

On Cesàro Sequence Space defined by an Orlicz Function

Indu Bala

Abstract. In this paper we provide a suitable generalization of the sequence space ces_M of Orlicz [6] by using a sequence of strictly positive real numbers and study various topological properties and inclusion relations which generalize several known results of Orlicz [6], Shiue [9], Sanhan and Suantai [8], and Leibowitz [3].

1. Introduction

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] used the idea of an Orlicz function M to construct the sequence space ℓ_M of all sequences of scalars (x_k) such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0$. The space ℓ_M equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a BK space [1, p. 300] usually called an Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is closely related to the space ℓ_p which is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(x) = x^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. We recall [1, 4] that an Orlicz function M is a function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Note that an Orlicz function is always unbounded.

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for all values of u if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$, $u \geq 0$. It is easy to see [2] that always $K > 2$. A simple example of an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition for all values of u is given by $M(u) = a|u|^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 1$), since $M(2u) = a2^\alpha|u|^\alpha = 2^\alpha M(u)$. The Orlicz function $M(u) = e^{|u|} - |u| - 1$ does not satisfy the Δ_2 -condition.

The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to the inequality $M(lu) \leq K(l)M(u)$ which holds for all values of u , where l can be any number greater than unity.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A45, 40A05.

Key words and phrases. Sequence space; Cesàro sequence space, Orlicz function.

An Orlicz function M can always be represented in the following integral form

$$M(x) = \int_0^x p(t) dt$$

where p known as the kernel of M , is right differentiable for $t \geq 0$, $p(0) = 0$, $p(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, p is non-decreasing and $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let w and ℓ^0 denote the spaces of all scalar and real sequences, respectively. For $1 < p < \infty$, Shiue [9] introduced the Cesàro sequence space ces_p by

$$ces_p = \left\{ x \in \ell^0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right)^p < \infty \right\}$$

and showed that it is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Some geometric properties of the Cesàro sequence space ces_p were studied by many authors. Sanhan and Suantai [8] introduced and studied a generalized Cesàro sequence space $ces(p)$, where $p = (p_n)$ is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. For any Orlicz function M , Orlicz [6] introduced and studied the Cesàro sequence space ces_M .

In this paper we propose to extend ces_M to a more general space $ces(M, p)$ in the same manner as ℓ_1 was extended to $\ell(p)$ (Simons [10]). We study various algebraic and topological properties of this space. Certain inclusion relations between $ces(M, p)$ spaces have been established. Some information on multipliers for $ces(M, p)$ space has also been given. We also define composite space $ces(M^v, p)$ by using composite Orlicz function M^v .

We now introduce the generalization of Cesàro sequence space using an Orlicz function.

Definition 1.1. Let M be an Orlicz function and $p = (p_n)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. We define the following sequence space

$$ces(M, p) = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

Some well-known spaces are obtained by specializing M and p .

- (i) If $M(x) = x$, $p_n = p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) for all n , then $ces(M, p) = ces_p$ (Shiue [9]).
- (ii) If $M(x) = x$, then $ces(M, p) = ces(p)$ (Sanhan and Suantai [8]).
- (iii) If $p_n = 1$ for all n , then $ces(M, p) = ces_M$ (Orlicz [6]) and $ces(M, p) = ces_{\Phi}$ for an Orlicz function Φ (Petrot and Suantai [7]).

The following inequalities (see, e.g., [5, p. 190]) are needed throughout the paper.

Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. If $H = \sup_k p_k$, then for any complex a_k and b_k ,

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq C(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. Also for any complex λ ,

$$|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H). \tag{1.2}$$

2. Linear Topological Structure of $ces(M, p)$ Space

In this section we establish some algebraic and topological properties of the sequence space defined above.

Theorem 2.1. *For any Orlicz function M , $ces(M, p)$ is a linear space over the complex field \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in ces(M, p)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to prove the result we need to find some $\rho_3 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha x_k + \beta y_k|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty.$$

Since $x, y \in ces(M, p)$, there exist a positive ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty.$$

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since M is non-decreasing and convex,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha x_k + \beta y_k|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_n} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{p_n}} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) + M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_n} \\ & \leq \max(1, 2^{H-1}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\alpha x + \beta y \in ces(M, p)$. This proves that $ces(M, p)$ is a linear space over \mathbb{C} . \square

Theorem 2.2. $ces(M, p)$ is a topological linear space, paranormed by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/G} : \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{G}} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $H = \sup p_n < \infty$ and $G = \max(1, H)$.

The proof follows by using standard techniques and the fact that every paranormed space is a topological linear space [11, p. 37].

Proposition 2.3 ([1, p. 300]). *We have, for x in ℓ_M , the inequality*

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} M \left(\frac{|x_i|}{\|x\|_{(M)}} \right) \leq 1,$$

where $\|x\|_{(M)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \sum_{i \geq 1} M \left(\frac{|x_i|}{k} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 \leq p_n < \infty$, then $ces(M, p)$ is a Fréchet space paranormed by (2.1).*

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.2, it suffices to prove the completeness of $ces(M, p)$. Let $(x^{(s)})$ be a Cauchy sequence in $ces(M, p)$. Let r and x_0 be fixed. Then for each $\frac{\epsilon}{rx_0} > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that

$$g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)}) < \frac{\epsilon}{rx_0}, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq N.$$

Using (2.1) and Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k^{(t)}|}{g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)})} \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{1/G} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k^{(t)}|}{g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)})} \right) \right]^{p_n} \leq 1.$$

Since $1 \leq p_n < \infty$, it follows that $M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k^{(t)}|}{g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)})} \right) \leq 1$, for each $n \geq 1$.

We choose $r > 0$ such that $\left(\frac{x_0}{2}\right)rp \left(\frac{x_0}{2}\right) \geq 1$, where p is the kernel associated with M . Hence,

$$M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k^{(t)}|}{g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)})} \right) \leq \left(\frac{x_0}{2}\right)rp \left(\frac{x_0}{2}\right)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the integral representation of Orlicz function M , we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k^{(t)}| \leq \frac{r x_0}{2} g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq N.$$

Hence for each fixed k , $(x_k^{(s)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} . Since \mathbb{C} is complete, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $x_k^{(s)} \rightarrow x_k$, say, for each k . For given $\epsilon > 0$, choose an integer $n_0 > 1$ such that $g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)}) < \epsilon$ for all $s, t \geq n_0$ and a $\rho > 0$, such that $g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)}) < \rho < \epsilon$. Since

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^m \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k^{(t)}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{1/G} \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq n_0.$$

Now, using continuity of M and taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^m \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k^{(s)} - x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{1/G} \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } s \geq n_0.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get $g(x^{(s)} - x) < \rho < \epsilon$ for all $s \geq n_0$. Thus $(x^{(s)})$ converges to x in the paranorm of $ces(M, p)$. Since $(x^{(s)}) \in ces(M, p)$ and M is continuous, it follows that $x \in ces(M, p)$. \square

3. Inclusion between $ces(M, p)$ Spaces

We now investigate some inclusion relations between $ces(M, p)$ spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *If $p = (p_n)$ and $q = (q_n)$ are bounded sequences of positive real numbers with $0 < p_n \leq q_n < \infty$ for each n , then for any Orlicz function M , $ces(M, p) \subseteq ces(M, q)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in ces(M, p)$. Then there exists some $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty.$$

This implies that $M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1$ for sufficiently large values of n , say $n \geq n_0$ for some fixed $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since M is non-decreasing and $p_n \leq q_n$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq n_0}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_n} \leq \sum_{n \geq n_0}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty.$$

This shows that $x \in ces(M, q)$ and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. If $r = (r_n)$ and $t = (t_n)$ are bounded sequences of positive real numbers with $0 < r_n, t_n < \infty$ and if $p_n = \min(r_n, t_n)$, $q_n = \max(r_n, t_n)$, then for any Orlicz function M , $ces(M, p) = ces(M, r) \cap ces(M, t)$ and $ces(M, q) = G$, where G is the subspace of w generated by $ces(M, r) \cup ces(M, t)$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $ces(M, p) \subseteq ces(M, r) \cap ces(M, t)$ and that $G \subseteq ces(M, q)$.

For any complex λ , $|\lambda|^{p_n} \leq \max(|\lambda|^{r_n}, |\lambda|^{t_n})$; thus $ces(M, r) \cap ces(M, t) \subseteq ces(M, p)$.

Let $A = \{n : r_n \geq t_n\}$ and $B = \{n : r_n < t_n\}$. If $x \in ces(M, q)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n \quad (n \in A) & \text{and} & \quad y_n = 0 \quad (n \in B); \\ z_n &= 0 \quad (n \in A) & \text{and} & \quad z_n = x_n \quad (n \in B). \end{aligned}$$

Then since $x \in ces(M, q)$, there exists some $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_n} < \infty.$$

Now

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{r_n} = \sum_{n \in A} + \sum_{n \in B} = \sum_{n \in A} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_n} < \infty$$

and so $y \in ces(M, r) \subseteq G$. Similarly, $z \in ces(M, t) \subseteq G$. Thus, $x = y + z \in G$. We have proved that $ces(M, q) \subseteq G$, which gives the required result. \square

Corollary 3.3. The three conditions $ces(M, r) \subseteq ces(M, t)$, $ces(M, p) = ces(M, r)$ and $ces(M, t) = ces(M, q)$ are equivalent.

Corollary 3.4. $ces(M, r) = ces(M, t)$ if and only if $ces(M, p) = ces(M, q)$.

Finally some information on multipliers for $ces(M, p)$ is given below. For any set E of sequences the space of multipliers of E , denoted by $S(E)$, is given by

$$S(E) = \{a \in w : ax \in E \text{ for all } x \in E\}.$$

Theorem 3.5. For an Orlicz function M which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, we have $\ell_\infty \subset S(ces(M, p))$.

Proof. Let $a = (a_k) \in \ell_\infty$, $T = \sup_k |a_k|$ and $x = (x_k) \in ces(M, p)$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0.$$

Since M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, there exists a constant K such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{(1 + [T])^{\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} \\ &\leq (K(1 + [T]))^H \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $[T]$ denotes the integer part of T . Hence $a \in S(ces(M, p))$. □

4. Composite Space $ces(M^v, p)$ using Composite Orlicz Function M^v

Taking Orlicz function M^v instead of M in the space $ces(M, p)$, we can define the composite space $ces(M^v, p)$ as follows.

Definition 4.1. For a fixed natural number v , we define

$$ces(M^v, p) = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M^v \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. For any Orlicz function M and $v \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $ces(M^v, p) \subseteq ces(p)$ if there exists a constant $\alpha \geq 1$ such that $M(t) \geq \alpha t$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) Suppose there exists a constant $\beta, 0 < \beta \leq 1$ such that $M(t) \leq \beta t$ for all $t \geq 0$ and let $m, v \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m < v$, then $ces(p) \subseteq ces(M^m, p) \subseteq ces(M^v, p)$.

Proof. (i) Since $M(t) \geq \alpha t$ for all $t \geq 0$ and M is non-decreasing and convex, we have $M^v(t) \geq \alpha^v t$ for each $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x \in ces(M^v, p)$. Using (1.2), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \leq \max(1, \rho^H) \max(1, \alpha^{-vH}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M^v \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n}$$

and hence $x \in ces(p)$.

(ii) Since $M(t) \leq \beta t$ for all $t \geq 0$ and M is non-decreasing and convex, we have $M^m(t) \leq \beta^m t$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The first inclusion is easily proved by using (1.2). To prove the second inclusion, suppose that $v - m = r$ and let $x \in ces(M^m, p)$. Again, using (1.2), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M^v \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n} \leq \max(1, \beta^{rH}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M^m \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_n}$$

and hence $x \in ces(M^v, p)$. □

Example 4.3. The examples of functions satisfying the conditions given in Theorem 4.2(i),(ii) are $M_1(t) = e^t - 1 \geq t$ and $M_2(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} \leq t$ for all $t \geq 0$, respectively.

References

- [1] P.K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1981.
- [2] M.A. Krasnoselsky and Y.B. Rutitsky, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Groningen, Netherlands, 1961.
- [3] G.M. Leibowitz, A note on the Cesàro sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* **2** (1971), 151–157.
- [4] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* **10** (1971), 379–390.
- [5] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, 1st edition, Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- [6] W. Orlicz, Über Raume (L^M), *Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. A* (1936), 93–107.
- [7] N. Petrot and S. Suantai, Some geometric properties in Orlicz-Cesàro spaces, *Science Asia* **31** (2005), 173–177.
- [8] W. Sanhan and S. Suantai, On k -nearly uniform convex property in generalized Cesàro sequence spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **57** (2003), 3599–3607.
- [9] J.S. Shiue, On the Cesàro sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* **1** (1970), 19–25.
- [10] S. Simons, The sequence spaces $l(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **15**(3) (1965), 422–436.
- [11] A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, 1978.

Indu Bala, *Department of Mathematics, Government College, Chhachhrauli (Yamuna Nagar) 135103, India.*

E-mail: bansal_indu@rediffmail.com

Received January 24, 2012

Accepted May 27, 2012