \hat{x} \in X\}$, where the function $\zeta_{\hat{A}}(\hat{x}) : \hat{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the degree of mbp, and $\eta_{\hat{A}}(\hat{x}) : \hat{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the degree of n -mbp of the elements in the set \hat{A} satisfying $0 \leq \zeta_{\hat{A}}(\hat{x}) + \eta_{\hat{A}}(\hat{x}) \leq 1$.

Definition 2.2 ([15]). Let X be a universe of discourse, a *Pythagorean Fuzzy Set* (PYFS) in X is given by $\hat{P} = \{\langle \hat{x}, \zeta_{\hat{P}}(\hat{x}), \eta_{\hat{P}}(\hat{x}) \rangle \mid \hat{x} \in X\}$, where the function $\zeta_{\hat{P}}(\hat{x}) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the degree of mbp, and $\eta_{\hat{P}}(\hat{x}) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the degree of n -mbp of the elements in the set S satisfying $0 \leq (\zeta_{\hat{P}}(\hat{x}))^2 + (\eta_{\hat{P}}(\hat{x}))^2 \leq 1$.

Definition 2.3 ([14]). Let X be a universe of discourse, a q ROPF set \tilde{Q} in X is given by

$$\tilde{Q} = \{\langle \hat{x}, \zeta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}), \eta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}) \rangle \mid \hat{x} \in X\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the function $\zeta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the degree of mbp, and $\eta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the degree of n -mbp of the elements in the set X satisfying $0 \leq (\zeta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}))^q + (\eta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}))^q \leq 1$, $q \geq 1$, for all $\hat{x} \in S$. The degree of Hesitancy of elements in X is denoted by $\Pi_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}) = (1 - (\zeta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}))^q - (\eta_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{x}))^q)^{1/q}$.

Definition 2.4 ([4]). A q ROPF relation qR is a q ROPF subset of $X \times Y$, which is defined as

$${}^qR = \{\langle (\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \zeta_{{}^qR}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \eta_{{}^qR}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \rangle \mid \hat{x} \in X, \hat{y} \in Y\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\zeta_{{}^qR}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\eta_{{}^qR}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the mbp and n -mbp degrees of (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) in $X \times Y$ which satisfies the condition $0 \leq \zeta_{{}^qR}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})^q + \eta_{{}^qR}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})^q \leq 1$, for all $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in X \times Y$. The set of all q ROPF relations on $X \times Y$ is denoted $qROPF(X \times Y)$.

Definition 2.5 ([1]). Let \hat{A} and \hat{B} be two nonempty subsets of R^n and $c \in R$. The Minkowski addition and scalar multiplication are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} + \hat{B} &= \{\hat{a} + \hat{b} : \hat{a} \in \hat{A} \text{ and } \hat{b} \in \hat{B}\}, \\ c\hat{A} &= \{c\hat{a} : \hat{a} \in \hat{A}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.6 (Convexity of Fuzzy Mapping [6]). A fuzzy valued function $\check{f} : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\Omega$ is said to be convex on a convex subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, if for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ both level sets $\check{f}(\dot{x}, \alpha)^L$ and $\check{f}(\dot{x}, \alpha)^U$ are convex on Ω . That is for $0 \leq \lambda' \leq 1, \dot{x}, \dot{y} \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{f}_\alpha^L((1 - \lambda')\dot{x} + \lambda'\dot{y}) &\leq (1 - \lambda')\check{f}_\alpha^L(\dot{x}) + \lambda'\check{f}_\alpha^L(\dot{y}), \\ \check{f}_\alpha^U((1 - \lambda')\dot{x} + \lambda'\dot{y}) &\leq (1 - \lambda')\check{f}_\alpha^U(\dot{x}) + \lambda'\check{f}_\alpha^U(\dot{y}). \end{aligned}$$

\check{f} is said to be concave if $-\check{f}$ is convex.

3. Differentiability of q ROPF Valued Function

Definition 3.1 ([9]). Let $\check{A} = \langle (\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c}) : M_{\check{A}}, N_{\check{A}} \rangle$ be a Triangular q ROPF number. Its mbp function and n -mbp functions are given by

$$\zeta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\dot{x} - \dot{a})M_{\check{A}}}{\dot{b} - \dot{a}}, & \dot{a} \leq \dot{x} \leq \dot{b}, \\ \frac{(\dot{c} - \dot{x})M_{\check{A}}}{\dot{c} - \dot{b}}, & \dot{b} \leq \dot{x} \leq \dot{c}, \\ 0, & \dot{a} > \dot{x} \text{ or } \dot{x} > \dot{c}, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\eta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\dot{b} - \dot{x} + N_{\check{A}}(\dot{x} - \dot{a})}{\dot{b} - \dot{a}}, & \dot{a} \leq \dot{x} \leq \dot{b}, \\ \frac{\dot{x} - \dot{b} + N_{\check{A}}(\dot{c} - \dot{x})}{\dot{c} - \dot{b}}, & \dot{b} \leq \dot{x} \leq \dot{c}, \\ 1, & \dot{a} > \dot{x} \text{ or } \dot{x} > \dot{c}, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\zeta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x})$ and $\eta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x})$ denote the degree of mbp and n -mbp of \check{A} , $M_{\check{A}}$ denote maximum degree of mbp and $N_{\check{A}}$ denote minimum degree of n -mbp, $M_{\check{A}}, N_{\check{A}} \in [0, 1]$, and $0 \leq M_{\check{A}}^q + N_{\check{A}}^q \leq 1$. $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ and $\zeta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x})^q + \eta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x})^q \leq 1$.

Definition 3.2. The (α, β) level set of the triangular q ROPF number $\check{A} = \langle (\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c}) : M_{\check{A}}, N_{\check{A}} \rangle$ is the set of all x such that whose degree of mbp greater than equal to α and degree of n -mbp is less than or equal to β , i.e.,

$$\check{A}_{\alpha, \beta} = \{ \dot{x} \in X : \zeta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x}) \geq \alpha, \eta_{\check{A}}(\dot{x}) \leq \beta, \alpha^q + \beta^q \leq 1 \} \tag{3.3}$$

which is represented in the interval form $\check{A}_{\alpha, \beta} = [\check{A}^L, \check{A}^U]$, where

$$\check{A}^L = \max \left\{ \dot{a} + \frac{\alpha(\dot{b} - \dot{a})}{M_{\check{A}}}, \frac{\dot{b}(1 - \beta) + \dot{a}(\beta - N_{\check{A}})}{1 - N_{\check{A}}} \right\}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\check{A}^U = \min \left\{ \dot{c} - \frac{\alpha(\dot{c} - \dot{b})}{M_{\check{A}}}, \frac{\dot{b}(1 - \beta) + \dot{c}(\beta - N_{\check{A}})}{1 - N_{\check{A}}} \right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Definition 3.3. Let \widehat{X} and \widehat{Y} be two sets, a q ROP fuzzy valued function from X to q ROPF set of \widehat{Y} is defined as

$$\varphi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow qROPFS(\widehat{Y}) \text{ such that } x' \rightarrow \varphi(x'),$$

where $\varphi(x') = \langle y', \zeta_{\varphi(x')}^q(y'), \eta_{\varphi(x')}^q(y'), y' \in \widehat{Y} \rangle$, where for $x' \in \widehat{X}, \zeta_{\varphi(x')}^q(y') : \widehat{Y} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\eta_{\varphi(x')}^q(y') : \widehat{Y} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the mbp and n -mbp of $y' = \varphi(x')$, such that $0 \leq \zeta_{\varphi(x')}^q(y') + \eta_{\varphi(x')}^q(y') \leq 1$.

Let G be an open subset of R^n and \mathbb{Q}^n be the set of all q ROPF numbers. Let $\ddot{f} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^1$ be a q ROPF valued function defined on G .

Definition 3.4. For two q ROPF numbers $q_1, q_2, d = q_1 \boxminus q_2$ means the Hukuhara difference of q_1 and q_2 , if there exist q ROPF number d such that $d \boxminus q_2 = q_1$.

Definition 3.5. Let P and Q be two q ROPF sets then the Hausdorff distance between P and Q is defined by $\mathbb{HD}(P, Q) = \min(\mathbb{HD}(q_i, q_j))$, where $q_i \in P$ and $q_j \in Q$.

Definition 3.6. Let $q_1 = (\zeta_{q_1}^q, \eta_{q_1}^q)$ and $q_2 = (\zeta_{q_2}^q, \eta_{q_2}^q)$ be two q ROPF numbers, the Hausdorff distance between A and B is given by

$$\mathbb{HD}(q_1, q_2) = \max(|\zeta_{q_1}^q - \zeta_{q_2}^q|, |\eta_{q_1}^q - \eta_{q_2}^q|). \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.1. Let \tilde{q}_i and \tilde{q}_j be two q ROPF numbers in \mathbb{Q}^n , such that $\tilde{q}_i = (\zeta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q, \eta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q)$ and $\tilde{q}_j = (\zeta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q, \eta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q)$. The function $\mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_j) = \max(|\zeta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q - \zeta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q|, |\eta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q - \eta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q|)$, is a metric on \mathbb{Q}^n .

Proof. We are going to show that \mathbb{HD} satisfies all the axioms of a metric

$$M_1: \mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_j) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \max(|\zeta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q - \zeta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q|, |\eta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q - \eta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q|) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \zeta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q = \zeta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q, \eta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q = \eta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q.$$

Therefore, $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{q}_i = \tilde{q}_j$.

$$M_2: \mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_j) = \max(|\zeta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q - \zeta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q|, |\eta_{\tilde{q}_i}^q - \eta_{\tilde{q}_j}^q|) = \mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_j, \tilde{q}_i).$$

$$M_3: \text{Let } \tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_j \text{ and } \tilde{q}_k \in \mathbb{Q}^n. \text{ It is obvious that } \mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_j) \leq \mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_k) + \mathbb{HD}(\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_j), \text{ by applying } \max\{x, y\} = \frac{x+y+|x-y|}{2}.$$

From the axioms M_1, M_2 and M_3 we get \mathbb{HD} is a metric on \mathbb{Q}^n . Therefore, $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathbb{HD})$ is a metric space. □

Definition 3.7. A q ROPF valued function $\ddot{f} : [a, b] \subseteq R \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ is said to be continuous at $x'_0 \in [a, b]$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ such that for all $x' \in [a, b]$ with $|x' - x'_0| < \delta \Rightarrow \mathbb{HD}(\ddot{f}(x'), \ddot{f}(x'_0)) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 3.8. A q ROPF valued function $\ddot{f} : (a, b) \subseteq R \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^1$ is said to be Hukuhara-differentiable or H -differentiable at $t'_0 \in (a, b)$, if the both limits

$$\lim_{\Delta t' \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ddot{f}(t'_0 + \Delta t') \boxminus \ddot{f}(t'_0)}{\Delta t'} = \lim_{\Delta t' \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ddot{f}(t'_0) \boxminus \ddot{f}(t'_0 + \Delta t')}{\Delta t'} \tag{3.7}$$

exist and is denoted by $\ddot{f}'(t'_0)$.

Definition 3.9. Let X be a set, a q ROP fuzzy valued function $\ddot{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is said to be α, β level-wise H -differentiable at $\dot{x} \in X$, if and only if both $\ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^L$ and $\ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^U$ -differentiable at $\dot{x}_0 \in X$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in [a, b]$ with $0 \leq \alpha^q + \beta^q \leq 1$. That is the limits

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^L(\dot{x}_0 + h) \boxminus \ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^L(\dot{x}_0)}{h}, \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^U(\dot{x}_0) \boxminus \ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^U(\dot{x}_0 + h)}{h} \tag{3.8}$$

exists.

Theorem 3.2. If a q ROPF valued function $\check{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^1$ is said to be H -differentiable, then the interval valued function $\check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}$ are also H -differentiable. In particular $\check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\bar{X})$, and $\check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\bar{X})$, are also H -differentiable for every $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $0 \leq \alpha^q + \beta^q \leq 1$.

Proof. Proof is obvious from the definition of \check{f}_α and \check{f}_β .

Let G be an open subset of R^n and for $\bar{X} = (\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2, \dots, \check{x}_n)$, $\check{f}(\bar{X}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\bar{X}) &= \check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2, \dots, \check{x}_n) = \check{f}(\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2, \dots, \check{x}_n)|_{\alpha,\beta}^L, \\ \check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\bar{X}) &= \check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2, \dots, \check{x}_n) = \check{f}(\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2, \dots, \check{x}_n)|_{\alpha,\beta}^U. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.10. The Gradient of the continuous q ROPF valued function \check{f} denoted by $\nabla \check{f}(\bar{X})$ and is defined as $\nabla \check{f}(\bar{X}) = \left(\frac{\partial \check{f}(\bar{X})}{\partial \check{x}_1}, \frac{\partial \check{f}(\bar{X})}{\partial \check{x}_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \check{f}(\bar{X})}{\partial \check{x}_n} \right)$.

4. KKT Optimality Condition for q ROPF Optimization Problem

Consider the following linear optimization problem with q ROPF valued objective function and inequality constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(qFP)} \quad \max/\min \check{f}(X) &= \check{f}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \\ \text{subject to } \tilde{h}_j(X) &\geq \text{ or } \leq 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where X belongs to an open set $G \subseteq R^n$, $\check{f}(X)$ and $\tilde{h}_j \in \mathbb{Q}^n$.

For convenience, consider a minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(qFoP)} \quad \min \check{f}(X) &= \check{f}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \\ \text{subject to } \tilde{h}_j(X) &\leq 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where \check{f}, \tilde{h}_j , where $j = 1$ to m are convex, continuously H -differentiable functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 4.1. A solution X° is said to be a non-dominated solution to the q ROPF optimization problem, if there exist no other feasible solution X^* such that $\check{f}(X^*) < \check{f}(X^\circ)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $X = \{\check{X} \in R^n : \tilde{h}_j(\check{X}) \leq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, be a feasible set of the constraints $\tilde{h}_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, assume that which is convex and continuously H -differentiable at $\check{X}^\circ \in X$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that the objective function $\check{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is convex and α, β level-wise continuously H -differentiable at \check{X}° . If there exist non-negative real valued functions (Lagrange function multipliers) ψ_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ defined on $[0, 1]$ such that,

$$(i) \quad \nabla \check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\check{X}^\circ) + \nabla \check{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\check{X}^\circ) + \sum_{j=1}^m \psi_j(\alpha, \beta) \nabla \tilde{h}_j(\check{X}^\circ) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1], \tag{4.1}$$

$$(ii) \quad \psi_j(\alpha, \beta) \tilde{h}_j(\check{X}^\circ) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1], \tag{4.2}$$

then \check{X}° is a non-dominated solution of the problem.

Proof. To prove the theorem we assume the contradiction that, there will no such non-dominated solution to the q RoP problem satisfying the assumptions and conditions (i) and (ii), i.e., there

exist $\bar{X} \in X$ such that $\ddot{f}(\bar{X}) < \ddot{f}(X^\circ)$. Hence,

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\bar{X}) < \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\ddot{X}^\circ) \\ \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\bar{X}) \leq \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\ddot{X}^\circ) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\bar{X}) \leq \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\ddot{X}^\circ) \\ \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\bar{X}) < \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\ddot{X}^\circ) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\bar{X}) < \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\ddot{X}^\circ) \\ \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\bar{X}) < \ddot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\ddot{X}^\circ) \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Now, define a function

$$\dot{f}(X) = \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(X) + \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(X). \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}(\bar{X}) &= \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\bar{X}) + \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\bar{X}) \\ &< \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(\ddot{X}^\circ) + \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(\ddot{X}^\circ) \quad (\text{from (4.3)}) \\ &< \dot{f}(\ddot{X}^\circ), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\dot{f}(\bar{X}) < \dot{f}(\ddot{X}^\circ). \quad (4.5)$$

Since \dot{f} is continuously differentiable and convex at \ddot{X}° , \dot{f} is level-wise continuously differentiable and convex at \ddot{X}° , i.e., $\dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L$ and $\dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U$ is convex and differentiable

$$\nabla \dot{f}(X) = \nabla \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^L(X) + \nabla \dot{f}_{\alpha,\beta}^U(X), \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1].$$

Hence for any fixed $\alpha', \beta' \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla \dot{f}_{\alpha',\beta'}^L(\ddot{X}^\circ) + \nabla \dot{f}_{\alpha',\beta'}^U(\ddot{X}^\circ) + \sum_{j=1}^m \psi_j(\alpha', \beta') \nabla \tilde{h}_j(\ddot{X}^\circ) = 0 \\ \implies &\nabla \dot{f}(X) + \sum_{j=1}^m \psi_j(\alpha', \beta') \nabla \tilde{h}_j(\ddot{X}^\circ) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Also,

$$\psi_j(\alpha', \beta') \tilde{h}_j(\ddot{X}^\circ) = 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (4.7)$$

Now consider a new q ROPF valued optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\min \dot{f}(\dot{X}) \\ &\text{subject to } \tilde{h}_j(\dot{X}) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly from (4.6) and (4.7), the above optimization problem satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of the hypothesis. Therefore, $\dot{f}(\ddot{X}^\circ)$ is an optimal solution to the problem.

But this contradicts equation (4.5).

Therefore, our assumption is wrong that is \ddot{X}° is a non-dominated solution to the problem. \square

Illustrative Example. Consider the following q ROPF optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f} &= \langle (4, 5, 7); 0.7, 0.3 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_1 \oplus \langle (5, 6, 9); 0.3, 0.8 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_2 \oplus \langle (6, 7, 8); 0.4, 0.5 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_3 \oplus \langle (1, 2, 3); 0.2, 0.6 \rangle \dot{x}_4 \\ &\text{subject to } h_1(\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dot{x}_3, \dot{x}_4) = \dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2 + 3\dot{x}_3 + 2\dot{x}_4 \leq 35 \\ &\quad h_2(\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dot{x}_3, \dot{x}_4) = 3\dot{x}_1 + 5\dot{x}_2 + 4\dot{x}_3 + 2\dot{x}_4 \leq 40 \\ &\quad 4\dot{x}_1 + 3\dot{x}_3 \leq 15 \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dot{x}_3, \dot{x}_4 \geq 0$$

$$\dot{f} = \langle (4, 5, 7); 0.7, 0.3 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_1 \oplus \langle (5, 6, 9); 0.3, 0.8 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_2 \oplus \langle (6, 7, 8); 0.4, 0.5 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_3 \oplus \langle (1, 2, 3); 0.2, 0.6 \rangle \otimes \dot{x}_4$$

$$\text{subject to } h_1(\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dot{x}_3, \dot{x}_4) = \dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2 + 3\dot{x}_3 + 2\dot{x}_4 - 35$$

$$h_2(\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dot{x}_3, \dot{x}_4) = 3\dot{x}_1 + 5\dot{x}_2 + 4\dot{x}_3 + 2\dot{x}_4 - 40$$

$$4\dot{x}_1 + 3\dot{x}_3 - 15$$

$$\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dot{x}_3, \dot{x}_4 \geq 0$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $0 \leq \alpha^q + \beta^q \leq 1$

$$\ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^L = \dot{x}_1 \left(\frac{3.8 - \beta}{0.7} \right) + \dot{x}_2 \left(\frac{2 - \beta}{0.2} \right) + \dot{x}_3 \left(6 + \frac{\alpha}{0.4} \right) + \dot{x}_4 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{0.6} \right),$$

$$\ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^U = \dot{x}_1 \left(\frac{2.9 + 2\beta}{0.7} \right) + \dot{x}_2 \left(\frac{3\beta - 1.2}{0.2} \right) + \dot{x}_3 \left(8 - \frac{\alpha}{0.4} \right) + \dot{x}_4 \left(3 - \frac{\alpha}{0.6} \right).$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $0 \leq \alpha^q + \beta^q \leq 1$, we can also obtain

$$\nabla \ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^L = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3.8 - \beta}{0.7} \\ \frac{2 - \beta}{0.2} \\ 6 + \frac{\alpha}{0.4} \\ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{0.6} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla \ddot{f}_{\alpha, \beta}^U = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2.9 + 2\beta}{0.7} \\ \frac{3\beta - 1.2}{0.2} \\ 8 - \frac{\alpha}{0.4} \\ 3 - \frac{\alpha}{0.6} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla \tilde{h}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla \tilde{h}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla \tilde{h}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solve $\tilde{h}_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{h}_2 = 0$, obtain the solution $x^* = (0, 0, 5, 10)$. Solved using LINGO 18.0.

Applying the conditions (4.1) and (4.2)

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{3.8 - \beta}{0.7} \right) + \left(\frac{2.9 + 2\beta}{0.7} \right) + \psi_1(\alpha, \beta) + 3\psi_2(\alpha, \beta) + 4\psi_3(\alpha, \beta) - \psi_4 = 0, \\ \left(\frac{2 - \beta}{0.2} \right) + \left(\frac{3\beta - 1.2}{0.2} \right) + \psi_1(\alpha, \beta) + 5\psi_2(\alpha, \beta) = 0, \\ \left(6 + \frac{\alpha}{0.4} \right) + \left(8 - \frac{\alpha}{0.4} \right) + 3\psi_1(\alpha, \beta) + 4\psi_2(\alpha, \beta) + 3\psi_3(\alpha, \beta) = 0, \\ \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{0.6} \right) + \left(3 - \frac{\alpha}{0.6} \right) + 2\psi_1(\alpha, \beta) + 2\psi_2(\alpha, \beta) - \psi_8 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

The above system is feasible and we get non-negative values for $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_8, \psi_4$ is arbitrary, therefore x^* become a solution to the given optimization problem.

5. Conclusion

The Hukuhara difference for q ROPF sets and Hukuhara differentiability or H -differentiability for q ROPF valued functions are defined. The α, β level set $[\ddot{A}^L, \ddot{A}^U]$ is defined for triangular q ROPF number \ddot{A} , using this we formulated the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality condition for q ROPF optimization problem. Also, a q ROPF optimization problem is illustrated using the proposed KKT optimality condition.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] Ö. Akin and S. Bayeğ, The concept of Hukuhara derivative and Aumann integral for intuitionistic fuzzy number valued functions, *MANAS Journal of Engineering* **6**(2) (2018), 143 – 163, URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/604856>.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *International Journal Bioautomation* **20**(S1) (2016), S1-S6, URL: https://biomed.bas.bg/bioautomation/2016/vol_20.s1/files/20.s1_02.pdf.
- [3] Y. Chalco-Cano, W. A. Lodwick and H. Román-Flores, The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions for a class of fuzzy optimization problems using strongly generalized derivative, in: *2013 Joint IFSA World Congress and NAFIPS Annual Meeting (IFSA/NAFIPS)*, Edmonton, AB, Canada, 2013, pp. 203 – 208, DOI: 10.1109/IFSA-NAFIPS.2013.6608400.
- [4] A. Luqman, M. Akram and A. N. Al-Kenani, q -Rung orthopair fuzzy hypergraphs with applications, *Mathematics* **7**(3) (2019), 260, DOI: 10.3390/math7030260.
- [5] S. Melliani, M. Elomari, L. S. Chadli and R. Ettoussi, Extension of Hukuhara difference in intuitionistic fuzzy set theory, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* **21**(4) (2015), 34 – 47, URL: https://ifigenia.org/wiki/Issue:Extension_of_Hukuhara_difference_in_intuitionistic_fuzzy_set_theory.
- [6] M. Panigrahi, G. Panda and S. Nanda, Convex fuzzy mapping with differentiability and its application in fuzzy optimization, *European Journal of Operational Research* **185**(1) (2008), 47 – 62, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2006.12.053.
- [7] D. Qiu and C. Ouyang, Optimality conditions for fuzzy optimization in several variables under generalized differentiability, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **434** (2022), 1 – 19, DOI: 10.1016/j.fss.2021.05.006.
- [8] M. A. El Sayed, M. A. El-Shorbagy, F. A. Farahat, A. F. Fareed and M. A. Elsisy, Stability of parametric intuitionistic fuzzy multi-objective fractional transportation problem, *Fractal and Fractional* **5**(4), 233, DOI: 10.3390/fractalfract5040233.
- [9] B. Wan, R. Lu and M. Han, Weighted average LINMAP group decision-making method based on q -rung orthopair triangular fuzzy numbers, *Granular Computing* **7** (2022), 489 – 503, DOI: 10.1007/s41066-021-00280-4.
- [10] H.-C. Wu, The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions for the optimization problem with fuzzy-valued objective function, *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research* **66**(2) (2007), 203 – 224, DOI: 10.1007/s00186-007-0156-y.
- [11] H.-C. Wu, The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions in an optimization problem with interval-valued objective function, *European Journal of Operational Research* **176**(1) (2007), 46 – 59, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2005.09.007.
- [12] H.-C. Wu, The optimality conditions for optimization problems with convex constraints and multiple fuzzy-valued objective functions, *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making* **8**(3) (2009), 295 – 321, DOI: 10.1007/s10700-009-9061-6.
- [13] H.-C. Wu, The optimality conditions for optimization problems with fuzzy-valued objective functions, *Optimization* **57**(3) (2008), 473 – 489, DOI: 10.1080/02331930601120037.

- [14] R. R. Yager, Generalized orthopair fuzzy sets, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* **25**(5) (2017), 1222 – 1230, DOI: 10.1109/TFUZZ.2016.2604005.
- [15] R. R. Yager, Pythagorean fuzzy subsets, in: *2013 Joint IFSA World Congress and NAFIPS Annual Meeting (IFSA/NAFIPS)*, Edmonton, AB, Canada, 2013, pp. 57-61, DOI: 10.1109/IFSA-NAFIPS.2013.6608375.

